

FEMS Microbiology Reviews 24 (2000) 335-366



www.fems-microbiology.org

Prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases

Kerry S. Smith *, James G. Ferry

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 204 South Frear Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, PA 16802, USA

Received 1 July 1999; received in revised form 1 December 1999; accepted 1 February 2000

Abstract

Carbonic anhydrases catalyze the reversible hydration of CO_2 [$CO_2+H_2O \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^-+H^+$]. Since the discovery of this zinc (Zn) metalloenzyme in erythrocytes over 65 years ago, carbonic anhydrase has not only been found in virtually all mammalian tissues but is also abundant in plants and green unicellular algae. The enzyme is important to many eukaryotic physiological processes such as respiration, CO₂ transport and photosynthesis. Although ubiquitous in highly evolved organisms from the Eukarya domain, the enzyme has received scant attention in prokaryotes from the Bacteria and Archaea domains and has been purified from only five species since it was first identified in Neisseria sicca in 1963. Recent work has shown that carbonic anhydrase is widespread in metabolically diverse species from both the Archaea and Bacteria domains indicating that the enzyme has a more extensive and fundamental role in prokaryotic biology than previously recognized. A remarkable feature of carbonic anhydrase is the existence of three distinct classes (designated α , β and γ) that have no significant sequence identity and were invented independently. Thus, the carbonic anhydrase classes are excellent examples of convergent evolution of catalytic function. Genes encoding enzymes from all three classes have been identified in the prokaryotes with the β and γ classes predominating. All of the mammalian isozymes (including the 10 human isozymes) belong to the α class; however, only nine α class carbonic anhydrase genes have thus far been found in the Bacteria domain and none in the Archaea domain. The β class is comprised of enzymes from the chloroplasts of both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants as well as enzymes from phylogenetically diverse species from the Archaea and Bacteria domains. The only γ class carbonic anhydrase that has thus far been isolated and characterized is from the methanoarchaeon Methanosarcina thermophila. Interestingly, many prokaryotes contain carbonic anhydrase genes from more than one class; some even contain genes from all three known classes. In addition, some prokaryotes contain multiple genes encoding carbonic anhydrases from the same class. The presence of multiple carbonic anhydrase genes within a species underscores the importance of this enzyme in prokaryotic physiology; however, the role(s) of this enzyme is still largely unknown. Even though most of the information known about the function(s) of carbonic anhydrase primarily relates to its role in cyanobacterial CO₂ fixation, the prokaryotic enzyme has also been shown to function in cyanate degradation and the survival of intracellular pathogens within their host. Investigations into prokaryotic carbonic anhydrase have already led to the identification of a new class (γ) and future research will undoubtedly reveal novel functions for carbonic anhydrase in prokaryotes. © 2000 Federation of European Microbiological Societies. Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Carbonic anhydrase; Zinc; CO2; HCO3; Sulfonamide; CO2-concentrating mechanism

Contents

1.	Introduction	336
2.	Background	337
	2.1. Historical perspective	337
	2.2. Three evolutionarily distinct classes of carbonic anhydrase	337
	2.3. Proposed mechanism of carbonic anhydrase	338
3.	Carbonic anhydrase is widespread in prokaryotes	338
4.	Properties of isolated carbonic anhydrases from the Bacteria domain	339
	4.1. Neisseria	339
	4.2. <i>E. coli</i>	341

0168-6445/00/\$20.00 © 2000 Federation of European Microbiological Societies. Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0168-6445(00)00030-9

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 (814) 863 5822/5688; Fax: +1 (814) 863 6217; E-mail: kss9@psu.edu

	4.3. Salmonella typhimurium	343
	4.4. Cyanobacteria	344
	4.5. Rhodospirillum rubrum	348
	4.6. Acetobacterium woodii	348
5.	Properties of isolated carbonic anhydrases from the Archaea domain	348
	5.1. <i>M. thermoautotrophicum</i> ΔH	349
	5.2. <i>M. thermophila</i>	350
6.	Phylogeny of prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases	351
	6.1. Alpha class	353
	6.2. Beta class	353
	6.3. Gamma class	356
7.	Other potential physiological roles for prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases	358
8.	Conclusions and outlook	361
Ac	knowledgements	361
Re	ferences	362

1. Introduction

The environmental concentration of CO₂, a key metabolite in all living organisms, is seldom high. In addition, CO_2 is in equilibrium with HCO_3^- , carbonic acid and carbonate of which HCO_3^- is the most physiologically important. HCO_3^- is negatively charged and highly soluble in aqueous solution but poorly soluble in lipids, while CO₂ is highly soluble in both aqueous solution and lipids. Therefore, although CO₂ can freely diffuse in and out of the cell, HCO_3^- must be transported across the cell membrane. Above pH 6.3, the equilibrium between the two species shifts toward HCO_3^- , thus posing problems in the maintenance of required intracellular CO₂ and HCO₃ concentrations. Not surprisingly, the interconversion of the planar CO_2 and the pyramidal HCO_3^- is slow at physiological pH and requires enzymatic catalysis. Conversion of HCO_3^- to CO_2 may facilitate its transport into the cell while conversion of CO_2 to HCO_3^- may be important for trapping CO_2 in the cell. Thus, enzymatic conversion of CO_2 and HCO_3^- not only allows the cell to concentrate CO₂ to the levels required for cellular enzymes but also helps the cell maintain the proper intracellular levels of CO_2 and HCO_3^- to carry out cellular processes.

Carbonic anhydrase is a Zn-containing enzyme that catalyzes the interconversion of carbon dioxide and bicarbonate:

$$CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^- + H^+ \tag{1}$$

This enzyme has been found in virtually all mammals as well as plants and algae and has been shown to be fundamental to many eukaryotic biological processes such as photosynthesis, respiration, CO₂ and ion transport, calcification and acid–base balance. Three evolutionarily distinct classes of carbonic anhydrase have been identified and designated α , β and γ [1]. No significant sequence similarities are observed between representatives of the different classes; thus, the carbonic anhydrase classes are excellent examples of convergent evolution of catalytic function.

The enzymology [2–6] and physiology [7–13] of carbonic anhydrases from the Eukarya domain, particularly those from humans, have been well studied. Although ubiquitous throughout the Eukarya domain, the enzyme has received less attention in prokaryotes from the Bacteria and Archaea domains, having been purified from only five species. The availability of antisera to enzymes from each class and the emergence of genome sequencing have recently been utilized to perform a comprehensive search for carbonic anhydrase in metabolically and phylogenetically diverse prokaryotes [14]. The enzyme was shown to be widespread in both the Bacteria and Archaea domains with the β and γ classes predominating. All of the mammalian isozymes belong to the α class; however, very few α class carbonic anhydrase genes have thus far been found in the Bacteria domain and none in the Archaea domain. The β class is comprised of enzymes from the chloroplasts of both plants and algae as well as enzymes from phylogenetically diverse species from the Archaea and Bacteria domains. Although the only γ class carbonic anhydrase that has thus far been isolated and characterized is from the methanoarchaeon Methanosarcina thermophila [15,16], additional sequences which could encode γ class enzymes have been identified.

Previous reviews have focused on mammalian or plant carbonic anhydrases with little attention given to the prokaryotic enzymes. The subject of this review is to examine the physiology, enzymology and structure of enzymes from both the Bacteria and Archaea domains. Many prokaryotes contain carbonic anhydrase genes from two or even all three known classes, or, as is the case in mammals, some contain multiple genes from the same class. The presence of multiple carbonic anhydrase genes suggests this enzyme has a major role in prokaryotic physiology; however, the roles are still largely unknown. Investigations into prokaryotic carbonic anhydrase have

337

already led to the identification of a new class (γ) and future research will undoubtedly reveal novel functions for this enzyme in prokaryotes. Thus, the purpose of this review is to alert the reader to the broad importance of this enzyme in prokaryotic physiology.

2. Background

2.1. Historical perspective

Carbonic anhydrase was independently discovered in 1933 by Meldrum and Roughton [17] and by Stadie and O'Brien [18]. The enzyme was first characterized as a result of a search for a catalytic factor that had been theoretically determined as necessary for the rapid transit of HCO_3^- from the erythrocyte to the pulmonary capillary. Meldrum and Roughton purified the erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase to a relatively high degree [17] and complete purification from bovine erythrocytes was achieved in the late 1930s [19-21]. Keilin and Martin [21] demonstrated a specific role for Zn in catalysis by finding that activity was directly proportional to the Zn content; thus, carbonic anhydrase was the first Zn metalloenzyme identified. The enzyme has subsequently been found in all animals examined for its presence. Initially, there was some doubt about its existence in plants; however, the use of sulfhydryl protectants to preserve activity during purification [22] confirmed an earlier report of a plant carbonic anhydrase by Neish [23] in 1939. Carbonic anhydrases in plants were shown to differ from those isolated in animals by size and decreased sensitivity to the sulfonamide family of inhibitors.

In 1963, Veitch and Blankenship [24] reported the first carbonic anhydrase from a prokaryote. They noted carbonic anhydrase activity in the nasal exudates of patients suffering from respiratory infections. *Lactobacillus*, nine strains of *Neisseria*, and a strain of *Streptococcus salivarius* were examined for activity to test the possibility that the carbonic anhydrase was of microbial origin. Significant activities were detected in strains of several species of *Neisseria* and in *S. salivarius*. The enzyme from *Neisseria sicca* strain 6021 was purified and found to share many of the same properties as the human carbonic anhydrases [25,26]. Carbonic anhydrase activity in the Archaea domain was first detected in the methanoarchaeon *Methanosarcina barkeri* [27], and an enzyme was first purified and characterized from the closely related *M. thermophila* in 1994 [15].

2.2. Three evolutionarily distinct classes of carbonic anhydrase

The first carbonic anhydrase (CA) sequences were obtained from the 260-residue low activity CA I [28,29] and the 259-residue high activity CA II [30,31], both isolated from the human erythrocytes. The complete amino acid sequences of the CA I and CA II isozymes from bovine, equine and other animals have followed over the years [32–35]. In fact, 10 isozymes have now been identified in humans that clearly arose from a common ancestral enzyme [1,36,37]. The crystal structures have been determined for human CA I [38], human CA II [39–41], bovine CA III [42], human CA IV [43] and a truncated form of murine CA V [44]. The overall folds of the monomeric human isozymes are highly similar with mainly antiparallel β -sheet as the dominating secondary feature.

The amino acid sequence of a single short peptide fragment of a spinach chloroplast carbonic anhydrase was obtained in 1984 and could not be aligned with the amino acid sequence of any mammalian enzyme [45]. Subsequently, the cDNA sequences encoding several plant chloroplast carbonic anhydrases were determined and shown to belong to a genetically distinct class. This was a somewhat surprising result since the amino acid sequences of two periplasmic carbonic anhydrases from the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii had previously been shown to be most similar to those of animal carbonic anhydrases [46,47]. There are no significant sequence similarities between representatives of the animal and plant classes of carbonic anhydrase. A three-dimensional structure has yet to be reported for a plant enzyme, although CD spectra suggest a domination of α -helix structure that is in stark contrast to that of human isozymes that are composed mainly of β -sheet structures [48]. The plant class also appears to be more diverse in their quaternary structure in that the enzymes from dicotyledonous plants are presumed to be octamers in which two tetramers are linked by disulfide bridges [49], while the monocotyledonous enzymes have been suggested to be dimers [7]. Therefore, all carbonic anhydrases identified in the animal kingdom belong to a single gene class that is now referred to as α class carbonic anhydrases, while the plant enzyme class is referred to as the β class carbonic anhydrases [1].

The carbonic anhydrase from *N. sicca* was originally purified in 1972 [25,26], but the first sequence of a carbonic anhydrase from a prokaryote was not reported for another 20 years. In 1992, the product of the *cynT* gene in *Escherichia coli* was found to have carbonic anhydrase activity [50]. When the amino acid sequence was compared with those of other known carbonic anhydrases, CynT was found to be most similar to members of the plant-type or β class. Shortly thereafter, a gene showing identity to β class genes was found to be essential for photosynthetic CO₂ fixation by *Synechococcus* PCC7942 [51]. Thus, it appeared that all prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases would belong to the β class.

Alber and Ferry [15] reported the first isolation of a carbonic anhydrase from the Archaea domain in 1994. When the deduced amino acid sequence of the enzyme from M. thermophila was compared to the amino acid sequences of known carbonic anhydrases, no significant similarity was detected. Furthermore, the crystal structure

revealed a trimer with a novel left-handed β -helix fold [52]. These results indicated that this carbonic anhydrase represents a third distinct class, which was designated γ [1]. It would have been tempting to speculate that all carbonic anhydrases from the Bacteria domain would belong to the β class and all carbonic anhydrases from the Archaea domain would belong to the γ class. However, the deduced sequence of the *M. thermophila* enzyme showed 35% sequence identity to the deduced amino acid sequence of the ccmM gene from the cyanobacterium Synechococcus [15]. Subsequently, the deduced amino acid sequence of a purified carbonic anhydrase from Neisseria gonorrhoeae indicated that this enzyme belongs to the α class [53]. More recently, a putative β class carbonic anhydrase gene was identified [54] from the methanoarchaeon Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum and the enzyme was characterized and shown to have carbonic anhydrase activity [55]. Thus, the β class has now been identified in all three domains of life. Therefore, although the α and β classes clearly predominate in the eukaryotes, all three genetically distinct classes of carbonic anhydrase are represented in the prokaryotes. A summary of the specific properties of the characterized prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases is shown in Table 1.

2.3. Proposed mechanism of carbonic anhydrase

The kinetic properties of human isozymes CA I, CA II and CA III from the α class have been extensively investigated and follow a common 'Zn-hydroxide' mechanism for catalysis [4,6]. The catalytically active group is the Znbound water which ionizes to a hydroxide ion. According to the proposed mechanism, the enzyme-catalyzed reaction occurs in two mechanistically distinct reactions (where E = enzyme and B = buffer). The first half-reaction is the interconversion between CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ (Eqs. 2a and 2b) in which the rate is related to the steady-state parameter k_{cat}/K_m . The second half-reaction is the regeneration of the active form of the enzyme (Eqs. 2c and 2d), involving the rate-determining intramolecular and intermolecular proton transfer events which are reflected in the steady-state parameter k_{cat} .

$$\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{n}^{2+} - \mathbf{O}\mathbf{H}^{-} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{n}^{2+} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}_3^{-}$$
(2a)

$$E - Zn^{2+} - HCO_3^- + H_2O \rightleftharpoons E - Zn^{2+} - H_2O + HCO_3^-$$
(2b)

$$\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{n}^{2+} - \mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{O} \rightleftharpoons^+ \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{n}^{2+} - \mathbf{O}\mathbf{H}^-$$
(2c)

$$^{+}H - E - Zn^{2+} - OH + B \rightleftharpoons E - Zn^{2+} - OH + BH^{+}$$
(2d)

Most of the kinetic properties observed for the β class carbonic anhydrases and the γ class enzyme from *M. thermophila* are also consistent with this mechanism [48,56–

59]; therefore, all three classes likely have a Zn-hydroxide mechanism.

3. Carbonic anhydrase is widespread in prokaryotes

The sporadic reports of the presence of carbonic anhydrase in prokaryotes would lead one to expect that this enzyme is not prevalent in the Bacteria and Archaea domains. In the past 20 years, several groups have screened certain prokaryotes for the presence of carbonic anhydrase [27,60-65]. Nafi et al. examined heterotrophic prokaryotes with antisera raised against the α class N. sicca enzyme [66]. By gel immunodiffusion, they detected cross-reactive material in several species of Neisseria and a few other prokaryotes. Enzyme activity was only detected in N. sicca and in several strains of N. gonorrhoeae; however, all Neisseria strains were sensitive to acetazolamide, a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor. Activity was also detected in several phototrophic bacteria and was found to decrease with a change from photoautotrophic to photoheterotrophic conditions [63], a result consistent with a function for carbonic anhydrase in CO₂ fixation. Carbonic anhydrase activity was recently detected in prokaryotes that oxidize acetate [27] and those that produce acetate as an end product of fermentation [65]. In addition, carbonic anhydrases have also been identified in cyanobacteria [51,67] and E. coli [50] through studies of prokaryotic CO₂ fixation and cyanate metabolism, respectively.

The recent discovery of the novel γ class in the Archaea domain [15] and the finding that an enzyme from the β class was present in thermophilic chemolithotrophic methanoarchaea [55] led to the hypothesis that these enzymes are more central to prokaryotic metabolism than previously recognized. This was tested by performing a survey of metabolically diverse species from both the Archaea and Bacteria domains for the presence of carbonic anhydrase utilizing both activity assays and Western blotting [14]. Using antisera derived against prokaryotic enzymes from each of the α , β and γ classes of carbonic anhydrase now available, Smith et al. [14] determined the extent to which each of the carbonic anhydrase classes is represented within the prokaryotes. In addition, the advent of genome sequencing allowed both completed and unfinished prokaryotic genome sequences to be searched for the presence of open reading frames encoding putative carbonic anhydrases. BLASTp and tBLASTn searches [68] using the deduced amino acid sequences from the Anabaena ecaA gene (α class) [67], the M. thermoautotrophicum cab gene (β class) [69] and the M. thermophila cam gene (γ class) [15] as queries identified six putative α class, 26 putative β class and 25 putative γ class carbonic anhydrase sequences. Evidence for the presence of carbonic anhydrase was obtained for freshwater, marine, mesophilic, thermophilic, aerobic, anaerobic, pathogenic, symbiotic, methylotrophic, acetotrophic, methanogenic, acetogenic, autotrophic, heterotrophic and photosynthetic species. These results demonstrated that carbonic anhydrases are not only far more prevalent in prokaryotes and distributed among far more metabolically diverse species than previously recognized, but that the β and γ classes are predominant [14]. In fact, α class carbonic anhydrases were detected only in a few of the microbes examined from the Bacteria domain and none from the Archaea domain.

