MIT NSE Ph.D. Qualifying Exam: Reactor Physics Oral Question, May, 2015

The US DOE is developing a new rectangular lattice, light-water-cooled, graphite-moderated reactor (LWCGMR) that uses standard Zr-clad UO₂ (5% enriched in U^{235}) fuel.

DOE is simultaneously developing a new set of core physics tools to compare the new reactor design with conventional LWRs. **DOE wants to use 3D deterministic multi-group neutron transport for core simulations. Your task is to develop a suite of analysis tools that will enable accurate core physics analysis** – regardless of the DOE designers' selection of pin/assembly dimensions and arrangements, fuel-to-coolant ratio, etc.

Gross LWCGMR Characteristics:

- 1. What impact will the graphite have on the <u>reactor size</u>?
- 2. In what ways will the LWCGMR neutron spectra differ from that of an LWR?
- 3. For the same burnup, how will the $\underline{Pu^{239}}$ inventory differ between LWCGMR and LWR fuel?

Cross Section Generation Methods:

DOE expects to generate multi-group cross sections using characteristic 2D lattices (e.g., single assemblies) as depicted schematically in the attached figures.

4. How will the LWCGMR lattice complexity affect the <u>accuracy and choice of sub-group or</u> <u>equivalence-theory</u> resonance self-shielding models for the lattice physics code?

3D Core Transport Methods:

5. What are some of the advantages and disadvantages of <u>various homogenized-pin-cell or explicit</u> <u>pin/clad/coolant methods for solving the 3D transport</u> equation?

