A Modest-Change Proposal

S.P. Kothari

Prepared for the Faculty March Ahead (not Retreat)

November 18, 2005

A Modest-Change Proposal

Long-term goal: Leading, mainstream management program in the world

- Excel by differentiating on quality, not content
 - E.g., Leading law and medical programs all teach similar content, but differentiate themselves on quality
 - And so do leading business schools
 - In contrast, unique schools are usually start-ups
- At best, *tilt* the program toward analytics to capitalize on the MIT brand and faculty strength
- Being a top-tier program in a crowded field is sign of true success. It's also challenging, and rewarding (and stressful)
- Some believe we are already one of the leading programs, but (almost) everyone agrees that we should aspire to forge ahead

Executing the proposal – Outline

- Common theme across all programs Global Leadership and Innovation
- Strengthen the UG program major and minor
- Focus on faculty research the single biggest differentiator
- MBA program management and program innovation

Common theme across all programs – Global Leadership and Innovation

Why Global Leadership and Innovation

- Global
 - Captures current market reality
 - Caters to one of our strengths: our diverse, international student body

Leadership

- Critical skill for top management all of our competitors emphasize it (e.g., Harvard, Wharton, Stanford, and Chicago)
- Soft skill to complement MIT's tech image

Innovation

- Hallmark of MIT, signals creativity and ideas
- Broader than Technology to encompass innovation in all fields

Common theme across all programs – Global Leadership and Innovation

We have

- Undergraduate programs major and minor
- MBA program
- Sloan Fellows program
- Exec Ed short programs
- Other (certificate) programs (e.g., Nanyang Fellows)
- But we promote them with different messaging
 - E.g., no mention of Leadership or Innovation in the UG program description
- A common theme across all programs
 - Isn't this what we seek leadership and innovation in all the programs?
 - Promote a single MIT Sloan brand easier for recognition
 - Economies of scale in promoting the school

Strengthen the UG program – major and minor

Advantages

- Leverages the MIT brand
- Builds better relations with the Administration
- Takes advantage of a captive supply of some of the most talented undergrad students in the world
- Already ranked very highly
- Currently the major is promoted primarily as management extension of science and technology
 - Why not make the UG major a mini-MBA
- Refocus UG major and minor to drive leadership and innovation into the curriculum
 - The marketplace is looking for MIT UGs with soft skills
 - Explore a fifth-year management add-on to the four-year program
- Channel resources into the program currently it's run with minimal faculty attention – to enhance
 - Teaching quality
 - Program innovation

Focus on faculty research – the single biggest differentiator

MIT and MIT Sloan are recognized for scientific research

- Crucial that we maintain our brand identity and competitive edge through research
- Research differentiates us from some of our competitors
- Young faculty are the engine of research
 - New proposals for the direction of the school must factor in the impact on faculty recruiting and research
 - Program proliferation, boutique programs, small individualized project courses, and courses that call for extensive interdepartmental coordination and preparation are expensive for the school and unattractive to junior faculty
 - Consider a combination of one (senior) faculty and adjunct faculty members for such courses
 - Scale is important for long-term viability

Strengthen the PhD program to retain and attract faculty

- Make it cheaper to hire PhD students as RAs
- Currently both faculty and PhD students are suffering

MBA program management and program innovation

- Program ownership: A faculty director of the MBA program (or an Associate Dean for the MBA Program)
- Require coordination among the program directors of the MBA, UG, SF, and PhD programs – common messaging
- Program innovation
 - Research drives curriculum innovation and course development
 - Recent examples: Venture capital and entrepreneurship; information-technology-based courses; G-Lab; leadership courses
 - Seriously explore an MS in Financial Engineering
 - Leverages our research strength
 - Considerable demand to make the program scalable
 - Add "Global" content to the curriculum
 - Center for Research in Emerging Markets
 - In line with emphasis on "Global"
 - New research that will automatically get channeled into curriculum by the faculty
 - Encourage international internships and G-Lab
 - Leverage our collaboration with programs in China, S. Korea, and Mexico
 - Expand international course offering

MBA program management and program innovation

Program innovation (Continued)

- MBA Director with authority to commission new courses if not being developed voluntarily
 - Coordinate with the Dean's office in commissioning / supporting new courses and experiments
 - But with a budgetary responsibility
 - Some of the proposals currently discussed at this retreat could be candidates

MBA program management and program innovation

- With the new building on the horizon, increase the program size by about 90 – 15 more students per section
 - Consistent with the goal of a leading, mainstream program
 - Our current teaching load becomes financially sustainable with slightly bigger class size
 - Class size would still be no bigger than many of our competitors
 - Some of the electives will become viable
 - More opportunities to offer new electives
- Explore efficiencies without sacrificing teaching quality
 - E.g., Larger sections of some courses depending on faculty and course content