

iLab Consortium Meeting

Friday June 19, 2009

Meeting Objective: Develop an organizational plan for launching the iLabs Consortium. In particular, we need discussion and agreement on the following areas

- Consortium scope
- Legal nature of the consortium
- Basic outline of governance
- Development of an economic model for the consortium including estimated costs and sources of corresponding revenue.
- Date and Goals of next meeting

0800 Coffee and pastries

0830 Welcome: Steve Lerman and Michael Auer

Outline of Meeting Goals: Jesus del Alamo

0845 Introduction of Partners and Brief Summary of Their iLab-Related Work

- MIT: Jud Harward
- Obafemi Awolowo University: Kunle Kehinde
- Makerere University: Sandy Tickodri-Togboa
- University of Technology, Sydney: David Lowe
- Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology: Amgad Louka
- University of Queensland: Mark Schulz
- Graz University of Technology: Christian Guetl
- Carinthia University of Applied Sciences: Michael Auer
- Microsoft: Paul Oka
- National Instruments: Lesley Yu
- Leon Shiman: Free Software Center, Technology Innovation Center, Bolzano, South Tyrol
- IEEE Education Society: Rob Reilly

10:15 Break

10:30 The Need for a Consortium: Why do we need a Consortium? What should it do (and not do)?

- Moderator: Michael Auer

11:15 Distributed Software Development: How will the Consortium prioritize additions to the iLab software? How will distributed software development and future releases be managed?

- Moderator: Jud Harward

Noon Lunch

1300 Education: How can the Consortium support the use of iLabs in courses? What will the responsibility of the Consortium be in attracting new faculty to the project and training them in the use of iLabs? How can the Consortium foster the development of best practices?

- Moderator: Jesus del Alamo

- 1355 Economics: How will the Consortium become self-supporting? How can the different contributions of members be credited against the advantages they draw from the Consortium. How should the different tiers of membership be structured?
- Moderator: Phil Long
- 1450 Governance: How should the Consortium be structured to evolve flexibly, take account of all members views, but still make decisions easily? How will responsibility be shared?
- Moderator: Steve Lerman
- 1545 Break
- 1700 hours Closing and next steps
- What has been agreed
 - Committee outlines and assignments
 - Date and goals of next meeting