4. Properties of isolated carbonic anhydrases from the Bacteria domain

Although now known to be nearly ubiquitous in prokaryotes, carbonic anhydrase has been purified from only five species in the Bacteria domain since it was first identified in *N. sicca* in 1963 [15,25,65,70,71]. Interestingly, all three classes of carbonic anhydrase are represented in the Bacteria domain and enzymes from the α and β class have been purified and characterized. An overview of the properties of these enzymes is shown in Table 1.

4.1. Neisseria

Carbonic anhydrase activity was first reported in *N.* sicca by Veitch and Blankenship and this enzyme was the first carbonic anhydrase purified from a prokaryote [24]. The gene encoding this enzyme has not been cloned; however, antisera derived against the purified enzyme cross-reacted with the *N. gonorrhoeae* α class carbonic anhydrase [66]. Furthermore, circular dichroism (CD)

Table 1					
Distribution	and	properties	of	carbonic	anhydrases

spectra of the two neisserial enzymes were nearly identical indicating a similar secondary structure [26,53]. Thus, it is highly likely that the *N. sicca* carbonic anhydrase belongs to the α class.

The *N. sicca* enzyme is a monomer with a molecular mass of 28.6 kDa [26]. It contains approximately one mole of Zn, a result consistent with a role for this metal in catalysis. As is the case with the human α class carbonic anhydrases, aromatic and heterocyclic sulfonamides act as strong inhibitors of the *N. sicca* enzyme. The *N. sicca* carbonic anhydrase also has a weak esterase activity (10% of the human CA II activity) with 4-nitrophenyl acetate as a substrate, a property characteristic of all α class mammalian enzymes.

Adler et al. [25] found that several factors were important for obtaining optimal expression of the N. sicca enzyme. Maximal activity was observed when the pH of the growth medium was maintained at 7.1 and growth was at low pCO₂ as opposed to a high pCO₂ (10% CO₂) suggesting the enzyme is optimally expressed under low pCO₂ conditions. The sulfonamides acetazolamide and ethoxyzolamide were shown to inhibit growth of N. sicca [72,73]. This inhibition was reversed with progressive increases in the concentration of CO₂ (3, 5 and 10%) or excess $HCO_3^$ in the growth medium indicating that carbonic anhydrase facilitates the exchange of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ which enhances growth. Carbonic anhydrase activity was associated with the inner membrane and was released by mild sonication or solubilization with detergents. Although additional research is needed, these results are consistent with a role for carbonic anhydrase in N. sicca for the acquisition and transport of CO₂ by converting a freely dif-

Enzyme	Class	Phylo-	Holo-	Subunit compo- sition	$I_{50}~(\mu M)^d$		Kinetic parameter ^e			Refs.
		domain	(kDa) ^a		AZA	AZI	$\frac{k_{\text{cat}}}{(\text{s}^{-1})}$	K _m (mM)	$\frac{k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m}}{({\rm s}^{-1}~{\rm M}^{-1})}$	-
Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120	α	Bacteria	30	?	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	[67]
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	α	Bacteria	25	monomer	ND	ND	1.1×10^{6}	20	5.5×10^{7}	[53]
Neisseria sicca	α	Bacteria	29	monomer	0.05	ND	ND	ND	ND	[25,26]
Human CA II	α	Eukarya	30	monomer	0.015	1100	1.0×10^{6}	8.3	1.2×10^{8}	[16,142,188]
Methanobacterium thermo- autotrophicum	β	Archaea	90	tetramer	60	2100	1.7×10^{4}	2.9	5.9×10^{6}	[55]
Escherichia coli	β	Bacteria	90	tetramer ^c	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	[50]
Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803	β	Bacteria	?	?	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	[132]
Pisum sativum (pea)	β	Eukarya	180	octamer	28	6	2.3×10^{5}	2.5	9.2×10^{7}	[48,189]
Coccomyxa sp.	β	Eukarya	100	tetramer	ND	ND	3.8×10^{5}	4.7	8.1×10^{7}	[137,164]
Methanosarcina thermophila	γ	Archaea	74	trimer	400	3400	7.7×10^{4}	14.8	5.5×10^{6}	[16,58]
Acetobacterium woodii	?	Bacteria	20 or 30 ^b	?	4.4	ND	ND	ND	ND	[65]
Anabaena variabilis	?	Bacteria	48	dimer	0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	[71]
Rhodospirillum rubrum	?	Bacteria	28	dimer	0.0042	12	ND	ND	ND	[70]

ND, not determined.

^aHoloenzyme molecular masses were determined by gel filtration.

^bTwo protein bands were visible by SDS-PAGE but further efforts to obtain pure protein by gel filtration were unsuccessful.

^cThe presence of 5 mM HCO₃⁻ dissociated the tetramer into a dimer.

^dI₅₀s were determined for the inhibitors AZA (acetazolamide) and AZI (azide).

 $^{\rm e}k_{\rm cat}$ and $K_{\rm m}$ for CO₂ in the direction of CO₂ hydration were determined between pH 8.5 and pH 9.0 at 25°C.



Fig. 1. Crystal structure of the *N. gonorrhoeae* α class carbonic anhydrase. A: View of the monomeric *N. gonorrhoeae* structure. The active site Zn is shown in blue. The α -helices are shown in yellow and the β -strands are shown in blue. Residues Cys-28 (shown in red) and Cys-181 (shown in green) are completely conserved in prokaryotic α class carbonic anhydrase sequences and form a disulfide bond. The crystal structure model was produced by Insight II (Molecular Simulations, San Diego, CA, USA) using the atomic coordinates of the 1.78-Å structure [78]. B: View of the active site. The active site Zn is shown in magenta and the coordinating residues (His-92, His-94 and His-111) are shown in red. His-66, thought to act as a proton shuttle analogously to His-64 of human CA II, is shown in blue. Glu-98 and Thr-177 of the hydrogen bond network are shown in yellow and green, respectively. The active site model was produced by Rasmol (Biomolecular Structure, Glaxo Research and Development; Middlesex, UK) using the atomic coordinates of the 1.78-Å structure [78].

fusable uncharged gas to a charged species and releasing it on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane.

Although the first reported prokaryotic carbonic anhydrase was from *N. sicca*, no sequence for a neisserial enzyme was available until the nucleotide sequence of a gene fragment in N. gonorrhoeae, designated ORF2 [74], was detected by homology searches of the sequence databases. Chirica et al. [53] cloned the entire gene and found it to

encode a protein of 252 residues having a molecular mass of 28.1 kDa. This carbonic anhydrase was heterologously produced in *E. coli* as a periplasmic protein lacking the Nterminal 26 residues suggesting that the *E. coli* processing machinery recognized this fragment as a signal sequence and cleaved it. Although the location of the enzyme in *N. gonorrhoeae* has yet to be ascertained biochemically, these results are consistent with a location at or outside the cytoplasmic membrane; therefore, this carbonic anhydrase could potentially have the same function in CO_2 transport as hypothesized for the *N. sicca* enzyme.

The CO₂ hydration activity of the *N. gonorrhoeae* enzyme is similar to that of the high activity human CA II [53] (Table 1). The k_{cat} values at pH 9.0 are almost identical, but the K_m for the *N. gonorrhoeae* enzyme is nearly 2.5 times greater than reported for human CA II (Table 1). The rapid CO₂ hydration turnover of approximately 10^6 s^{-1} for human CA II requires the participation of His-64 as a proton shuttle [75–77] and this residue (His-66) is also conserved in the amino acid sequence of the neisserial carbonic anhydrase. The *N. gonorrhoeae* enzyme also has esterase activity, hydrolyzing 4-nitrophenyl acetate, but the specific activity is only 5% that of human CA II [53].

The crystal structure of the N. gonorrhoeae enzyme (Fig. 1A) was solved to a resolution of 1.78 Å by molecular replacement using human CA II as a template [78]. The dominating secondary structure is a 10-stranded, twisted β -sheet that divides the molecule into two halves. The α sheet is antiparallel with the exception of two pairs of parallel strands. The fold of the neisserial enzyme is nearly identical to that of human CA II [40] and most of the secondary structure elements present in the human isozyme are retained in the bacterial enzyme, but there are differences in the few helical regions of the protein [78]. Long deletions in the neisserial carbonic anhydrase relative to human CA II have resulted in the considerable shortening of three surface loops. One of these deletions corresponds to residues 128 to 139 of human CA II and leads to a widening of the entrance to the hydrophobic region of the active site cavity. Approximately the same regions have also been deleted in the sequences of the cyanobacterial a class enzymes from Anabaena and Synechococcus (Fig. 2). The structure of this region has also been shown to vary in other human isozymes as well. For example, in the membrane-associated human CA IV, an extended loop is observed rather than a helix [43]. Also, the helix in the mouse mitochondrial CA V is shifted about 2 Å from its position in human CA II [44].

The active site of the *N. gonorrhoeae* enzyme is located in a large cone-shaped cavity that stretches into the center of the molecule (Fig. 1A). The active site Zn is coordinated by three histidine residues (Fig. 1B) in a tetrahedral geometry with a water molecule serving as the fourth ligand. Most of the residues in the active site of the neisserial enzyme are conserved with the human isozymes including the three Zn ligands, His-92, His-94 and His-111 (Fig. 2). In addition, some of the residues of the neisserial enzyme that are hydrogen bonded to the histidine Zn ligands are also conserved with the human isozymes including Gln-90 and Glu-98 (Fig. 2). Nearly all of the residues in both the hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts of the active site are conserved and have similar structural positions.

X-ray crystallographic analysis of human CA II has shown that the Zn-bound hydroxide is hydrogen bonded to the hydroxyl oxygen of Thr-199 (Thr-177 of the neisserial enzyme), which is in turn hydrogen bonded to the side chain carboxyl of Glu-106 (Glu-98 of the neisserial enzyme) [79]. Both Glu-106 and Thr-199 are completely conserved among the α class carbonic anhydrases (Figs. 1B and 2) [1]. Glu-106/Thr-199 has been proposed to play two mechanistic roles. In addition to orienting the lone pair of electrons on the Zn-bound hydroxide for nucleophilic attack on CO₂ [80], these residues also have a 'gate-keeper' function in selecting only protonated molecules to bind to the active site [41,75,81].

His-66, which is thought to act as a proton shuttle analogously to His-64 of human CA II, is located between the active site Zn and the mouth of the active site cavity (Fig. 1B). In the structure of the neisserial carbonic anhydrase, the imidazole ring of His-66 interacts with an extraneous entity, thought to be 2-mercaptoethanol of the crystallization buffer, and is locked in the 'out' position. When this entity was removed from the crystallization buffer, the side chain of His-66 became extremely mobile and would presumably facilitate the proposed function as a proton shuttle. Whether 2-mercaptoethanol is an inhibitor of proton transfer remains to be determined.

The only two cysteine residues (Cys-28 and Cys-181) present in the neisserial carbonic anhydrase (Fig. 2) form a disulfide bond (Fig. 1A) that connects the N-terminal domain of the protein with a loop containing several active site residues [78]. Disulfide bonds have also been demonstrated for membrane-associated human isozymes such as CA IV [11,82] and secreted human isozymes such as CA VI [83], as well as the periplasmic enzyme from the unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [46,47].

4.2. E. coli

Cyanase is an inducible enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of cyanate into ammonia and carbon dioxide [84,85]:

$$NCO^{-} + 3H^{+} + HCO_{3}^{-} \rightleftharpoons NH_{4}^{+} + 2CO_{2}$$
(3)

Cyanate can be toxic to *E. coli* under some growth conditions, but can also be used as a sole source of nitrogen for growth as a result of the cyanase-catalyzed decomposition to ammonia [86]. The gene encoding cyanase, cynS, is transcribed as part of an operon [87] along with genes encoding a carbonic anhydrase (cynT) and a protein of unknown function (cynX) [88]. The cyanase operon is in-



Fig. 2. Alignment of α class carbonic anhydrase sequences. The sequences of the documented prokaryotic α class carbonic anhydrases were aligned with the sequences of the cytoplasmic CA II, the secreted CA VI and the membrane-bound CA IV human isozymes using Clustal X [190]. The conserved Zn ligands His-92, His-94 and His-111 are shown in yellow. The prokaryotic disulfide bond-forming residues Cys-28 and Cys-181 are shown in blue. Other conserved residues important for enzyme activity (His-66, Gln-90, Glu-98 and Thr-177) are shown in green. Prokaryotic signal sequences are underlined. Numbers indicating residue positions refer to the position in the *N. gonorrhoeae* sequence.

duced in the presence of cyanate and CynT is found localized in the cytosol [87,88].

The deduced amino acid sequence of CynT shows significant identity to the spinach and pea sequences, thereby making it the first β class carbonic anhydrase sequence identified in the prokaryotes. The subunit molecular mass of the CynT carbonic anhydrase was determined to be 24 kDa and one Zn is present per subunit [50]. The isolated enzyme is relatively unstable in the absence of ethylene glycol and appears to aggregate irreversibly. The native molecular mass of CynT is approximately 90 kDa indicating that this enzyme is a tetramer, a property unlike any plant carbonic anhydrase. When the purified carbonic anhydrase is stored in 15% ethylene glycol at 26°C, a slow cleavage occurs yielding an active fragment of 22 kDa. Whether this is the result of a contaminating protease or an autocatalytic activity has yet to be determined. Cyanate and acetazolamide confer 50% inhibition at 0.1 and 0.005 mM, respectively [50]. Like the plant carbonic anhydrases, 4-nitrophenyl acetate does not serve as a substrate [50]. However, carbamate, a product of the breakdown of cyanate catalyzed by cyanase, is decarboxylated by the enzyme [50]. This decarboxylation reaction has also been shown to be catalyzed by a carbonic anhydrase from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae [89].

Two pieces of experimental evidence suggest that enzy-

matic activity of CynT may be regulated within the cell. The first mechanism for regulation is protein degradation. After cyanate is depleted from the culture, CynT is degraded very rapidly at high pCO_2 (3% CO₂) but very slowly at low pCO₂ (0.03% CO₂) [88]. The processes for increasing the rate of degradation in the presence of high pCO₂ is not yet known. Whether the 22-kDa proteolytic fragment observed upon storage of the purified enzyme represents the first step in the degradation of CynT in the cell has not been reported. A second regulatory mechanism may be enzyme dissociation in the presence of HCO_3^- . Both sucrose density gradient experiments and gel filtration chromatography have shown that the molecular mass of the CynT is approximately 50 kDa in the presence of HCO_3^- , suggesting that the tetramer dissociates into a dimer in the presence of HCO_3^{-} [50]. By this mechanism, when the intracellular levels of HCO_3^- reach a certain level, the active CynT tetramer would dissociate into dimers which would presumably be inactive although this has yet to be shown. Subunit dissociation in the presence of HCO_3^- has not been reported for multimeric plant enzymes of the β class or any enzyme from the α or γ class. Whether this property is unique to CynT or to prokaryotic enzymes from the β class remains to be determined.

The discovery that *cynT* encodes a carbonic anhydrase

and that cyanase catalyzes the formation of two molecules of CO_2 from cyanate and HCO_3^- suggests that the role of CynT is to catalyze the hydration of the CO₂ generated by cyanase into HCO_3^- , thereby preventing depletion of the HCO_3^- required for further degradation of cyanate or for other metabolic processes [90]. Under low pCO_2 , it would be expected that CO₂ would diffuse out of the cell faster than it is hydrated nonenzymatically resulting in depletion of cellular HCO_3^- levels. This hypothesis was tested by the construction of a mutant with a non-polar deletion mutation within cynT [90]. In the presence of low pCO₂ (0.03% CO₂), the cynT mutant was extremely sensitive to inhibition of growth by cyanate. Even though the cyanase wasstill induced, the mutant could not catalyze the decomposition of cyanate or use cyanate as a sole source of nitrogen. The addition of cyanate to the growth media of the cynT deletion mutant resulted in the depletion of the cellular HCO_3^- , catalyzed by cyanase, which prevented further degradation of cyanate and led to an inhibition of growth. When the mutant was grown under higher pCO_2 (3% CO₂), the above growth inhibition disappeared. Thus, high pCO₂ serves the same role as the carbonic anhydrase in providing sufficient levels of cellular HCO_3^- for growth with cyanate as the nitrogen source.

Two experimental results indicated that the role of CynT may be more than just to supply HCO_3^- for the decomposition of cyanate. First, growth of a strain in which the entire cyanase operon was deleted was also susceptible to cyanate inhibition at low pCO₂ [91]. Growth inhibition was relieved when the cynTSX deletion mutant was grown in the presence of high pCO_2 . Second, growth inhibition by cyanate of a cynS deletion strain which still expressed carbonic anhydrase activity was the same as the effect on growth of the wild-type strain. The growth inhibition was less than that observed for the *cynTSX* deletion mutant and considerably less than the effect on the cynTdeletion mutant. This result indicates that carbonic anhydrase alone provided some protection against the inhibition of growth by cyanate. Thus, depletion of HCO_3^- has more serious consequences for growth than does the inhibitory effect of cyanate, implying some essential HCO₃dependent function in E. coli.

