

**WORKSHEET (for individual committee members)**

APPLICANT: Daniel Goodman DATE: 3/9/08

A. Academic ability:

\* In previous academic work how good was the performance? 5

\* Performance on standardized tests? (GREs or other) \_\_\_\_\_

Subscore (0-5) 5

B. Formal Preparation:

• In basic engineering/physical science discipline  
(probability of passing quals)? \_\_\_\_\_

• In biological/life sciences? \_\_\_\_\_

Subscore (0-5) 5

C. Research

• Evidence of real interest in research? \_\_\_\_\_

• Quality of previous research? \_\_\_\_\_

• Evidence of productivity (papers, theses, presentations)? \_\_\_\_\_

• Ability to communicate work? \_\_\_\_\_

• Strength of letters? \_\_\_\_\_

Subscore 5

D. Career Direction/ appropriateness/ motivation:

• Level of understanding of MEMP (or other program)? 3

• Commitment to medical/clinical component? 4

• Is the candidate realistic about goals? 5

• Is candidate's personality compatible with clinical role? \_\_\_\_\_

Subscore 4

E. Intangibles:

• Leadership potential? \_\_\_\_\_

• Personality? \_\_\_\_\_

• Self-directedness? \_\_\_\_\_

• Creativity? \_\_\_\_\_

Subscore: 5

**OVERALL SCORE**

**4.6**

Daniel Goodman is a very bright fellow, that have worked on a number of projects with several professors during his undergraduate at UCSD, and now at Cambridge University. He is aware of different exciting technologies, wants to develop new ones, do both bench and computational work, and seems to get easily excited about projects. I'm afraid that he may have trouble concentrating. He has little understanding and appreciation of the medical component of MEMP, was concerned about a heavy class load. These together made me put him a bit above the 4.5 cutoff. I'd rank him above Robert Tirrell, but below Daniel Day.