Heritage WG - 10th IACHEC meeting

Agenda

- 1. Actions
- 2. JATIS paper on in-flight calibration plans
- 3. Data analysis standard technical note
- 4. Repository of calibration documents
- 5. IACHEC competence database

1. Actions

Actionees: WG members
Deadline: 6-OCT-2014

Status: OPEN.

List of OPEN actions (in reverse time order)

ACTION 140915/1: "Provide a list of calibration papers and documents to be posted on the WG Wiki" Actionees: Andy Beardmore (Swift), Karl Forster (NuSTAR), Matteo Guainazzi (XMM-Newton), Eric Miller (Suzaku/XIS), Lorenzo Natalucci (INTEGRAL), Yuki Terada (Suzaku/HXD) Deadline: 15-OCT-2014 ACTION 140515/6: "Organise a discussion on the photoelectric absorption models and associated abundances and cross-section conventions with external experts" Actionee: Matteo Guainazzi Deadline: 21-SEP-2014 (to send the invitation message) STATUS: OPEN COMMENTS: list of external experts to be contacted: Elisa Costantini, Teru Enoto, Adam Foster, Jelle Kaastra, Julia Lee, Mike Novak, Naomi Oda, Randall Smith, Martin Weisskopf, Joern Wilms The Workshop should include also thermal emission models ACTION 140515/4: "Verify if the RSSFeed mechanism can be used to communicate communication updates through the IACHEC News web page coming from HEASARC" Actionee: Eric Miller Deadline: 10th IACHEC Meeting STATUS: OPEN ACTION 140515/3: "Include NuSTAR in PyBLOcXS, and prepare a demo at the 10th TACHEC" Actionees: Larry David & Kristin Madsen Deadline: 10th IACHEC Meeting Status: OPEN ACTION 140515/2: "Comment on the draft JATIS paper on in-flight calibration

Done by Chandra only

Done

?

Closed (subsumed by the Calibration Uncertainties WG)

Done

A synoptic view of X-ray in-flight calibration plans¹

Matteo Guainazzi^{a,b}, Laurence David^c, Catherine Grant^d, Jukka Nevalainen^e, Robert Petre^f, Marc Audard^g

^aESA-European Space Astronomy Centre (ESAC), Villafranca del Castillo, E-28692, Madrid, Spain

^bInstitute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), 3-1-1 Yoshinodai, Chuo-ku, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 252-5210, Japan

^cHarvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

^dMassachusetts Institute of Technology 37-551, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139-4307

^eTartu Observatory, 61602 Toravere, Estonia

^fNASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, 20771 USA

^gDepartment of Astronomy, University of Geneva, Ch. d'Ecogia 16, 1290, Versoix, Switzerland

Abstract. Ideally a complete set of ground-based calibration measurements should under-pin a full characterization of the physical model describing detectors flown on-board space observatory. More often then not, however, this is not the case due to time and budgetary restrictions during the development phase, or to the - somewhat inevitable and unpredictable - degradation of instrument performance in space. In this paper we present a synoptic view of the set of celestial sources used for in-flight calibration of X-ray detectors by past and operational missions. This summary could be beneficial for future mission to optimize the critical early phases of their science operations.

3. Data analysis standards - survey outcome

Survey started on February 9, 2015 Answers: Costantini, Kaastra, Smith, Weisskopf, Wilms

International Astronomical Consortium for High-Energy Calibration

IACHEC Report Series#3

Standards for spectral calibration data analysis

IACHEC Heritage Working Group Version 0.1

April 8, 2015

1 Background

At its first meeting in Virginia, the IACHEC Heritage Working Group agreed to compile a list of "best practices" for the analysis of spectroscopic calibration data. The ultimate goal of this study is

3. Data analysis standards - current status

ltem	Photoelectric absorption model	Photoelectric absorpion cross-sections	Elemental aundances	Optically thin plasma code
Advise as of 22/APR/15	tbnew (XSPEC) hot+amol (SPEX)	Verner & Yakovlev (1995)	Lodders & Palme (2009)	apec (XSPEC), cie (SPEX)

*this is not lodd in XSPEC!

3. Data analysis standard - how to proceed?

- Slightly disappointed by the response (only 3 answers with useful suggestions out of 10 consulted expertes).
 Shall we extend the survey?
- Shall we make some benchmark on the effect of the different choices on IACHEC sources (galaxy clusters, PKS2155-305, 1E0102-72?)
- Shall we include the **goodness-of-fit issue** (see discussion at the Calibration Uncertainties WG)?
- How shall we publish the results?

4. Repository of calibration documents

- · Only Chandra (thanks!) fulfilled the action.
- By when could other projects realistically provide inputs?
- Shall we put on the Wiki whatever we got by the next deadline?

5. IACHEC knowledge database

- K.Forster proposal in the plenary session on "in-flight calibration plans"
 - Proposal: prepare (and circulate to the WG members for comments) a 1-page questionnaire asking for: a) instrument expertise; b) scientific (i.e., source class) expertise - Action on MG by the end of May 2015