The dependence of bacteria on the presence of CO_2 for growth or for overcoming long lag times (the so-called sparking phenomenon) has been known for 25 years [92,93]. At low pCO₂ and low rates of metabolism, CO₂ is expected to diffuse out of the cell faster than it is hydrated to HCO₃⁻, thereby depleting the intracellular HCO₃⁻ needed for carboxylation reactions. Previous studies have shown that either a large inoculum or the presence of succinate, aspartate or oxaloacetate can overcome the long lag times observed during growth at low pCO₂ by increasing the citric acid cycle rates and the concentration of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ [92,93]. Kozliak et al. [91] showed that the growth of wild-type *E. coli* and the *cynS*, *cynT* and cynTSX deletion mutants is negligible when CO₂ is absent from the atmosphere. When succinate, fumarate, aspartate, α -ketoglutarate or malate are added to the media, growth of both the wild-type and deletion mutant strains are restored to near normal rates [91]. If growth inhibition by cyanate of the *cynT* deletion mutant is due to the depletion of HCO_3^- required for replenishing the citric acid cycle intermediates, these intermediates would be expected to relieve the inhibition of growth by cyanate. However, the presence of citric acid cycle intermediates in the growth media failed to overcome the cyanate inhibition of growth [91]. Another explanation for cyanate inhibition is that HCO_3^- is required for other carboxylation reactions besides those of the citric acid cycle. Kozliak et al. [91] attempted to relieve the inhibition of growth by adding end-product metabolites of other carboxylation reactions such as succinate, histidine, adenine, oleate and palmeate [91]. Although some effect was observed, the growth inhibition could not be completely overcome.

The above results suggest that CO_2 and HCO_3^- are important for additional cellular functions that are required for growth and inhibited by cyanate at low pCO₂. Unfortunately, effects on these functions would be observed only at low pCO₂ when the carboxylation reactions are also limiting [94] which will make identification of these putative functions difficult. Isocyanic acid (HN = C = O), a reactive tautomer of cyanate and a structural and electronic analogue of CO_2 (O = C = O), has been used to demonstrate a competition between cyanate and CO₂ at some unknown site thus causing growth inhibition [91]. This inhibition could be the result of cyanate either competing with CO_2 or HCO_3^- for a regulatory or catalytic binding site or carbamoylating a nucleophilic group (such as -SH, -NH₂, -OH and -COOH) that might normally undergo nonenzymatic carboxylation by CO₂.

4.3. Salmonella typhimurium

Little is known about what genes are required for intracellular growth and survival of pathogens. Valdivia and Falkow devised a selection strategy on the basis of differential fluorescence induction for identifying genes whose expression is induced when the facultative intracellular pathogen S. typhimurium associates with its host cell [95]. Green fluorescent protein was used as a selectable marker in conjunction with fluorescence-activated cell sorting. Host macrophages infected with a bacterium having a transcriptionally active gfp gene fusion were separated by a fluorescence-activated cell sorter and lysed. The recovered bacteria were then grown in vitro and those that had little to no fluorescence were isolated. Fourteen promoters with intracellular-dependent activity were identified and the genes present downstream of these promoters were then isolated. One of the genes identified was mig-5 (for macrophage-inducible gene), whose expression is induced 24-fold in macrophages [95]. The predicted amino acid sequence of *mig-5* is 24% identical to that of the *E. coli* CynT β class carbonic anhydrase.

Gene disruptions were constructed for each of the *mig* genes to determine if the macrophage-inducible proteins have a role in virulence [95]. Each mutant was tested not only for the ability to colonize the spleen of BALB/c mice in competition assays against wild-type *S. typhimurium* but also for the ability to survive in the macrophage-like cell line RAW 264.7. Only 13% of the colony forming units present in the spleen were derived from the *mig-5* insertion mutants, but the *mig-5* mutant showed no growth defect in the RAW 264.7 cells. These results suggest that the *mig-5* gene product is not essential for survival in tissue culture but is important for survival of *S. typhimurium* within the host.

4.4. Cyanobacteria

As compared to plants, cyanobacteria have a much higher affinity for environmental CO₂ [96-100] and their growth is dependent on both photosynthesis and the fixation of CO₂ via the Calvin cycle [10]. The CO₂-fixing enzyme ribulose 1,5-biphosphate carboxylase (Rubisco) has a very low affinity for CO_2 with a K_m of approximately 100-150 µM [101]; however, cyanobacteria thrive at CO_2 concentrations even as low as 10 μ M [102]. The ability to survive at low pCO₂ is assumed to be the consequence of a CO₂-concentrating mechanism (CCM) (Fig. 3) [96–100] whose essential features are: (i) active transport of inorganic carbon, C_i (CO₂ and HCO₃), into the cell by energy-dependent transporters with resultant accumulation of HCO_3^- within the cell and (ii) generation of elevated CO₂ levels for efficient CO₂ fixation by Rubisco within the carboxysomes. Carbonic anhydrases are thought to play a central role in the CCM and in fact activities are found both at the plasma membrane and in the carboxysomes [103-105].

Cyanobacteria are able to utilize both CO_2 and HCO_3^- ; however, only HCO_3^- accumulates within the cytoplasm [106–109], suggesting CO_2 is converted to HCO_3^- . Recent studies of Ci transport in Synechococcus species have identified multiple transporters for CO_2 and HCO_3^- [99,100]. CO_2 uptake is constitutive under both low and high p CO_2 and shows saturable kinetics indicating that CO₂ uptake is mediated by a membrane-bound active transport system [106,107,110]. Since CO_2 transport is inhibited by the lipid-soluble carbonic anhydrase inhibitor ethoxyzolamide, a membrane-associated carbonic anhydrase was assumed to function in transport [111,112], possibly by converting CO_2 to HCO_3^- ; however, it has yet to be determined if this CO_2 hydration is due to a carbonic anhydrase or a carbonic anhydrase-like moiety [99,100,107]. The CO₂ hydration associated with the plasma membrane is half that of the carboxysomal activity and has a 20-fold higher I₅₀ for ethoxyzolamide [111,112]. The concentrations of ethoxyzolamide required to inhibit C_i transport are high

High CO₂

Plasma membrane







Fig. 3. Models showing the role of carbonic anhydrase in the CCM of cyanobacteria.

(100 μ M) compared with purified CA enzymes (1 nM–1 μ M). The fact that the less lipophilic acetazolamide is not as effective an inhibitor suggests that the site of inhibition is buried within a hydrophobic membrane environment. Membrane-bound carbonic anhydrases, in which the active site of the enzyme is located within the membrane, have not been identified in either eukaryotes or prokaryotes. Although there is strong evidence for a carbonic anhydrase-like moiety, the protein(s) involved in the transport of CO₂ has not yet been identified. Mediated transport of CO₂ might be considered unlikely since the lipid bilayer of the membrane should be permeable to CO₂. A recent model [113] postulates passive diffusion of CO₂ into the cell and subsequent energy-dependent conversion of CO₂ to HCO₃⁻ by the carbonic anhydrase-like moiety.

Although a carbonic anhydrase-like moiety is the most favored model, two other mechanisms for the uptake of CO_2 which could lead to its conversion to HCO_3^- during transport have been proposed [107]. First, CO_2 can undergo reversible reactions with free amino acid groups to form carbamino adducts or carbamates [114]. An OH⁻catalyzed decarboxylation of carbamate would result in the release of HCO_3^- . A second possible mechanism is the binding of CO_2 to a transition metal. Synthetic transition metal complexes have been shown to bind CO_2 and studies indicate the coordinated CO_2 molecule is in a bent configuration [115]. This strained configuration enhances susceptibility of the electrophilic C atom of CO_2 to nucleophilic attack by OH^- [116]. In both mechanisms, the generation of the OH^- is the energy-requiring step and could occur by raising the pH of the immediate surroundings either by photosynthetic or respiratory electron transport. In fact, CO_2 transport has previously been shown to be dependent on electron transport [117].

Experiments in *Synechococcus* PCC7942 have identified two HCO_3^- transporters [99]. High pCO₂-grown cells utilize a Na⁺-dependent HCO_3^- uptake system, while cells grown under low pCO₂ possess both Na⁺-dependent and Na⁺-independent mechanisms for uptake (Fig. 3). The constitutive Na⁺-dependent transporter is inhibited by monensin, an ionophore that mediates Na⁺/H⁺ exchange, suggesting a Na⁺/HCO₃⁻ symport mechanism [118]; however, further experiments are needed to confirm this mechanism. A candidate for this transporter is IctB [119], a protein with nine or 10 membrane spanning domains whose sequence has identity to a Na⁺-panthothenate symporter (PanF) from *E. coli*. Disruption of the *ictB* gene results in a strain requiring high levels of CO₂ for growth [119].

CmpABCD is a high affinity HCO_3^- transporter that is induced during CO₂ limitation and appears to be Na⁺independent [120]. This transporter belongs to the diverse subfamily of ABC (ATP binding cassette) transporters found in prokaryotes, also known as traffic ATPases [121]. Expression of CmpABCD alone is not sufficient for full induction of the high affinity state of the CCM. During induction of the CCM, high affinity HCO₃⁻ transport occurs within a few minutes of CO₂ limitation [122]. This fast induction is unaffected by inhibitors of protein synthesis, but does not occur in the presence of inhibitors of protein kinase [122]. These results suggest that fast induction involves post-translational phosphorylation of existing proteins rather than de novo synthesis [122,123]. If IctB is the Na⁺-dependent HCO₃⁻ transporter and its expression is constitutive, it would be a likely target for the kinase-mediated fast induction.

As a result of C_i transport, the steady-state equilibrium between CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ is shifted towards HCO₃⁻. The substrate for the CO₂-fixing enzyme Rubisco is CO₂ and not HCO₃⁻ [101]; therefore, an intracellular carbonic anhydrase would be expected to be present to catalyze the dehydration of HCO₃⁻ to CO₂. Attempts to express human CA II within the cytoplasm resulted in a decreased rate of CO₂ fixation and a requirement for a high pCO₂ (5%) for growth [124]. This led Price and Badger [125] to theorize that the intracellular carbonic anhydrase is localized within organelles termed carboxysomes [126]. These polyhedral protein bodies which contain most if not all the cellular Rubisco are thought to serve as a permeability barrier for CO_2 to prevent its leakage out of the cell. HCO_3^- in the cytoplasm freely diffuses into the carboxysome where the carbonic anhydrase could catalyze its dehydration into CO₂ for consumption by Rubisco. Price et al. [105] isolated carboxysomes and identified an associated carbonic anhydrase activity with some unusual properties, including inactivation by dithiothreitol and a requirement for 20 mM Mg²⁺ for maximal activity. Bedu and Joset [103,104] had previously indicated that the properties of the carbonic anhydrase activity associated with the plasma membrane were distinct from those of the intracellular enzyme(s) based on the differential effects of a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor. These results suggest that different carbonic anhydrases have specific and distinct functions in the transport and fixation of CO₂.

All three classes of carbonic anhydrase have been identified in the cyanobacteria. In fact some cyanobacteria (i.e. *Synechococcus* PCC7942) have genes encoding enzymes from all three classes. Each enzyme class and the potential roles they play are discussed below.

4.4.1. Alpha class carbonic anhydrase

A gene *ecaA* (<u>external carbonic anhydrase alpha class</u>) was identified in *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC7120 which encodes a protein of approximately 29 kDa [67] with a deduced amino acid sequence showing identity to several human α class isozymes. Although heterologous expression of EcaA in *E. coli* resulted in the formation of inclusion bodies with no detectable carbonic anhydrase activity, antisera derived against the chicken CA II cross-reacted with the heterologously produced EcaA. Polyclonal antisera derived against the heterologously produced EcaA also cross-reacted with a 29-kDa protein in whole cell lysates of *Anabaena*.

Expression of EcaA appears to be regulated by the level of CO_2 in the growth medium [67]. High levels of EcaA are present in cells grown at elevated CO_2 levels (1% CO_2) and low but still detectable levels are observed following 24 h of low CO_2 (0.01%) exposure. Transcription of *ecaA* follows a similar pattern of regulation with high levels of mRNA being detected only in high CO_2 -grown cells. Immunoelectron microscopy studies with antisera derived against EcaA showed that this protein is found outside the cell and associated with the cell wall, periplasmic space or cytoplasmic membrane [67]. Unfortunately, under optimal conditions for EcaA expression, neither whole cell lysates nor membrane preparations exhibited carbonic anhydrase activity; thus, rigorous evidence for the location of this carbonic anhydrase is not available.

Using primers based on the Anabaena ecaA sequence, a homologous gene was identified and isolated from the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942 [67]. Interestingly, a search of the entire genome sequence of Sy*nechocystis* PCC6803 indicated that this microbe lacks a gene encoding an α class carbonic anhydrase. The *Synechococcus ecaA* gene encodes a 26-kDa protein with a large number of positively charged residues in the amino-terminal domain suggesting the presence of a signal sequence for membrane targeting. *Synechococcus* exhibited the same pattern of EcaA expression as displayed by *Anabaena* with increased levels of EcaA present in cells grown at elevated levels of CO₂ [67].

In an effort to identify the role of EcaA in the CCM of cyanobacteria, deletion mutants of the Synechococcus ecaA were constructed [67]. No detectable difference was observed between the mutant and the wild-type cells in carbonic anhydrase activity measured at the cell surface as determined by the ¹⁸O exchange method [127]. Active CO₂ transport and Na⁺-dependent and Na⁺-independent HCO_3^- transport were unaffected in the *ecaA* mutant. The ecaA mutant initially grew slower than the wild-type on both low and high C_i, but the rates were nearly indistinguishable during steady state. The maximal rates of Cidependent photosynthesis (measured by O_2 evolution) were also identical; however, the mutant grown in low pCO₂ displayed a more rapid onset of O₂ evolution (29% faster) upon addition of limiting concentrations of CO_2 than the wild-type [67]. Both wild-type and mutant cells exhibited similar patterns of photosynthetic O2 evolution when limiting concentrations of HCO_3^- were added. An earlier onset of photosynthesis in the ecaA mutant upon the addition of limiting concentrations of CO₂ suggests that the immediate external concentration of CO₂ available for transport is higher than that for the wildtype. Since CO_2 transport is far more rapid than $HCO_3^$ transport, a rapid accumulation of Ci would result. In the wild-type, the activity of EcaA would quickly hydrate much of the added CO₂; thus, a lower amount of CO₂ would be available and HCO_3^- would be the major transported species.

These results imply that EcaA does not have a role in the CCM; however, the possibility that EcaA may play a role under more acidic and alkaline growth conditions cannot be ruled out. Several other potential roles for EcaA in cyanobacteria can be envisaged [67]. EcaA may be required to fully equilibrate C_i speciation at the site of transport in order to maximize substrate availability for either the CO₂ or HCO₃⁻ transporter. Alternatively, EcaA may serve as a sensor, either detecting or signaling changes in the level of CO₂ in the environment [127]. Recent evidence suggests that HCO₃⁻ is the signal for fast induction of the high affinity state of the CCM and therefore detection of the level of CO₂ is essential [122,128,129].

4.4.2. Beta class carbonic anhydrase

Synechococcus mutants that were able to accumulate C_i inside the cell but were unable to utilize it for CO_2 fixation were isolated [51,130,131]. These mutants required a high level of p CO_2 (4–5%) for growth and one also exhibited a

30-fold reduction in carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase activity [51], the complementation of which resulted in the identification of the gene *isfA* [51]. This gene encodes a protein of 272 amino acids whose sequence is 31% identical to that of the CynT, the β class carbonic anhydrase from *E. coli*.

Inactivation of the *isfA* gene by insertional mutagenesis resulted in a mutant that accumulated C_i to wild-type levels internally, but was unable to use the internal C_i pool for CO₂ fixation [51]. This observation led Fukuzawa et al. [51] to propose that IsfA is the carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase whose function is to dehydrate HCO₃⁻ to CO₂ for the CO₂-fixing enzyme Rubisco. Neither physiological studies confirming the localization of IsfA within *Synechococcus* cells nor biochemical characterization of the purified enzyme have been reported.

A DNA fragment was isolated from a *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC6803 subgenomic plasmid library that strongly hybridized to a fragment of the *isfA* gene from *Synechococcus* sp. strain PCC7942 [132]. The isolated gene, denoted as *ccaA* (cyanobacterial carboxysome localized), encodes a 274-amino acid polypeptide with a predicted molecular mass of 30.7 kDa. The deduced amino acid sequence is 55% identical to that of the sequence of the *Synechococcus isfA* gene product. Heterologous expression of *ccaA* in *E. coli* resulted predominantly in the formation of inclusion bodies. However, carbonic anhydrase activity was detected in the soluble fraction due to a small amount of soluble CcaA that was sensitive to ethoxyzolamide.

So and Espie [132] used an improved method of subcellular fractionation [105] to obtain intact carboxysomes free of both soluble enzymes and thylakoid membranes. Immunoassays using polyclonal antisera derived against CcaA revealed that 97% of CcaA was associated with the isolated intact carboxysomes. These results indicate that CcaA in *Synechocystis* is the carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase and provides CO_2 for Rubisco. Whether the *Synechococcus* IsfA is a functional homolog of CcaA and also localized in the carboxysome remains to be determined. Previous studies with *Synechococcus* have indicated that the carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase activity is inactivated by dithiothreitol and requires 20 mM Mg²⁺ for maximal activity [105]; however, these properties were not examined [132] with the purified CcaA.

No cross-reactive proteins to antisera derived against CcaA were detected with the soluble fraction or associated with the thylakoid membranes [132] and neither were examined for carbonic anhydrase activity. Whether other carbonic anhydrases exist in the cytoplasm or are associated with the thylakoid membrane remains to be resolved [133]. No cross-reactive proteins were detected in the partially purified plasma membrane; thus, the carbonic anhydrase activity present in the plasma membrane is mediated by an immunologically distinct activity.

An additional open reading frame (slr0051) in *Synechocystis* PCC6803 with a high degree of sequence similarity

<i>Synechococcus</i> CcmM <i>Anababaena</i> Rub Act	225 327	LSSEVITQVRSLLNQGYRIGTEHADKRRFRTSSWQPCAPIQSTNERQVLSELENCL ISLETQEQIRQILAQGHKITFEHVDNRRFRTGSWQSCGTIHVDAESDAISTLESCL	280 382
T.ferrooxidans Rub	30	QIAYLINQGWNPGIEHVEPERASTYYWYMWKLPMFGEQSVDTVIMELEACH	80
Anabaena Rub	22	AQIEKQVQYILNQGYIPAIEFNETSEPTEFYWTMWKLPLFNAQSTREVLGEVQACR	77
		86 91	
Synechococcus CcmM	281	SEHEGEYVELLGIDTNTRSRVFEALIQRPDGS 312	
Anababaena Rub Act	383	AEYRGEYVELVGIDPKAKREVVETIIQEPNGT 414	
T.ferrooxidans Rub	81	RAHPGHHVRLVGYDNYSQSQ 100	
Anabaena Rub	78	SQYPTHYIRVVGFDNIKQCQILSFIVHKP 106	
Synechococcus ComM	308	RPDGSVPESLGSOPVAVASGCCBOSSVASVSCNLSAEVVNKVDNLLAOGVPTCTEHADKP	367
Anababaena Rub Act	299	OPASPVAETATSOPSPNGVNOPOSASPHISLETOFOTROTLAOCHKITEFHVDNP	353
T. ferrooxidans Rub	30	OIAVLINGUNDGIFHVEDR	49
Anabaena Rub	22		49
		Ağıbağığı imegiliri biriliri biri	-
		86 91	
Synechococcus CcmM	368	RFRTSSWOSCAPI-OSSNEROVLAELENCLSEHEGEYVELLGIDTASRSRVFEALIODPOG	427
Anababaena Rub Act	354	RFRTGSWOSCGTI-HVDAESDAISTLESCLAEYRGEYVRLVGIDPKAKRRVVETIIORPNG	413
T.ferrooxidans Rub	50	RASTYYWYMWKLPMF-GEOSVDTVIMELEACHRAHPGHHVRLVGYDNYSOSO	100
Anabaena Rub	50	EFYWTMWKLPLFNAOSTREVLGEVOACRSOYPTHYIRVVGFRNIKOCOILSFIVHKP	106
		86	
Synechococcus CcmM	462	QVRGLLAQGYRISAEVADKRRFQTSSWQSLPALSGQSEATVLPALESILQEHKGKYVR	519
Anababaena Rub Act	334	QIRQILAQGHKITFEHVDNRRFRTGSWQSCGTIHVDAESDAISTLESCLAEYRGEYVR	391
T.ferrooxidans Rub	30	QIAYLINQGWNPGIEHVEPERASTYYWYMWKLPMFGEQSVDTVIMELEACHRAHPGHHVR	89
Anabaena Rub	27	QVQYILNQGYIPAIEFNETSEPTEFYWTMWKLPLFNAQSTREVLGEVQACRSQYPTHYI	86
a	500	91	
Synechococcus ComM	520	LIGIDPAARREVAELLIQKP 539	
Anadadaena Rub Act	392	LVGIDPKAKRRVVETIIQRP 411	
T. Ierrooxidans Rub	90	LVGYD 94	
Anabaena Kub	87	VVGFDNIKQCQILSFIVHKP 106	

Fig. 4. Alignment of the *Synechococcus* CcmM sequence with the *Anabaena* Rubisco activase sequence and the Rubisco small subunit sequences from *T. ferrooxidans* and *Anabaena* sp. Alignments between the CcmM internal repeat regions with the homologous regions of the Rubisco activase (Rub Act) and Rubisco small subunit (Rub) sequences are shown. Arg-86 and Asp-91 (referring to the position in the *Anabaena* Rubisco small subunit sequence), thought to be involved in binding of the small Rubisco subunit to the large subunits, are highlighted in green.

to the *E. coli* CynT β class carbonic anhydrase has been identified [127]. The gene, designated ecaB (external carbonic anhydrase beta class), encodes a 263-amino acid polypeptide that has a putative prokaryotic membrane lipoprotein lipid attachment site indicating that the polyprotein may either be associated with the membrane or located in the periplasm; however, the location of EcaB in Synechocystis PCC6803 has not yet been ascertained. Heterologous expression of EcaB in E. coli resulted in the formation of inclusion bodies with no detectable carbonic anhydrase activity [127]. The phenotype of a Synechocystis PCC6803 ecaB mutant appeared to be identical to that of the Synechococccus PCC7042 ecaA mutant in that no detectable difference was observed between the mutant and wild-type cells in enzyme activity, in CO₂ and HCO_3^- transport or in steady state growth [127]. Like the α class enzyme EcaA, EcaB does not play an essential catalytic role in the functioning of the CCM. Thus, the Synechocystis PCC6803 β class carbonic anhydrase EcaB may have the same function as the Synechococcus PCC7942 α class enzyme EcaA. Therefore, these two different genera of cyanobacteria may use different classes of carbonic anhydrase for the same function.

4.4.3. Gamma class carbonic anhydrase

A number of genes named ccm (for carbon concentrat-

ing mechanism) have been identified in a region upstream of the rbcLS operon in Synechococcus PCC7942, which encodes genes for the subunits of Rubisco [99,134]. Each of the *ccm* genes (*ccmK*, *ccmL*, *ccmM*, *ccmN* and *ccmO*) is essential for growth at low pCO₂ and for correct assembly of the carboxysome [134]. ccmM encodes a bifunctional gene product of 539 amino acids of which the first 219 are 35% identical to those of Cam, the prototypical γ carbonic anhydrase from M. thermophila. Three internal repeats present in the C-terminal 320 amino acids show 45-51% identity to the C-terminal region of the Anabaena Rubisco activase (Fig. 4). Rubisco activase [135] catalyzes the ATP-dependent activation of the Rubisco-ribulose 1,5-biphosphate complex which is energetically coupled to the light reactions of photosynthesis. These internal repeats also show similarity to the small subunit of Rubisco (RbcS). The homologous region includes amino acids Arg-86 and Asp-91 of the small subunit of the Anabaena Rubisco (Fig. 4), which are thought to be involved in binding the small and large subunits of Rubisco together [134]. Genes with similar deduced amino acid sequences, but with four RbcS domains, have also been found in Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 and Synechococcus sp. PCC7002 [99].

Using antisera derived against the 58-kDa CcmM protein from *Synechococcus* PCC7942 overexpressed in *E. coli*, CcmM was found to be a moderately abundant protein localized to the carboxysomes although it is not yet known if it is located inside or on the peripheral shell of the carboxysome [99]. The CcmM antisera also cross-reacts with a 35-kDa protein in the carboxysome-enriched preparation, but it is not known if this polypeptide is a processed form of CcmM, a second site translation product or an artifact. Carbonic anhydrase activity has not yet been reported for the heterologously expressed CcmM in E. coli and its role in CO₂ fixation remains to be determined. In addition to a structural role, CcmM may also prevent leakage of CO₂ out of the carboxysome. Any CO2 diffusing out of the carboxysome would be converted to HCO_3^- , which can then be dehydrated by the carboxysomal β class carbonic anhydrase to supply CO₂ for Rubisco.

4.4.4. Unclassified

Yagawa et al. [71] found carbonic anhydrase activity in cell extracts from Anabaena variabilis M-2 and M-3 in addition to A. variabilis ATCC29413. Activity was significantly higher in cells grown under low pCO₂ than in those grown under higher pCO₂ (2–4% CO₂). The soluble carbonic anhydrase from A. variabilis ATCC29413 was purified greater than 200-fold and major (48 kDa) and minor peaks (25 kDa) of active carbonic anhydrase were detected after gel filtration chromatography of the enzyme. Native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) of both peaks showed only a single band of approximately 48 kDa, suggesting that the major peak of carbonic anhydrase was a dimer and the minor peak was the dissociated monomer. The activity of the enzyme from A. variabilis ATCC29413 was inhibited by the presence of 5 mM dithiothreitol similar to the previously described Synechococcus carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase activity [105]. These similarities suggest this enzyme may be the carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase of A. variabilis ATCC29413, but further experiments are clearly required to confirm this. The determination of which class of carbonic anhydrase the A. variabilis enzyme belongs to awaits the cloning and sequencing of the gene encoding this enzyme.

4.5. Rhodospirillum rubrum

Carbonic anhydrase activity was detected in anaerobically and photosynthetically grown *R. rubrum* cells [70]; however, no enzyme activity was detected in cells grown aerobically in the dark. Initial attempts at purification of this soluble enzyme were difficult due to a loss of enzyme activity during the purification; however, inclusion of Zn in the buffers largely resolved the loss of enzyme activity, consistent with a role for this metal in catalysis. The molecular mass of the enzyme was determined to be approximately 30 kDa by gel filtration chromatography and sucrose density gradient studies. A single protein band of approximately 14 kDa was detected on denaturing SDS- PAGE indicating that the enzyme is a dimer. In addition to having CO₂ hydration activity, the *R. rubrum* carbonic anhydrase was also shown to have a weak esterase activity; however, this activity was only detectable at enzyme levels 80 times greater than the levels used for the CO₂ hydration assay. Thus far, only α class carbonic anhydrases have been shown to have esterase activity suggesting the *R. rubrum* carbonic anhydrase may belong to this class. The cloning and sequencing of the gene encoding this carbonic anhydrase has not been reported, thus it is impossible to determine in which class this enzyme belongs.

4.6. Acetobacterium woodii

The acetogens *A. woodii, Acetohalobium arabaticum, Clostridium formicoaceticum* and *Sporomusa silvacetica* were all found to contain carbonic anhydrase activity [65]. Of the acetogens tested, *A. woodii* had the highest specific carbonic anhydrase activity (14 U mg⁻¹) and activity was detected in cell extracts of either glucose- or H₂-CO₂-grown cells. The carbonic anhydrase was found to be cytoplasmic and was purified 300-fold to a specific activity of 5236 U mg⁻¹. After purification, two prominent bands (approximately 20 and 30 kDa) were visible on SDS– PAGE gel, but further attempts to obtain pure carbonic anhydrase by gel filtration were unsuccessful.

The physiological functions of carbonic anhydrase in acetogens is unknown, but several can be envisioned [65]. Acetogens have a high demand for CO_2 in both energy-yielding metabolism and pathways for CO₂ fixation; thus one function may be to increase the intracellular CO_2 levels. Many acetogens cannot grow acetogenically under heterotrophic or autotrophic conditions in the absence of CO₂. A CCM may be essential during autotrophic growth when CO_2 is used as both a carbon source and an electron acceptor. Acetazolamide (2 mM) had no apparent affect on the growth of A. woodii during heterotrophic conditions [65]; however, growth on H₂-CO₂ was completely inhibited suggesting an important role for carbonic anhydrase in autotrophic growth. Other potential roles for the A. woodii carbonic anhydrase include the regulation of intracellular pH due to the large amount of acetate being produced during growth and the coupling to an acetate/ HCO_3^- antiporter [65].

5. Properties of isolated carbonic anhydrases from the Archaea domain

Although only two archaeal carbonic anhydrases have been documented [16,55], the enzyme appears to be widespread in the two kingdoms of the Archaea domain [14]. Interestingly, a gene encoding a putative α class carbonic anhydrase has not yet been identified in the domain, consistent with the relatively recent invention of this class.

Spinach Pea Synechococcus PCC7942 Synechocystis PCC6803 E. coli S. typhimurium M. thermoautotrophicum	GKGYDEAIEELQKLLREKTELKATAAEKVEQITSELADGGTPSASYPVQRIKEGFIKFKKEK GKGYDEAIEELQKLLREKTELKATAAEKVEQITAQLGTTSSSDGIP-KSEASERIKTGFLHFKKEK 	134 125 15 52 15 71 7
	32 34 36 50	
Spinach Pea Synechococcus PCC7942 Synechocystis PCC6803 E. coli S. typhimurium M. thermoautotrophicum	YEKNPALYGELSKGQAPKFMVFACSISEVCPSHVLDFQPGEAFMVRNIANMVPVFDKDKYAGVGAAI YDKNPALYGELAKGQSPFFMVFACSISEVCPSHVLDFQPGEAFVVRNVANLVPPYDQAKYAGTGAAI YPSHRDLFEQFAKGQHPRVLFITCSISEIDPNLITQSGMGELFVIRNAGNLIPPFGAAN-GGEGASI FSSHRDLFEQLSHQHPRILFICCSISEVOPNLITQSEVGDLFVIRNAGNIPPYGAAN-GGEGASM FPKREALFKQLATQQSPRTLFISCSISELVPELVTQREPGDLFVIRNAGNIVPSYGPEP-GGVSASV PAKHDYLAQKINSIAGQYPAAVILSCISERAPAEIVLDAGIGETFNSRVAGN-ISNRDMLGSM REMODFFRDLS-DLHSPKLCIITCMNSSILDILERAGIGTBCRAKVIKNAGN-VDDGVIRSM	201 192 81 118 81 133 70
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	87 90	
Spinach	EYAVLHLKVENIVVIG <mark>H</mark> SA <mark>C</mark> GGIKGLMSFPDAGPTTTDFIEDWVKICLPAKHKVLAEHGNATFAEQCTHCE	271
Pea	EYAVLHLKVSNIVVIG <mark>H</mark> SA <mark>C</mark> GGIKGLLSFPFDGTYSTDFIEEWVKIGLPAKAKVKAQHGDAPFAELCTHCE	263
Synechococcus PCC7942	EYAIAALNIEHVVVCG <mark>H</mark> SH <mark>C</mark> GAMKGLLKL-NQLQEDMPLVYDWLQHAQATRRLVLDNYSGYETDDLVEILV	151
Synechocystis PCC6803	EYALVALEINQIIVCG <mark>H</mark> SH <mark>C</mark> GAMKGLLKL-NSLQEKLPLVYDWLKHTEATRRLVLDNYSHLEGEDLIEVAV	188
E. coli	EYAVAALRVSDIVICG <mark>H</mark> SNCGAMTAIASCQCMDHMPAVSHWLRYADSAR-VVNEARPHSDLPSKAAAMV	150
S. typhimurium	EFACAVAGAKVVLVIGHTRCGAVRCAIDNAELGNLTGLLDEIKPAIAKTDYSGERKGSNYDFVDAVA	200
M. thermoautotrophicum	AVAIYALGVNEIIIVG <mark>H</mark> TD <mark>C</mark> GMARLDEDLIVSRMRELGVEEEVIENFSIDVLNPVGDE	128
Spinach	KEAVNVSLGNLL-TYPFVRDGLVKKTLALOGGYYDFVNG-SFELWGLEYGLSPSOSV	326
Pea	KEAVNASLGNLL-TYPFVREGLVNKTLALKGGYYDFVKG-SFELWGLEFGLS-STFSV	318
Synechococcus PCC7942	AENVLTQIENLK-TYPIVRSRLFQGKLQIFGWIYEVESGEVLOISRTSSDDTGIDECPVRLPGSOEKAI	219
Synechocystis PCC6803	AENILTQLKNLQ-TYPAIHSRLHRGDLSLHGWIYRIEEGEVLAYDGVLHDFVAPOSRINALEPEDEYAL	256
E. coli	RENVIAQLANLQ-THPSVRLALEEGRIALHGWVYDIESGSIAAFDGATROFVPLAANPRVCAIPLROPTAA	220
S. typhimurium	RKNVELTIENIRKNSPVLKQLEDEKKIKIVGSMYHLTGG-KVEFFEV	246
M. thermoautotrophicum	EENVIEGVKRLK-SSPLIPESIGVHGLIIDINTGRLKPLYLDED	171

Fig. 5. Alignment of β class carbonic anhydrase sequences. The sequences of documented prokaryotic β class carbonic anhydrases were aligned with the sequences of the spinach and pea enzymes using Clustal X [190]. The spinach and pea chloroplast transit peptides (amino acids 1–98 for the spinach enzyme and 1–70 for the pea enzyme) were not included in the alignment. The conserved Zn ligands Cys-32, His-87 and Cys-90 are indicated in yellow. The completely conserved residues Asp-34 and Arg-36 are shown in green and the highly conserved Gly-50 is shown in blue. Numbers indicating residue positions refer to the position in the *M. thermoautotrophicum* sequence.

The two documented archaeal enzymes are discussed in detail below.

5.1. M. thermoautotrophicum ΔH

Recently, genome sequencing of the thermophilic, obligately chemolithoautotrophic methanoarchaeon M. thermoautotrophicum AH revealed an open reading frame with a deduced sequence 34% identical to CynT, the β class carbonic anhydrase of E. coli [54,69]. The ORF-encoded protein, Cab (carbonic anhydrase beta), was expressed in E. coli, purified to electrophoretic homogeneity and found to have carbonic anhydrase activity [55]. Native gel filtration chromatography estimates the molecular mass of Cab to be approximately 90 kDa [55]. The calculated subunit molecular mass is 18.9 kDa suggesting that native Cab is a tetramer in agreement with the E. coli CynT. Analytical ultracentrifugation studies confirm that Cab is a tetramer [59]. Thus, the first two documented prokaryotic β class carbonic anhydrases are tetramers [50] differing from those characterized from spinach and pea (dicotyledonous plants) which are octameric [49]. CD analysis and secondary structure predictions of Cab and the β class spinach enzyme indicate a domination of α helical structure that is in stark contrast to that of the α and γ class carbonic anhydrases which are composed mainly of β -sheet structures [59].

Purified Cab retains full activity after incubation for at least 15 min at temperatures up to 75°C; thus, Cab is the

most thermostable carbonic anhydrase yet characterized. Iodide, nitrate and azide are potent inhibitors; however, anions such as chloride and sulfate, which inhibit plant β class carbonic anhydrases, have no effect on the activity of Cab. The insensitivity to chloride and sulfate has also been observed for the γ class carbonic anhydrase from *M. thermophila* [15,16], the only other known methanoarchaeal carbonic anhydrase. Like other characterized carbonic anhydrases, Cab is also susceptible to inhibition by the sulfonamides acetazolamide and ethoxyzolamide. As with other characterized β class carbonic anhydrases, Cab shows no detectable esterase activity.

X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) analysis clearly indicates that the Zn coordination of β class Cab is distinct from that of the α and γ classes of carbonic anhydrase [59]. Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EX-AFS) results suggest that the active site Zn is coordinated by two cysteine residues and two oxygen/nitrogen ligands [59]. One oxygen/nitrogen ligand may derive from histidine while the other most likely results from a water molecule which is deprotonated and serves as the Zn-hydroxide attacking CO₂. Residues Cys-32, His-87 and Cys-90 of Cab are completely conserved in the β class carbonic anhydrase sequences (Fig. 5). Although site-directed mutagenesis studies with the spinach enzyme indicate that alterations to these three residues result in variants lacking Zn [136], definitive proof that these residues serve as ligands awaits the first crystal structure for any β class carbonic anhydrase.

Cab is the first prokaryotic β class carbonic anhydrase for which kinetic parameters have been obtained and the results suggest a Zn-hydroxide mechanism for Cab [55,59]. The $K_{\rm m}$ value for CO₂ is very similar to that of the β class enzymes isolated from higher plants [48,57] and the unicellular green alga *Coccomyxa* [137]; however, the k_{cat} for Cab is at least 10-fold less than those of other β class enzymes (Table 1). One possible reason for the difference in k_{cat} and catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) is that the assay temperature was more than 40°C below the optimal growth temperature of M. thermoautotrophicum, which is between 65 and 75°C. The optimal temperature for Cab activity is expected to be near the growth temperature; however, the decreased solubility of CO₂ at these temperatures under atmospheric pressure precludes the determination of accurate kinetic parameters above 25°C.

Carbonic anhydrase activity (0.8 U mg⁻¹) was detected in M. thermoautotrophicum cell extract [55]. A cross-reacting protein of the correct size for Cab was detected in Western blots using antisera derived against Cab. In addition, a cross-reacting protein to antisera derived against Cam, the γ class carbonic anhydrase from *M. thermophila*, was also detected. Analysis of the genome sequence of M. thermoautotrophicum revealed an ORF with 31% identity to Cam; however, it is not yet known if this ORF encodes a protein with carbonic anhydrase activity. Thus, the carbonic anhydrase activity detected in M. thermoautotrophi*cum* may be due to the presence of both β and γ carbonic anhydrases. CO2-reducing chemolithotrophic methanoarchaea have a high demand for CO_2 in both catabolic and anabolic reactions suggesting carbonic anhydrase may be essential to deliver CO_2 to the cell and concentrate it in the vicinity of CO₂-utilizing enzymes. For example, a carbonic anhydrase located at the cell wall may facilitate CO₂ transport into the cell by converting it to a charged species while another carbonic anhydrase in the cytoplasm may convert HCO_3^- to CO_2 , the substrate for formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase [138] which catalyzes the first committed step in the reduction of CO₂ to methane during methanogenesis.

5.2. M. thermophila

Cam (carbonic anhydrase methanosarcina) from *M.* thermophila is the prototype of the γ class carbonic anhydrase and the only enzyme from this class that has been characterized. Sequencing of the cloned gene revealed an open reading frame encoding 247 amino acids with an additional 34 N-terminal residues not present in the purified enzyme [15]. This 34-amino acid region has many properties that are characteristic of signal sequences in secretory proteins from both the Bacteria and Eukarya domains; the central hydrophobic region (positions 7– 26) is flanked by two hydrophilic regions with the amino-terminal one containing a positively charged amino acid [139–141]. Thus, it is proposed that Cam is translocated across the cytoplasmic membrane to the exterior of the cell. Cam lacking the 34-amino acid N-terminal sequence was heterologously produced in E. coli as a soluble protein and characterized [16]. Similar to the β class carbonic anhydrases, no detectable esterase activity is observed for Cam when 4-nitrophenyl acetate is the substrate. The heterologously-produced and authentic Cam are equally susceptible to inhibition by sulfonamides and anions. Anions are about 10-fold less effective compared to the α class human CA II. The catalytic mechanism of Cam appears to resemble that of the α class human isozymes [58]. The $K_{\rm m}$ value for CO₂ is slightly higher (1.8fold) than that of the α class human CA II [142,143] but is nearly six times greater than that of the β class pea enzyme [48] (Table 1). The k_{cat} and catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) for Cam are approximately 10-100-fold less than the eukaryotic β and α class enzymes, respectively (Table 1).

The active site Zn of the heterologously-produced Cam can be isomorphously replaced with cobalt (Co) by denaturation and renaturation followed by addition of the metal. EXAFS indicates the active site metal is coordinated by three histidines and two or three water molecules [58]. Thus, the γ class carbonic anhydrase resembles α carbonic anhydrases in that the active site Zn is coordinated by three histidine residues; however, in contrast to Cam a single water molecule completes the tetrahedral coordination of the active site Zn in human CA II [40,41]. The specific activity of the Co-substituted Cam (2020 U mg^{-1}) is greater than twice that of the Zn enzyme (910 U mg⁻¹) [58]. This result differs with those for the α class carbonic anhydrases. Co substitution of the active site Zn in human CA II resulted in an enzyme with approximately 50% of the CO₂ hydration activity [144,145] even though X-ray crystallography showed that the metal ion in the Co-substituted human CA II has a tetrahedral coordination similar to Zn [146]. The possibility that the active site metal of authentic Cam from M. thermophila is Co instead of Zn remains to be determined.

The crystal structure of Cam (Fig. 6) determined at 2.8 Å [52] shows that it is a homotrimer with the monomers adopting a novel left-handed β-helix fold. As a consequence of the fold, only crossover connections between the neighboring parallel β-strands are observed. Lefthanded crossovers have been observed infrequently, with isolated examples in subtilisin, class 1 human leukocyte antigen and KP4 toxin [52]. At nearly the same time the structure of Cam was solved, a left-handed β-helix motif was discovered in the structure of the UDP-N-acetylglucosamine acyltransferase [147]. More recently, left-handed parallel β-helices were reported for tetrahydrodipicolinate N-succinvltransferase (THDP succinvltransferase) [148] and the hexapeptide xenobiotic acetyltransferase [149]. Cam, UDP-N-acetylglucosamine acyltransferase, THDP succinyltransferase and xenobiotic acetyltransferase are trimeric molecules belonging to a family of proteins which display a tandemly-repeated hexapeptide sequence known as a 'hexapeptide repeat', characterized as [LIV]-[GAED]-X-X-[STAV]-X [150,151], which is essential for the lefthanded fold. Most, though not all, enzymes of this group are acyltransferases that utilize a phosphopantothenylbased substrate donor, either acyl-CoA or the acyl carrier protein, whose prosthetic group resembles CoA [148]. Each of the short β -strands is composed of one hexapeptide repeat with the conserved aliphatic residue (Leu, Ile, Val) projecting into the interior of the left-handed β -helix at triangular corners of each coil [52]. The sequence differences found between members of this family are not in the structurally constrained residues of the hexapeptide repeat but are instead in the loop residues which are less restricted. The residues forming the active site of Cam and those in the inhibitor binding site of THDP succinvltransferase are in these loop regions. The capacity of THDP succinyltransferase to bind metals such as Co as an inhibitor and the fact that Cam binds Zn may be a shared ancestral trait; however, the residues which coordinate the Zn in Cam are not found in the THDP succinyltransferase [52,148]. As compared to Cam, the associations of the three monomers are somewhat different in UDP-Nacetylglucosamine acyltransferase and THDP succinyltransferase [52]. Of the three enzymes, only Cam contains a metal ion and the location of the active site Zn at the subunit interface (Fig. 6A) leads to more extensive monomer-monomer interactions than found in the other two enzymes [52]. Thus, Cam belongs to a very diverse family of acetyl and acyl transferases that most likely arose through successive duplication events.

The three active-site Zn atoms located at the interfaces between two monomers are each ligated with three histidines, two (His-81 and His-122) from one subunit and the third (His-117) from an adjacent subunit (Fig. 6) [52]. Apart from the histidine residues ligating Zn, the activesite residues of Cam are significantly different from those of the α class carbonic anhydrases. Nonetheless, the catalytic mechanism of Cam at high pH appears similar to human CA II suggesting essential residues in Cam and the human enzyme will have analogous functions [58]. Based on their proximity to the active site, Glu-62, Gln-75 or Asn-202 of Cam (Fig. 6B) may function analogously to Glu-106 and Thr-199 of the α class human CA II which participate in a hydrogen bond network with the Znbound hydroxide to orient the lone pair of electrons for attack on CO₂ [79]. Site-directed mutagenesis studies indicate Glu-62 is important for CO₂ hydration activity though its function in the Zn hydroxide mechanism has not yet been determined [152]. Investigations into the roles of Gln-75 and Asn-202 have not yet been reported.

The left-handed β -helix motif of Cam is interrupted by three protruding loops [52], one of which (residues 83–90) contains residues Glu-84, Glu-88 and Glu-89 that form an acidic 'valley' extending to Glu-62 which is adjacent to the active site Zn [152]. The high resolution crystal structure of Cam [153] reveals that Glu-84 has three discrete conformations, analogous to the two discrete conformations of His-64 previously seen for the α class human CA II [154–156], suggesting a role for Glu-84 in proton transfer. The ability of imidazole to rescue the k_{cat} of human CA II His-64 variant is considered strong evidence for involvement of this residue in the proton transfer step of catalysis (Eqs. 2c and 2d) [76,77]. Similarly, imidazole rescues the k_{cat} of a Glu-84 variant of Cam, consistent with a role for this residue in proton transfer [152]. Other ionizable residues, such as histidine and aspartate, also function at this position in Cam [152].

M. thermophila is one of the most metabolically diverse methanoarchaea in that it obtains energy for growth by converting the methyl groups of either acetate, methanol or methylamines to methane [157]. Carbonic anhydrase activity is elevated approximately 10-fold when M. thermophila was switched from methanol to acetate as the growth substrate [16]. Using antisera derived against Cam, it was shown that the amounts detected in extracts of methanol- and trimethylamine-grown cells are several fold less than in acetate-grown cells corresponding to the decrease in carbonic anhydrase activity suggesting Cam has an important role for growth on acetate [15,16]. The energy yield for the methanogenic fermentation of acetate is quite low ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -36 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) [157]; therefore, efficient removal of cytoplasmic CO2 could improve the thermodynamics of this pathway. The proposed location of the enzyme outside the cytoplasmic membrane could facilitate the efficient removal of cytoplasmic CO₂ by conversion to HCO_3^- . This hypothesis is further supported by experiments showing that the catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) of Cam for CO₂ hydration is several fold greater than that for HCO_3^- dehydration over the physiological pH range of 6.5 to 7.5, with a 10-fold difference at pH 7.0 [58]. Another possible function for Cam is in the transport of acetate into the cell. Using the H⁺ generated from the Cam-catalyzed hydration of CO_2 into HCO_3^- (Eq. 1), acetate could be transported into the cell via a H⁺/acetate symport mechanism.

6. Phylogeny of prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases

With the extraordinary number of genome sequencing projects underway, BLASTp and tBLASTn searches [68] of the nonredundant sequence database at NCBI and the finished and unfinished microbial genome sequences were used to identify α , β and γ class enzymes. Smith et al. [14] had previously identified six putative α class carbonic anhydrase, 26 putative β class carbonic anhydrase and 25 putative γ class carbonic anhydrase sequences. Additional putative carbonic anhydrase sequences from the β and γ classes have been identified in both the Bacteria and Archaea domains, while the α class still appears to be limited to the Bacteria domain. To understand the phylogenies of each carbonic anhydrase class, trees were constructed by



Fig. 6. Crystal structure of the *Methanosarcina thermophila* γ class carbonic anhydrase. A: View of the trimeric *M. thermophila* structure. The active site Zn is shown in blue. The α -helices are shown in yellow and the β -strands are shown in blue. The crystal structure model was produced by Insight II (Molecular Simulations, San Diego, CA, USA) using the atomic coordinates of the 2.8-Å structure [52]. B: View of the active site. The active site is at the interface of two neighboring subunits (designated a and b). The active site Zn is shown in magenta and the coordinating residues (His-81a, His-122a and His-117b) are shown in red. Glu-62a (shown in green) and Glu-84a (shown in blue), conserved among Cam and the cyanobacterial CcmM sequences, are necessary for CO₂ hydration and proton transfer, respectively. Gln-75b (shown in yellow) and Asn-202b (shown in black) may function analogously to Glu-98 and Thr-177 of the α class neisserial enzyme which participate in a hydrogen bond network with the Zn-bound hydroxide to orient the lone pair of electrons for attack on CO₂. The active site model was produced by RasMol (Biomolecular Structure, Glaxo Research and Development, Middlesex, UK) using the atomic coordinates of the 2.8-Å structure [52].

the neighbor-joining algorithm of the MEGA program [158].

6.1. Alpha class

The α class of carbonic anhydrases in mammals is comprised of 10 isoenzymes (CA I-VII, IX, XII and XIV) with defined carbonic anhydrase activity, three carbonic anhydrase-related proteins (CA-RP VIII, X and XI) and two subtypes of receptor-type protein tyrosine phosphatases (RPTP β and RPTP γ) [1,11,35,37]. Subcellularly, the isozymes are cytostolic (CA I, II, III and VII), membranebound (CA IV, IX, XII and XIV), mitochondrial (CA V) or secreted (CA VI) [1,6,11,35,37]. The carbonic anhydrase-related proteins, two subtypes of receptor-type protein tyrosine phosphatases and two pox virus (Vaccinia and Variola) transmembrane proteins do not catalyze the reversible hydration of CO₂ because of the absence of one or more of the three histidine residues that coordinate the active site Zn [1]. Two point mutations (Arg-117 to His and Glu-115 to Gln) can convert the inactive CA-RP VIII into a protein that binds Zn and catalyzes the hydration of CO₂ [159].

Thus far, no functional α class carbonic anhydrases have been found in higher plants (although two putative genes have been found in *Arabidopsis thaliana*) [1]; however, the unicellular alga *C. reinhardtii* contains α class enzymes in the periplasm as well as the chloroplast [46,47,160]. This class was first discovered in the prokaryotes with the cloning of the gene from *N. gonorrhoeae* [53]. Since this discovery, only two additional enzymes from this class have been identified in prokaryotes and subsequently characterized [67,127]. An alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of the cloned prokaryotic α class genes with three human isozymes representing cytostolic, membrane-bound and secreted forms is shown in Fig. 1 with relevant residues and features highlighted.

Searches with the Anabaena ecaA deduced amino acid sequence produced only nine sequences from the Bacteria domain and no archaeal sequences (Fig. 7). The α class is composed of nine prokaryotic sequences, 10 mammalian isozymes (CA I-VII, IX, XII and XIV), several related mammalian sequences which encode proteins lacking carbonic anhydrase activity and several sequences from vertebrates, plants, nematodes and algae that are expected to encode carbonic anhydrase or carbonic anhydrase related proteins. The three histidine residues (His-92, His-94 and His-111 of the neisserial enzyme) coordinating the active site Zn in the human isozymes are completely conserved in all of the prokaryotic α class carbonic anhydrase sequences (Fig. 2). Other residues that have been shown to be important for catalysis in the human isozymes such as Gln-92, Glu-117, Ala/Val-121 and Val-143 of human CA II are also present in the prokaryotic enzymes as well (Fig. 2). Residues Glu-98 and Thr-177 which form the Znbound hydroxide hydrogen bond network are also conserved among the prokaryotic enzymes (Fig. 2). His-64, which has been shown to be involved in intramolecular proton transfer in human CA II [75–77], is conserved in all of the α class prokaryotic sequences with the exception of that from *Anabaena* which has a lysine residue at that position. Studies of human CA II variants indicate lysine, aspartic acid and glutamic acid can also function as proton transfer groups at this position [161]. Preliminary kinetic experiments [78] suggest that the neisserial His-66 has the same catalytic function as His-64 of human CA II.

The heterologously produced *N. gonorrhoeae* carbonic anhydrase is missing the N-terminal 26 residues suggesting that the *E. coli* processing machinery recognized this fragment as a signal sequence, cleaved it and exported the enzyme to the periplasm of *E. coli* [53]. The amino-termini of the other prokaryotic enzymes also appear to have signal sequences (Fig. 1).

The only two cysteine residues, Cys-28 and Cys-181, present in the neisserial carbonic anhydrase form a disulfide bond [78] and are highly conserved among the other prokaryotic α class carbonic anhydrases (Fig. 2). The presence of a disulfide bond has also been demonstrated for the membrane-associated human isozymes such as CA IV [11,162] and the secreted human isozymes such as CA VI [83]. From the positions in the phylogenetic tree of the sequences encoding extracellular (secreted or membranebound) carbonic anhydrases (Fig. 7), one could speculate that the ancestral α class carbonic anhydrase was attached to a cell membrane or located outside the plasma membrane and that the intracellular cytoplasmic forms of the enzyme evolved later. Furthermore, all of the prokaryotic α class carbonic anhydrases appear to have evolved from this extracellular ancestral form (Fig. 6). The evolution of the α carbonic anhydrases from a common ancestral gene 0.5-0.6 billion years ago [1] is consistent with the evidence that few prokaryotic genomes encode α class enzymes.

6.2. Beta class

Until recently, the β class was thought to be composed solely of carbonic anhydrases from monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants. A mitochondrial β class carbonic anhydrase was discovered in *C. reinhardtii* [163] and other enzymes belonging to this class have since been identified in other algae [137,164]. This class was extended into the Bacteria domain upon the isolation of the gene encoding the CynT enzyme from *E. coli* [50]. The identification of CynT has been followed by the identification of several more bacterial enzymes that belong to this class [51,127,132]. Very recently, the β class was found to extend into the Archaea domain with the discovery of Cab in the methanoarchaeon *M. thermoautotrophicum* [55]. Thus, the β class of carbonic anhydrase is composed of enzymes from all three domains of life.

A search of the databases revealed 76 open reading frames with deduced sequences having significant identity



Fig. 7. Phylogenetic tree of α class carbonic anhydrase sequences. Putative α class carbonic anhydrase sequences were aligned using Clustal X [190] and analyzed with the MEGA program [158] using a neighbor joining algorithm with a gamma distance estimation (α =2). Phylogenetic trees were constructed based on pairwise distance estimates of the expected number of amino acid replacements per site (0.1 in the scale bar). One thousand bootstrap replicates were performed. Bacterial sequences are shown in red, plant sequences in green and non-plant eukaryotic sequences in black. Clustered, unlabeled branches indicate closely related sequences from multiple mammalian species and only the generic isozyme name is shown for the cluster. The documented α class carbonic anhydrase from *N. gonorrhoeae* is indicated by an asterisk (*).

to Cab. An alignment of the spinach and pea sequences with the deduced amino acid sequences of the prokaryotic β class carbonic anhydrase genes is shown in Fig. 5 with relevant residues highlighted. Five amino acid residues are completely conserved among the 76 sequences. Cys-32, His-87 and Cys-90 (Fig. 5) are expected to serve as the ligands of the active site Zn [57,59,136]. Asp-34 and Arg-36 (Fig. 5) are also completely conserved and site-directed mutagenesis studies with Cab suggest an important structural or catalytic role for these residues (Smith et al., unpublished results). Gly-50 (Fig. 5) is conserved in all but one (*Sulfolobus solfataricus*) of the 76 sequences.

Forty-five of the open reading frames identified (Fig. 8) are from species of the Bacteria and Archaea domains and of these only three (*E. coli* CynT, *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC6803 CcaA and *M. thermoautotrophicum* Cab) have thus far been characterized and shown to encode proteins with carbonic anhydrase activity [50,55,132]. Distinct from all other β class carbonic anhydrase sequences, the plant sequences form two monophyletic groups representing monocotyledons (six sequences) and dicotyledons (16 sequences) (Fig. 8). The sequences from *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*, *Bordetella pertussis* and *Rhodobacter capsulatus* are more closely related to the monocot and dicot sequences

than are other prokaryotic sequences (Fig. 8). The remaining sequences can be separated into four clades, one of which (clade D) is 100% supported by bootstrapping.

Both clades B and C contain a mixture of sequences from the Eukarya and Bacteria domains (Fig. 8). The first (clade A) of the two exclusively prokaryotic clades consists primarily of sequences from Gram-negative species in the Bacteria domain and is more closely related to the plant sequences than are the other clades (Fig. 8). The second exclusively prokaryotic clade (clade D) is distantly related to the other clades and consists primarily of sequences from the Archaea domain and Gram-positive species from the Bacteria domain (Fig. 8). This clade contains 10 sequences from the Bacteria domain and three from the Archaea domain, including Cab. The presence of sequences in Methanobacterium species and S. solfataricus indicates that β class carbonic anhydrases are present in both the Euryarchaeota and Crenarchaeota kingdoms of the Archaea domain. The two exclusively prokaryotic clades A and D both contain documented prokaryotic β class carbonic anhydrases, CynT from E. coli [50] and CcaA from Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803 [132] in clade A and Cab from M. thermoautotrophicum [55] in clade D. This suggests that the remaining sequences in



Fig. 8. Phylogenetic tree of β class carbonic anhydrase sequences. Putative β class carbonic anhydrase sequences were aligned using Clustal X [190] and analyzed with the MEGA program [158] using a neighbor joining algorithm with a gamma distance estimation (α =2). Phylogenetic trees were constructed based on pairwise distance estimates of the expected number of amino acid replacements per site (0.1 in the scale bar). One thousand bootstrap replicates were performed. Bacterial sequences are shown in red, archaeal sequences in blue and non-plant eukaryotic sequences in black. The monophyletic monocot and dicot plant groups are indicated in green. The documented prokaryotic β class carbonic anhydrases from *M. thermoautotrophicum*, *E. coli* and *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 are indicated by asterisks (*). Clades A–D, discussed in the text, are indicated.

these two clades represent valid β class carbonic anhydrases. Therefore, phylogenetic analysis bolsters the previous results of Smith et al. [14] and provides further support for the conclusion that the β class is widespread in metabolically and phylogenetically diverse prokaryotes.

Phylogenetic analysis of the β class carbonic anhydrase sequences suggests several potential horizontal gene transfer events (Fig. 8). One example is observed in clade C between Yersinia pestis and Salmonella typhimurium. The S. typhimurium mig-5 gene product [95] shows 61.3% identity with the Y. pestis YP1 β class carbonic anhydrase sequence. The mig-5 gene is flanked by inverted repeats that are also present in virulence plasmids from other pathogenic species suggesting the gene could have been acquired from a completely unrelated species by gene transfer. Whether S. typhimurium acquired this gene from Y. pestis or another pathogen remains to be determined. A second example of possible horizontal gene transfer is observed in clade B between the YadF sequence from the Gram-negative *E. coli* and the lower eukaryotic cellular slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. A bootstrap value of 91% strongly supports a close relationship between these two sequences. Analysis of the *yadF* gene revealed standard *E. coli* codon usage, indicating that this gene was not recently acquired from another organism.

Some prokaryotes contain multiple β class carbonic anhydrase sequences (Fig. 8 and Table 2). For example, *Bacillus subtilis, Caulobacter crescentus, E. coli, Mycobacterium avium, Streptomyces coelicolor, Synechocystis* sp. strain 6803 and *Y. pestis* each contain two sequences while *Mycobacterium bovis, Mycobacterium tuberculosis,* and *Pseudomonas aeroginosa* each contain three sequences. Although the physiological significance of multiple genes is unknown, a simple interpretation is that carbonic anhydrases have multiple functions requiring several enzymes as exemplified by the 10 human isozymes.

Previous analysis of β class carbonic anhydrase sequences indicated extensive divergence in this class and a strong



Fig. 9. Phylogenetic tree of γ carbonic anhydrase sequences. Putative γ carbonic anhydrase sequences were aligned using Clustal X [190] and analyzed with the MEGA program [158] using a neighbor joining algorithm with a gamma distance estimation (α =2). Phylogenetic trees were constructed based on pairwise distance estimates of the expected number of amino acid replacements per site (0.1 in the scale bar). One thousand bootstrap replicates were performed. Bacterial sequences are shown in red and archaeal sequences in blue. The documented γ carbonic anhydrase from *M. thermophila* is indicated by an asterisk (*). Clade A, discussed in the text, is indicated.

departure from the molecular clock suggesting that there has been accelerated divergence in primary structure in the different clades [14]. This divergence in primary structure between different clades is correlated with changes in the quaternary structure (Table 1). The dicot enzymes have been shown to be octamers composed of two tetramers linked by disulfide bonds, while the monocot enzymes are dimeric [49]. The *E. coli* CynT [50], the enzyme from the alga *Coccomyxa* [137,164] and the *M. thermoautotrophicum* Cab [55] have been shown to be tetrameric. It will be interesting to determine whether other prokaryotic β class enzymes are also tetrameric. Although deviations from the molecular clock preclude an estimate of divergence times, the extensive sequence diversity suggests the β class has ancient origins [14]. Additional support for an ancient origin is the presence of the β class carbonic anhydrase sequences in thermophiles representing deep branches of the universal tree of life (*M. thermoautotrophicum* and *S. solfataricus*).

6.3. Gamma class

The carbonic anhydrase (Cam) from *M. thermophila* is the prototype of a novel γ class [15]. Sequences with identity to Cam have been previously identified in all three domains of life, but the proteins encoded by these sequences have yet to be examined for carbonic anhydrase activity [14]. A new search of the databases shows 35 open

 Table 2

 Distribution of putative carbonic anhydrase genes in prokaryotes

α β γ Archaes ^b Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum ^c 0 1 1 Methanobacterium thermoformicicum ^c - 1 - Methanobacterium thermophila ^c - 1 - Pyrococcus furiosus ^c 0 0 1 Pyrococcus horikoshit ^c 0 0 1 Pyrococcus horikoshit ^c 0 0 1 Sulfolobus solfataricus ^d - 1 - Bacteria ^b - 1 - Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans ^g - 1 1 Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120 ⁱ 1 - - Bacillus subtilis ¹ 0 2 - - Caulobacter crescentus ⁶ - 2 - - Clostridium difficil ¹ - 1 1 - Clostridium difficil ² - 1 1 - Deinococcus facealis ⁴ 1 - - - Escherichia	Organism	Class ^a				
ArchaeaNethanobacterium thermoautotrophicum011Methanobacterium thermoformicicum-1-Methanobacterium thermoformicicum001Methanobacterium thermoformicicum001Pyrococcus furiosus001Pyrococcus furiosus001Pyrococcus horikoshit001Sulfolobus solfataricus-1-Bacteria-1Bacteria-1Bacteria-1Bacteria-1Bacteria-1Bacteria-11-Bacteria-11Bacillus subilis021Bordeella pertussis-111-Clastridium dicilel-11Clostridium dicilel-1Deinococcus radiodurans1Escherichia colf*022Haemophilus influenzae*0100-Helicobacter pylori 26695h1100-Helicobacter ium avium-211-Mycobacterium avium-2111Neckseria gonorrhoeaef111		α	β	γ		
Methanobacterium thermodutotrophicume011Methanobacterium thermoformicicume-1Methanococcus jamaschire00Methanosarcina thermophildePyrococcus furiosuse001Pyrococcus horikoshire00Sulfolobus solfataricusd-1-Bacteriab-1-Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitanse-11Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120i1Bacillus subtilisi021Bordetella pertussisf-11Campylobacter jejumi ^h -11Clostridium acetobutylicumi01-Deinococcus radioduranse-11Exterichia col ⁸ 022Haemophilus influenzaes010Helicobacter pylori 2669sh110Helicobacter pylori 2669sh110Helicobacter in tuberculosisi031Nycobacterium tuberculosisi031Nycobacterium brisi-11Neisseria gonorrhoeaef111Nycobacterium tuberculosisi-11Neisseria gonorrhoeaef-11Nycobacterium brisi-11Nycobacterium brisi-11Neisseria gonorrhoeaef-11Nycobacterium brisi-11 <td>Archaea^b</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Archaea ^b					
Methanobacterium thermoformicicum ^c - 1 - Methanococcus jannaschit ^e 0 0 1 Pyrococcus furiosus ^c 0 0 1 Pyrococcus horikoshit ^e 0 0 1 Sulfolobus solfataricus ^d - 1 - Bacteria ^b - 1 - Methanosarius actinomycetecomitans ^g - 1 - Bacteria ^b - 1 - - Bacillus subtilis ^d 0 2 1 - Bordetella pertussis ^f - 1 1 - Campylobacter crescentus ^e - 2 - - Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 0 1 1 - - Deinococcus radiodurans ^k - 1 1 -	Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum ^c	0	1	1		
Methanococcus jannaschit [°] 0 0 1 Methanosarcina thermophila ^c - - 1 Pyrococcus furiosus ⁶ 0 0 1 Pyrococcus furiosus ⁶ 0 0 1 Pyrococcus furiosus ⁶ 0 0 1 Sulfolobus solfataricus ⁴ - 1 - Bacteria ^b - 1 - Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans ^g - 1 1 Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120 ⁱ 1 - - Bacillus subtilis ¹ 0 2 1 Bordetella pertussis ⁶ - 2 - Campylobacter jejunt ^h - 1 1 Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 0 1 1 Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 0 1 1 Escherichia colt [§] 0 2 2 Haemophilus influenzae [§] 0 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 199 ^h 1 1 0 Mycobacterium avi	Methanobacterium thermoformicicum ^c	-	1	-		
Methanosarcina thermophila ⁶ - - 1 Pyrococcus furikoshit ⁶ 0 0 1 Pyrococcus horikoshit ⁶ 0 0 1 Sulfolobus solfataricus ⁴ - 1 - Bacteria ^b - 1 - Bacteria ^b - 1 - Bacteria ^b - 1 - Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans ^g - 1 1 Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120 ⁱ 1 - - Bordetella pertussis ^f - 1 1 Caulobacter crescentus ^e - 2 - Campylobacter jejun ^h - 1 1 Clostridium difficile ¹ - 1 1 Deinococcus radiodurans ^k - 1 1 Enterococus faecalis ¹ 1 - - Enterococus faecalis ¹ 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 1 1 0 Kebsiella pneumoniae ^g 1 1 0 Kebstial porowasia ¹ -	Methanococcus jannaschii ^c	0	0	1		
Pyrococcus furiosus ^c 0 0 1 Pyrococcus horikoshiř 0 0 1 Sulfolobus solfataricus ^d - 1 - Bacteria ^b - 1 - Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans ^g - 1 1 Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120 ⁱ 1 - - Bacteria ^b 0 2 1 Bordetella pertussis ^f - 1 1 Caulobacter crescentus ^e - 2 - Camplobacter jejuni ^b - 1 1 Clostridium actobutylicum ¹ 0 1 1 Clostridium difficile ¹ - 1 - Escherichia colf ⁸ 0 2 2 Haemophilus influenzae ⁸ 0 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 th 1 1 0 Helicobacter ium vium ¹ - 2 1 Mycobacterium twicrulosis ¹ 0 3 1 Necisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 1 1 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f	Methanosarcina thermophila ^c	-	-	1		
Pyrococcus horikoshiř 0 0 1 Sulfolobus solfataricus ^d - 1 - Bacteria ^b - 1 - Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans [®] - 1 - Bacillus subilis ¹ 0 2 1 Bordetella pertussis ¹ - 1 1 Cambolacter crescentus ^e - 2 - Campylobacter jejunt ^h - 1 1 Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 0 1 1 Clostridium difficile ¹ - 1 - Deinococcus radiodurans ^k - 1 1 Erkenia carotovora ⁸ 1 - 1 Erkenichia col ⁸ 0 2 2 Haemophilus influenzae ⁸ 0 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 399 ^h 1 1 0 Klebsiella pneumoniae ⁸ 1 - 1 Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 0 3 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ¹	Pvrococcus furiosus ^c	0	0	1		
J-1-Bacteriab-11Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans ^g -11Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120 ⁱ 1Bacillus subtilis ⁱ 021Bordetella pertussis ^f -11Caulobacter crescentus ^e -2-Campylobacter jejunt ^h -11Chorobium tepidum ⁱ 1Clostridium difficile ¹ -1-Deinococcus radiodurans ^k -11Enterococcus faecalis ¹ 1Enterococcus faecalis ¹ 1Enterococcus faecalis ¹ 1Excherichia coli ^g 022Haemophilus influenzae ^g 010Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 110Helicobacter pylori 399 ^h 110Klebsiella pneumoniae ^g -31Mycobacterium avium ¹ -21Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Pseudomonas guigivälis ⁱ -11Rickettsia prowazekit ^s 001Shwanella putrefaciens ^g -11Shewanella putrefaciens ^g -11Streptococcus putoniae ⁱ -11Shewanella putrefaciens ^g -11Streptococcus putoniae ⁱ <	Pyrococcus horikoshii ^c	0	0	1		
Bacteria ^b Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans ^e - 1 1 Actinobacillus subtilis ¹ 0 2 1 Bardera sp. strain PCC7120 ⁱ 1 - - Bacillus subtilis ¹ 0 2 1 Bordetella pertussis ¹ - 1 1 Campylobacter jejun th - 1 1 Chorobium tepidum ¹ - 1 1 Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 0 1 1 Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 0 1 1 Enterococcus faecalis ¹ - - 1 Enterococus faecalis ¹ 1 - - Erwinia carotovora ^g 1 - - Erwinia carotovora ^g 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 th 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 th 1 1 0 Klebsiella pneumoniae ^g 1 1 1 Mycobacterium buis ¹ - 3 1 Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 0 3 1	Sulfolobus solfataricus ^d	_	1	_		
Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans-11Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120 ⁱ 1Bacillus subtilis ¹ 021Bordetella pertussis ¹ -11Caubobacter crescentus ^e -2-Campylobacter jejun ¹ -11Chlorobium tepidum ¹ -11Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 011Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 01-Deinococcus radiodurans ^k -11Erwinia carotovora ^g 1Escherichia coli ^g 022Haemophilus influenzae ^g 010Helicobacter pylori 26695 th 110Helicobacter pylori 26695 th 110Klebsiella pneumoniae ^g 1Mycobacterium avium ¹ -21Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Porhyromonas gingivalis ^f -11Shewanella typhimurium ^g -11Shewanella typhimurium ^g -11Shewanella typhimurium ^g -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC702 ⁱ -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC702 ⁱ -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC702 ⁱ -11Synechococcus	Bacteria ^b					
Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120 ⁱ 1 - - Bacillus subtilis ¹ 0 2 1 Bordetella pertussis ¹ - 1 1 Campylobacter jejuni ¹ - 1 1 Chorobium tepidum ¹ - 1 1 Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 0 1 1 Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹ 0 1 1 Deinococcus faccalis ¹ - - 1 Erwinia carotovora ^g 1 - - Deinococcus faccalis ¹ 1 - - Erwinia carotovora ^g 1 - - Escherichia coli ^g 0 2 2 Haemophilus influenzae ^g 0 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 th 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 199 th 1 1 0 Klebsiella pneumoniae ^g 1 - - Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 0 3 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 1 1 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f <td>Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans^g</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>	Actinobacillus actinomycetecomitans ^g	-	1	1		
Bacillus subtilis021Bordetella pertussis-11Caulobacter crescentus-2-Campylobacter jejunit-11Chlorobium tepidumi1Clostridium actobutylicumi011Clostridium difficile ¹ -11Deinococcus radioduransk-11Enterococcus faecalis ¹ 1Escherichia colt ⁸ 022Haemophilus influenzae ⁸ 010Helicobacter pylori 26695 th 110Helicobacter pylori 26695 th 110Klebsiella pneumoniae ⁸ 1Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 031Nycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 031Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Porphyromonas gingivalis ⁶ -11Rhodobacter capsulatus ⁶ -11Shewanella putrefaciens ⁶ -11Shevanella putrefaciens ⁶ -11Shevencoccus pp. strain PCC7002 ¹ -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ¹ 022Thiobacilla pestis ⁸ -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ¹ 022Thiobacilla ferroxidans ⁶ -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ¹ 022Thiobacilla ferroxidans ⁶ -<	Anabaena sp. strain PCC7120 ⁱ	1	_	_		
Bordetella pertussist-11Caulobacter crescentus-2-Campylobacter jejuni ^h -11Chlorobium tepidumi011Clostridium acetobutylicumi011Clostridium difficilei-1-Deinococcus radioduransk-11Enterococcus faecalisi1-1Erwinia carotovorag1Escherichia colfg022Haemophilus influenzaeg010Helicobacter pylori 26695h110Helicobacter pylori 399h110Klebsiella pneumoniaeg1Mycobacterium aviumi-21Mycobacterium tuberculosisi031Neisseria gonorrhoeaef111Pseudomonas gingivalisg-33Pseudomonas quidag1Rhodobacter capsulatuse-11Rickettsia prowazekit [®] 001Shewanella putrefaciensg-11Symechococcus pp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ -1-Synechococcus pp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 022Thiobacillus ferrioxidansf-11Ynechococcus pp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 022Thiobacillus ferrioxidansf-11Yresinia pestis [®] -11Yrestin pestis [®]	Bacillus subtilis ¹	0	2	1		
Caulobacter cressentus-2-Campylobacter jejunih-11Chorobium tepidumi011Clostridium acetobutylicumi011Clostridium difficilei-1-Deinococcus radioduransk-11Enterococcus facacilisi1-1Enterococcus facacilisi1-1Erwinia carotovara022Haemophilus influenzae010Helicobacter pylori 26695h110Helicobacter pylori 199h110Klebsiella pneumoniae-31Mycobacterium tuberculosisi031Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Pseudomonas guigivalis [§] -33Pseudomonas guigivalis [§] -11Rhodobacter capsulatus-11Rickettisa prowazekit [®] 001Shewanella putrefaciens-1-Streptococcus pp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ -1-Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans-11Yhero cholerae ^g 111Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans-11Yresnia pestis-11Yresnia pes	Bordetella pertussis ^f	_	1	1		
Campylobacter jejunith-11Chlorobium tepidumi1Clostridium acetobutylicumi011Clostridium difficile1-1-Deinococcus radioduransk-11Enterococcus faecalis11-1Erwinia carotovorag1Escherichia colig022Haemophilus influenzaeg010Helicobacter pylori 26695th110Helicobacter pylori 399th110Klebsiella pneumoniaeg1Mycobacterium avium1-21Mycobacterium bovis1031Neisseria gonorrhoeaef111Neisseria gonorrhoeaef111Porphyromonas gingivalis1-11Rhodobacter capsulatus6-11Shewanella putrefaciens8-1-Streptococcus pyogenes1-1-Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002i1Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002i-11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7042i111Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7042i111Yersinia pestis6-111Yersinia pestis6-111Yersinia pestis6-111Yersinia pestis6-111Y	Caulobacter crescentus ^e	_	2	_		
Chlorobium tepidumi1Clostridium acetobutylicuml011Clostridium difficile1-1-Deinococcus radioduransk-11Enterococcus faecalis11-1Erwinia carotovorag1Escherichia colig022Haemophilus influenzaeg010Helicobacter pylori 26695h110Helicobacter pylori 399h110Klebsiella pneumoniaeg1Mycobacterium avium1-21Mycobacterium bovis1031Neisseria gonorrhoeaef111Neisseria meningitidisf-11Porphyromonas gingivalisi1Rhodobacter capsulatuse-11Rickettsia prowazekit?001Simonella typhinuriumg-1-Streptococcus pneumoniae11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002i1Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7042i111Synechocystis sp. strain PCC7042i111Yersinia pestise-11Yersinia pestise-11Yersinia pestise-11Yersinia pestise-11Yano1Torphonoras gingivalisi1	Campylobacter jejuni ^h	_	1	1		
Clostridium actobutylicum ¹ 011Clostridium difficile ¹ -1-Deinococcus radiodurans ^k -11Enterococcus facalis ¹ 1-1Erwinia carotovora ^g 1Escherichia coli ^g 022Haemophilus influenzae ^g 010Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 110Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 110Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 110Klebsiella pneumoniae ^g 1Mycobacterium avium ¹ -21Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 031Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Pseudomonas gingivalis ¹ 1Pseudomonas aeruginosa ^g -33Pseudomonas putida ^g -11Shewanella putrefaciens ^g -11Shewanella putrefaciens ^g -1-Streptococcus pyogenes ¹ -1-Synechococcus ps. strain PCC7002 ⁱ -11Synechococcus ps. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f -11Yersinia pestis ^g -11	Chlorobium tepidum ^j	_	_	1		
Clostridium difficile1-1-Deinococcus radioduransk-11Enterococcus facalis11-1Erwinia carotovorag1Escherichia colig022Haemophilus influenzaeg010Helicobacter pylori 26695h110Helicobacter pylori 199h110Klebsiella pneumoniaeg1Mycobacterium avium1-21Mycobacterium tuberculosis1031Neisseria gonorrhoeaef111Neisseria gonorrhoeaef111Pophyromonas gingivalisi1Pseudomonas aeruginosag-33Pseudomonas putidag-11Rickettsia prowazekir§001Shewanella putefaciensg-1-Streptococcus pyogenes1-1-Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002i1Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidansf-11Yersinia pestisg-11Yersinia pestisg-11	Clostridium acetobutylicum ¹	0	1	1		
Deinococcus radiodurans ^k – 1 1 Enterococcus faecalis ¹ 1 – 1 Erwinia carotovora ^g 1 – - Escherichia coli ^g 0 2 2 Haemophilus influenzae ^g 0 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori J99 ^h 1 1 0 Klebsiella pneumoniae ^g 1 – - Mycobacterium avium ¹ – 2 1 Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 0 3 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 1 1 1 Neisseria meningitidis ^f – 1 1 Porphyromonas gingivalis ^j – - Pseudomonas aeruginosa ^g – 3 3 Pseudomonas putida ^g – 1 1 Rickettsia prowazeki ^{r§} 0 0 1 Salmonella typhimurium ^g – 1 1 Shewanella putefaciens ^g – 1 – 1 Streptococcus pyogenes ¹ – 1 – Streptococcus ps. strain PCC7002 ⁱ – 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7042 ⁱ 1 1 1 Synechococtus f. 1 1 1 Yersinia pestis ^g – 2 1	Clostridium difficile ¹	_	1	_		
Enterococcus faccalis ¹ 1 - 1 Erwinia carotovora ⁸ 1 - - Escherichia coli ⁸ 0 2 2 Haemophilus influenzae ⁸ 0 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori J99 ^h 1 1 0 Klebsiella pneumoniae ⁸ 1 - - Mycobacterium avium ¹ - 2 1 Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 0 3 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 1 1 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 1 1 1 Pseudomonas gingivalis ⁱ - 1 1 Pseudomonas aeruginosa ⁸ - 1 1 Rickettsia prowazekit ⁸ 0 0 1 Shewanella putrefaciens ⁸ - 1 1 Streptococcus pyogenes ¹ - 1 - Streptococcus py strain PCC7002 ⁱ - 1 - Synechococcus py. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - 1 1 Synech	Deinococcus radiodurans ^k	_	1	1		
Erwinia carotovora ^g 1 - - Escherichia coli ^g 0 2 2 Haemophilus influenzae ^g 0 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori J99 ^h 1 1 0 Klebsiella pneumoniae ^g 1 - - Mycobacterium avium ¹ - 2 1 Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 0 3 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 1 1 1 Neisseria meningitidis ^f - 1 1 Pseudomonas gingivalis ^j - - 1 Pseudomonas aeruginosa ^g - 3 3 Pseudomonas putida ^g - 1 1 Rickettsia prowazekit ^e 0 0 1 Shewanella putrefaciens ^g - 1 - Streptococcus pyogenes ¹ - 1 - Streptococcus ps. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - 1 1 Synechococcus ps. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - 1 1 Synecho	Enterococcus faecalis ¹	1	_	1		
Escherichia coli [§] 0 2 2 Haemophilus influenzae [§] 0 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 399 ^h 1 1 0 Klebsiella pneumoniae [§] 1 - - Mycobacterium avium ¹ - 2 1 Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 0 3 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 1 1 1 Neisseria meningitidis ^f - 1 1 Porphyromonas gingivalis ^j - - 1 Pseudomonas aeruginosa [§] - 3 3 Pseudomonas putida [§] - 1 1 Rickettsia prowazekit [§] 0 0 1 Shewanella putefaciens [§] - 1 1 Streptococcus pyogenes ¹ - 1 - Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - 1 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7042 ⁱ 1 1 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 0 2 2	Erwinia carotovora ^g	1	_	_		
Haemophilus influenzae [§] 0 1 0 Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h 1 1 0 Helicobacter pylori J99 ^h 1 1 0 Klebsiella pneumoniae [§] 1 - - Mycobacterium avium ¹ - 2 1 Mycobacterium bovis ¹ - 3 1 Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 1 1 1 Neisseria meningitidis ^f - 1 1 Porphyromonas gingivalis ^j - - 1 Pseudomonas aeruginosa [§] - 3 3 Pseudomonas putida [§] - 1 1 Rhodobacter capsulatus [®] - 1 1 Rickettsia prowazekit [§] 0 0 1 Shewanella putefaciens [§] - 1 - Streptococcus pneumoniae ¹ - 1 - Streptococcus ps. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - 1 - Synechococcus ps. strain PCC7042 ⁱ 1 1 1 Synechococcus ps. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 0 2 2	Escherichia coli ^g	0	2	2		
Helicobacter pylori 26695h110Helicobacter pylori J99h110Klebsiella pneumoniaeg1Mycobacterium aviuml-21Mycobacterium tuberculosisl031Neisseria gonorrhoeaef111Neisseria gonorrhoeaef111Neisseria meningitidisf-11Porphyromonas gingivalisj1Pseudomonas aeruginosag-33Pseudomonas putidag-11Rickettsia prowazekite001Salmonella typhimuriumg-1-Streptococcus pneumoniael-1-Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002i-11Synechocoystis sp. strain PCC7042i111Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidansf-1-Vibrio choleraeg111Yersinia pestisg-11	Haemophilus influenzae ^g	0	1	0		
Helicobacter pylori J99 ^h 110Klebsiella pneumoniae [§] 1Mycobacterium avium ¹ -21Mycobacterium bovis ¹ -31Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹ 031Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f 111Porphyromonas gingivalis ¹ -11Pseudomonas aeruginosa ^g -33Pseudomonas putida ^g -11Rhodobacter capsulatus ^e -11Rickettsia prowazekit ^e 001Salmonella typhinurium ^g -11Streptococcus pneumoniae ¹ -1-Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7842 ⁱ 111Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f -11Yersinia pestis ^g -11	Helicobacter pylori 26695 ^h	1	1	0		
Klebsiella pneumoniae1Mycobacterium avium-21Mycobacterium bovis-31Mycobacterium tuberculosis031Neisseria gonorrhoeae111Neisseria gonorrhoeae-11Porphyromonas gingivalis1Pseudomonas aeruginosa-33Pseudomonas quida1Rhodobacter capsulatus-11Rickettsia prowazekit001Salmonella typhimurium-11Streptococcus pneumoniae-1-Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002i-11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans-11Yresinia pestis-11Yersinia pestis-11	Helicobacter pylori J99 ^h	1	1	0		
Mycobacterium avium-21Mycobacterium bovis-31Mycobacterium tuberculosis031Neisseria gonornhoeae111Neisseria gonornhoeae111Neisseria meningitidis-11Porphyromonas gingivalis1Pseudomonas aeruginosa-33Pseudomonas putida1Rhodobacter capsulatus-11Rickettsia prowazekit001Salmonella typhimurium-11Streptococcus pneumoniae-1-Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002i-11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7842i111Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans-1-Vibrio cholerae111Yersinia pestis-21	Klebsiella pneumoniae ^g	1	_	_		
Mycobacterium bovis-31Mycobacterium tuberculosis031Neisseria gonorrhoeae111Neisseria meningitidis-11Porphyromonas gingivalis1Pseudomonas aeruginosa-33Pseudomonas putida1Rhodobacter capsulatus-11Rickettsia prowazekit001Salmonella typhimurium-11Streptococcus pneumoniae-1-Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002i-11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans-11Yersinia pestis111Yersinia pestis-11	Mycobacterium avium ¹	_	2	1		
Mycobacterium tuberculosis031Neisseria gonorrhoeae111Neisseria gonorrhoeae111Neisseria meningitidis-11Porphyromonas gingivalis1Pseudomonas aeruginosa-33Pseudomonas putida1Rhodobacter capsulatus-11Rickettsia prowazekit001Salmonella typhimurium-11Shevanella putrefaciens-1-Streptococcus pneumoniae-1-Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7842 ⁱ 111Synechococtus ferrioxidans022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans-1-Vibrio cholerae111Yersinia pestis-21	Mycobacterium boyis ¹	_	3	1		
Neisseria gonorrhoeae111Neisseria meningitidis-11Porphyromonas gingivalis1Pseudomonas aeruginosa-33Pseudomonas quiginosa1Rhodobacter capsulatus1Rickettsia prowazekii001Salmonella typhimurium-11Shewanella putrefaciens-1-Streptococcus pneumoniae-1-Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ -11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7842 ⁱ 111Synechococtus sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans-1-Vibrio cholerae111Yersinia pestis-21	Mycobacterium tuberculosis ¹	0	3	1		
Neisseria-11Porphyromonasgingivalisi1Pseudomonasgingivalisi1Pseudomonasgingivalisi1Pseudomonasputidate1Rhodobactercapsulatuse-11Rickettsiaprowazekite001Salmonellatyphimuriume-11Shevanellaputrefaciense-1-Streptococcuspnogenesti-1-Streptococcuspogenesti-1-Synechococcusps. strainPCC7002i-11Synechococcussp. strainPCC6803i022Thiobacillusferrioxidanst-1-Vibriocholerae ^g 1111Yersiniapestisg-21	Neisseria gonorrhoeae ^f	1	1	1		
Porphyromonas gingivalisi1Porphyromonas gingivalisi-33Pseudomonas aeruginosage-11Rhodobacter capsulatuse-11Rickettsia prowazekite001Salmonella typhimuriumge-11Shewanella putrefaciense-1-Streptococcus pneumoniae1-1-Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002i-11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7842i111Synechococtus ferrioxidansf-1-Vibrio choleraege111Yersinia pestisge-21	Neisseria meningitidis ^f	_	1	1		
Pseudomonas aeruginosag - 3 3 Pseudomonas putidag - - 1 Rhodobacter capsulatuse - 1 1 Rickettsia prowazekite 0 0 1 Rickettsia prowazekite 0 0 1 Salmonella typhinuriumg - 1 1 Shewanella putrefaciensg - 1 - Streptococcus pneumoniae ¹ - 1 - Streptococcus spogenes ¹ - 1 - Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - 1 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7042 ⁱ 1 1 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 0 2 2 Thiobacillus ferrioxidansf - 1 - Vibrio cholerae ^g 1 1 1 Yersinia pestis ^g - 2 1	Porphyromonas gingivalis ^j	_	_	1		
Pseudomonas putida ^g - - 1 Rhodobacter capsulatus ^e - 1 1 Rickettsia prowazekit ^e 0 0 1 Salmonella typhinurium ^g - 1 1 Shewanella putrefaciens ^g - 1 - Streptococcus pneumoniae ¹ - 1 - Streptomyces coelicolor ¹ - 2 - Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - 1 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7042 ⁱ 1 1 1 Synechococtus sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 0 2 2 Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f - 1 - Vibrio cholerae ^g 1 1 1	Pseudomonas aeruginosa ^g	_	3	3		
Rhodobacter capsulatus–11Rickettsia prowazekii001Salmonella typhimurium–11Shewanella putrefaciens–1–Streptococcus pneumoniae–1–Streptococcus progenes–1–Streptococcus sp. strain PCC7002i–11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7042i111Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans–1–Yersinia pestis–21	Pseudomonas putida ^g	_	_	1		
Rickettsia provazekii ^e 0 0 1 Salmonella typhimurium ^g - 1 1 Shewanella putrefaciens ^g - 1 - Streptococcus pneumoniae ¹ - 1 - Streptococcus progenes ¹ - 1 - Streptococcus psystem - 1 - Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - - 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942 ⁱ 1 1 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 0 2 2 Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f - 1 - Vibrio cholerae ^g 1 1 1 Yersinia pestis ^g - 2 1	Rhodobacter capsulatus ^e	_	1	1		
Salmonella typhimurium–11Shewanella putrefaciens–1–Streptococcus pneumoniae–1–Streptococcus pyogenes–1–Streptonyces coelicolor–2–Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002i–11Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942i111Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans–1–Yersinia pestis9211	Rickettsia prowazekii ^e	0	0	1		
Shewanella putrefaciens-1-Streptococcus pneumoniae-1-Streptococcus pyogenes-1-Streptomyces coelicolor-2-Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002i1Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7042i111Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans-1-Vibrio cholerae111Yersinia pestis-21	Salmonella typhimurium ^g	_	1	1		
Streptococcus pneumoniae1-1-Streptococcus pyogenes1-1-Streptomyces coelicolor1-2-Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002i1Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942i111Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans1-1-Vibrio cholerae8111Yersinia pestis8-21	Shewanella putrefaciens ^g	_	1	_		
Streptococcus pyogenes-1-Streptomyces coelicolor-2-Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002i1Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942i111Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f -1-Vibrio cholerae ^g 111Yersinia pestis ^g -21	Streptococcus pneumoniae ¹	_	1	_		
Streptomyces coelicolor ¹ - 2 - Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - - 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942 ⁱ 1 1 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 0 2 2 Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f - 1 - Vibrio cholerae ^g 1 1 1 Yersinia pestis ^g - 2 1	Streptococcus pyogenes ¹	_	1	_		
Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ - - 1 Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942 ⁱ 1 1 1 Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ 0 2 2 Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f - 1 - Vibrio cholerae ^g 1 1 1 Yersinia pestis ^g - 2 1	Streptomyces coelicolor ¹	_	2	_		
Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942i111Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f -1-Vibrio cholerae ^g 111Yersinia pestis ^g -21	Svnechococcus sp. strain PCC7002 ⁱ	_	_	1		
Synchocystis sp. strain PCC6803i022Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f -1-Vibrio cholerae ^g 111Yersinia pestis ^g -21	Synechococcus sp. strain PCC7942 ⁱ	1	1	1		
Thiobacillus ferrioxidanst-1Vibrio choleraeg11Yersinia pestisg-2	Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803 ⁱ	0	2	2		
Vibrio cholerae ^g 1 1 1 Yersinia pestis ^g – 2 1	Thiobacillus ferrioxidans ^f	_	1	_		
Yersinia pestis ^e – 2 1	Vibrio cholerae ^g	1	1	1		
	Yersinia pestis ^g	_	2	1		

^aNumbers indicate the number of putative carbonic anhydrase genes present. Zero represents no putative genes were identified in a completed genome while – indicates no putative genes have been identified thus far.

^bSpecies from the Archaea domain belong to the following kingdoms: euryarchaeota^c, and crenarchaeota^d. Species from the Bacteria domain belong to the following kingdoms: proteobacteria (α class)^e, proteobacteria (β class)^f, proteobacteria (γ class)^g, proteobacteria (ϵ class)^h, cyanobacteriaⁱ, cytophagales/green sulfur^j, thermus/deinococcus^k, firmicutes (Gram-positive)^l. reading frames (Fig. 9) having deduced sequences with significant identity and similarity to Cam. Thirty are from the Bacteria domain and five are from the Archaea domain. An alignment of the putative γ class carbonic anhydrase sequences from Clade A is shown in Fig. 10. The essential histidines required for ligating the active site Zn of Cam (His-81, His-117 and His-122) [52] are completely conserved among all of the sequences suggesting they are valid carbonic anhydrases. Arg-59, Asp-61 and Gln-75 (Fig. 10) are also perfectly conserved in all of the sequences. In the structure of Cam, the side chain of Arg-59 forms a salt bridge to Asp-61 of the same monomer and Asp-76 of the neighboring monomer suggesting that the side chain of Arg-59 is important [52]. Gln-75 may function analogously to Glu-106 and Thr-199 of human CA II in participating in a hydrogen bond network with the Zn-bound hydroxide [79]. Both Glu-62, which is important for CO₂ hydration in Cam, and Glu-84, a residue involved in proton transfer, are conserved among Cam and the cyanobacterial CcmM sequences (Fig. 10). These two residues are not conserved among the other γ class carbonic anhydrase sequences, suggesting that alternative residues must serve these roles.

Two proteins outside of clade A, the E. coli caiE and the Pseudomonas putida phaM, have been studied. E. coli CaiE is encoded by a gene in the caiABCDE operon which encodes proteins involved in carnitine metabolism [165]. Concomitant overexpression of *caiE* with *caiD* (encoding the carnitine racemase) was shown to stimulate carnitine racemase activity 10-fold versus expression of *caiD* alone and also dramatically increased the basal level of carnitine dehydratase activity [165]. Concomitant overexpression of caiE with caiB (encoding the carnitine dehydratase) resulted in a nearly three-fold increase in carnitine dehydratase activity. Crude extracts of cells in which CaiE was overproduced were added to extracts of cells in which CaiB was overproduced to confirm that both CaiB and CaiE were required for maximal carnitine dehydratase activity. The carnitine dehydratase activity from this experiment was nearly equal to that observed when both caiB and caiE were expressed together [165]. These experiments suggest that CaiE is involved in either the synthesis or activation of a cofactor required for both carnitine dehydratase and carnitine racemase activities. In fact, a cofactor essential for the activity of carnitine dehydratase activity was separated from the enzyme after the first step in purification [166]. Incubation of the cofactor in the presence of DNase, RNase, trypsin or pepsin had no effect on the enzyme-reactivating ability. The cofactor was stable at 80°C for up to 1 h and at pH values down to 1, but became unstable at pH values above 10. The cofactor, with a molecular mass of less than 2 kDa, could not be substituted for by pyridoxal phosphate, ATP, coenzyme A, bivalent cations or thiol compounds. Thus, the identity of the cofactor as well as the role of CaiE in the synthesis or activation of the cofactor are unknown [167].

Synechococcus PCC7002 Synechocystis PCC6803 Synechococcus PCC7942 M. thermcphila	QEITVDEFSNIRENPVTPWSKDLAEPKIHESAYVHSFSNLIGDVTVCEH MLAKSLGWLLAVSRRNYCMGSRTALASRPWSKHLADPQIDPTAYVHSFANVVGDVRIQPG QEITVDEFSNIRENPVTPWNPEPSAPVIDPTAYIDPQASVIGEVTIGAN	43 60 41 49
Synechococcus PCC7002 Synechocystis PCC6803 Synechococcus PCC7942 M. thermophila	59/61/62 75 81 84 VLISPGTSIRADEGAPFHIGAATNIQDGVVIHGLEQGRVVGADGHDYSVWI VSVAPGSSIRADEGTPFWIGGNVLIQHGVVIHGLETGRVLGDDQEYSVWI VRVAAGVSIRADEGAPFQVGKESILQEGAVIHGLEYGRVLGDDQADYSVWI VMVSPMASIRSDEGMPIFVGDRSNVQDGVVLHALETINEEGEPIEDNIVEVDGKEYAVYI	94 111 92 109
Synechococcus PCC7002 Synechocystis PCC6803 Synechococcus PCC7942 M. thermophila	117 122 GDRSCIT <mark>H</mark> MALVHGPAYIGNDCFVGFRSTVFNARIGDGCVIMMHALVQDVDIPPGKYVPS GPGTCVAHLALVHGPVYLGANCFIGFRSTVINARVGDGAVVMMHSLVQDVEIPPNKLVPS GQRVAITHKALIHGPAYLGDDCFVGFRSTVFNARVGAGSVIMMHALVQDVEIPPGRYVPS GNNVSLA <mark>H</mark> QSQV <mark>H</mark> GPAAVGDDTFIGMQAFVFKSKVGNNCVLEPRSAAIGVTIPDGRYIPA	154 171 152 169
Synechococcus PCC7002 Synechocystis PCC6803 Synechococcus PCC7942 M. thermophila	GAVITNQQQVERLSNVTEADRAFAKQVVEINEALREVSPGATNNG 199 GAMITQQHQADSLPDVQAGDRHFVQQIAAMHGQSASPTQGTDPT- 215 GAIITTQQQADRLPEVRPEDREFARHIIGSPPVIVRSTPAATAD- 196 GMVVTSQAEADKLPEVT-DDYAYSHTNEAVVYVNVHLAEGYKETS 213	

Fig. 10. Alignment of γ class carbonic anhydrase sequences. The sequence of Cam, the documented γ class carbonic anhydrase from *M. thermophila*, was aligned with the cyanobacterial CcmM sequences using Clustal X [190]. The conserved Zn ligands His-81, His-117 and His-122 are indicated in yellow. Arg-59, Asp-61 and Gln-75, shown in green, are completely conserved in all γ class carbonic anhydrase sequences. Glu-62 and Glu-84, necessary for CO₂ hydration and proton transfer, respectively, are shown in blue. Numbers indicating residue positions refer to the position in the *M. thermophila* sequence lacking the signal sequence.

P. putida PhaM is encoded by a gene found within a catabalon involved in the aerobic degradation of phenyl-acetic acid [168]. The *phaM* gene is located upstream in an operon with *phaN* which encodes a transcriptional repressor. Disruption of the *phaM* gene, encoding a protein of 199 amino acids with a subunit molecular mass of 21.1 kDa, did not affect catabolism of phenylacetic acid. Thus, the role of PhaM is unknown; however, PhaM shares high identity to the *E. coli* CaiE suggesting it may play a similar role. Although carbonic anhydrase activity has not yet been reported for either CaiE or PhaM, it is expected that both of these are Zn-containing enzymes since the conserved histidine residues are present.

As is the case with the γ class carbonic anhydrases, some prokaryotes contain multiple sequences with identity to Cam (Fig. 10, Table 2). For example, *E. coli* and *Synechocystis* sp. strain 6803 each contain two while *P. aeruginosa* contains three. It is interesting to note that all of the putative γ class carbonic anhydrase sequences shown in Fig. 9 are from prokaryotes and none are from the Eukarya domain. Partial ESTs (expressed sequence tags) with sequence similarity to γ class carbonic anhydrases have been identified from humans and *A. thaliana*. These ESTs contain the active site histidines and the conserved Arg-59, Asp-61 and Gln-75.

Previous analysis of sequences with identity to the γ class carbonic anhydrase from *M. thermophila* estimated divergence times that place the root of the γ class approximately 4.2 billion years ago, with the major cluster of bacterial and archaeal carbonic anhydrases having a common ancestor approximately 2.2 billion years ago, suggesting that γ class carbonic anhydrases are extremely ancient enzymes existing before the divergence of the Archaea and Bacteria domains [14]. An ancient origin for β and γ class carbonic anhydrases may suggest a role for

these enzymes in the recent endosymbiotic theory for the origin of eukaryotic organisms [169,170]. In this theory, a symbiotic association between a H_2 - and CO_2 -utilizing methanoarchaeon and a H_2 - and CO_2 -producing fermentative species from the Bacteria domain led to engulfment of the latter which evolved into the mitochondrion. Carbonic anhydrases may have facilitated this symbiosis by assisting in CO_2 transport between the fermentative species and the methanogenic host.

7. Other potential physiological roles for prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases

As discussed in the previous sections, two general roles have been suggested for known carbonic anhydrases: (i) transport of CO_2 or HCO_3^- and (ii) to provide CO_2 or HCO_3^- for enzymatic reactions. Physiological roles have been investigated largely in photosynthetic microbes; however, carbonic anhydrases occur across the spectrum of prokaryotic metabolism in both the Archaea and Bacteria domains and many individual species contain more than one class (Table 2), indicating that carbonic anhydrases have far more extensive and diverse roles in prokaryotes than previously recognized. These results suggest novel roles for this enzyme in prokaryotic physiology. What are these potential roles? A few possibilities are discussed below.

A large number of enzymes from non-photosynthetic microbes catalyze reactions (Table 3) for which carbonic anhydrase could be expected to provide CO_2/HCO_3^- in the vicinity of the active site or to remove CO_2/HCO_3^- to improve the energetics of the reaction. This latter role would be particularly important for decarboxylation reactions coupled to energy generation. Methylmalonyl-CoA

Table 3				
Prokaryotic enzymes	with CO ₂	or HCO_3^-	as substrate	or product

Substrate	EC number	Enzyme	Reaction	Cofactor
HCO_3^-/CO_2	4.2.1.1	carbonic anhydrase	$CO_2+H_2O \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^-$	
5 2	4.3.99.1	cvanase	$cvanate+HCO_{2} \Rightarrow carbamate+CO_{2}$	
HCO ₃	4.1.1.31	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase	phosphoenolpyruvate+HCO ₃ ⁻ +ATP \rightleftharpoons oxaloacetate+ADP+P _i	
	6.3.4.16	carbamoyl-phosphate synthase	ammonia+ATP+HCO ₃ ⁻ +H ₂ O \rightleftharpoons carbamoyl-phosphate+ADP+P _i	
	6.4.1.1	pyruvate carboxylase	pyruvate+ATP+HCO ₃ \Rightarrow oxaloacetate+ADP+P ₁	biotin
	6.4.1.2	acetyl-CoA carboxylase	acetyl-CoA+ATP+HCO ₃ ⁻ \rightleftharpoons malonyl-CoA+ADP+P ₁	biotin
	6.4.1.3	propionyl-CoA carboxylase	propanoyl-CoA+ATP+HCO ₂ ⁻ \Rightarrow methylmalonyl-CoA+ADP+P ₁	biotin
	6.4.1.4	methylcrotonoyl-CoA carboxylase	3-methylcrotonoyl-CoA+ATP+HCO ₃ \Rightarrow 3-methylglutaconyl- CoA+ADP+P.	biotin
	6.4.1.5	geranyl-CoA carboxylase	geranyl-CoA+ATP+HCO $_3^- \rightleftharpoons 3$ (4-methylpent-3-en-1-yl)-pent- 2-enedioyl-CoA+ADP+P _i	biotin
CO ₂	1.1.1.38	malate dehydrogenase	malate \Rightarrow pyruvate+CO ₂	NAD^+
2	1.1.1.42	isocitrate dehvdrogenase	isocitrate \Rightarrow 2-oxoglutarate+CO ₂	NADP ⁺
	1.1.1.44	phosphogluconate	6-phospho-D-gluconate ⇔D-ribulose 5-phosphate+CO ₂	NADP ⁺
	12143	formate dehydrogenase	formate \Rightarrow CO ₂	NADP+
	1242	ovoglutarate debydrogenase	2-oxoglutarate+linoamide \rightarrow S-succinvldihvdrolinoamide+CO ₂	thiamine diphosphate
	1.2.4.2	3 methyl 2 oxobutanoste	2-oxogiutarate + inpoaniate \rightarrow S-succinity dinity dron polaniate + CO ₂ 3 methyl 2 oxobutanoste + linosmide \rightarrow S (2 methoxypropanovl)	thiamine diphosphate
	1.2.4.4	dehydrogenase	$dihydrolipoamide+CO_{2}$	tinanine upnospiate
	1 2 7 1	numulate sumthese	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$	
	1.2.7.1	pyruvate synthase	$pyruvate+CoA+terredoxin_{ox} = acetyi-CoA+CO_2+terredoxin_{red}$	
	1.2.99.2	dehudre con occ	$CO+H_2O \rightleftharpoons CO_2$	
	1 2 00 5	denydrogenase		
	1.2.99.5	dehydrogenase	methanofuran+ $CO_2 \rightleftharpoons$ formylmethanofuran+ H_2O	
	1.4.4.2	glycine dehydrogenase	glycine+lipoprotein \rightleftharpoons S-aminomethyldihydrolipoylprotein+CO ₂	pyridoxal phosphate
	1.13.12.4	lactate 2-monooxygenase	lactate+O ₂ \rightleftharpoons acetate+H ₂ O+CO ₂	FMN
	2.1.3.1	methylmalonyl-CoA carboxyltransferase	2-methyl-3-oxopropanoyl-CoA+pyruvate ⇔ propanoyl- CoA+oxaloacetate	biotin
	3.5.1.5	urease	$urea+H_2O \rightleftharpoons 2 ammonia+CO_2$	
	3.5.3.21	methylenediurea deiminase	methylenediurea+H ₂ O ≓ N-urea+ammonia	
	4.1.1.1	pyruvate decarboxylase	2-oxo-acid \rightleftharpoons aldehyde+CO ₂	thiamine diphosphate
	4.1.1.3	oxaloacetate decarboxylase	oxaloacetate ⇒ pyruvate+CO ₂	biotin
	4.1.1.4	acetoacetate carboxylase	acetoacetate \rightleftharpoons acetone+CO ₂	
	4.1.1.7	benzoylformate carboxylase	benzoylformate ⇒ benzaldehyde+CO ₂	thiamine diphosphate
	4.1.1.8	oxalyl-CoA decarboxylase	oxalyl-CoA ≓ formyl-CoA+CO ₂	thiamine diphosphate
	4.1.1.9	malonyl-CoA decarboxylase	malonyl-CoA ⇒ acetyl-CoA+CO ₂	
	4.1.1.11	aspartate-1 carboxylase	L-aspartate $\Rightarrow \beta$ -alanine+CO ₂	pyruvoyl group
	4.1.1.14	valine decarboxylase	L-valine \rightleftharpoons 2-methylpropanamine+CO ₂	pyridoxal phosphate
	4.1.1.15	glutamate decarboxylase	L-glutamate \Rightarrow 4-aminobutanoate+CO ₂	pyridoxal phosphate
	4.1.1.17	ornithine decarboxylase	L-ornithine \Rightarrow putrescine+CO ₂	pyridoxal phosphate
	4.1.1.18	lysine decarboxylase	L-lysine \Rightarrow cadaverine+CO ₂	pyridoxal phosphate
	4.1.1.19	arginine decarboxylase	L-arginine \Rightarrow agmatine+CO ₂	pyridoxal phosphate
	4.1.1.20	diaminopimelate decarboxylase	meso-2,6-diaminoheptanedioate \Rightarrow L-lysine+CO ₂	pyridoxal phosphate
	4.1.1.21	phosphoribosylaminoimidazole carboxylase	1-(5-phosphoribosyl)-5-amino-4-imidazolecarboxylate ⇔ 1-(5-phosphoribosyl)-5-aminoimidazole+CO	
	4.1.1.22	histidine carboxylase	L-histidine	pyridoxal phosphate
	4.1.1.23	orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase	orotidine-5'-phosphate \rightleftharpoons UMP+CO ₂	
	4.1.1.25	tyrosine carboxylase	L-tyrosine	pyridoxal phosphate
	4.1.1.36	phosphopantothenovlevsteine	4-phosphopantothenovl-L-cysteine \Rightarrow pantotheine-4-	F)FFF
		decarboxylase	phosphate+CO ₂	
	4.1.1.37	uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase	uroporphyrinogen III ≓ coporphyrinogen+4 CO ₂	
	4.1.1.39	Rubisco	D-ribulose-1,5-biphosphate+CO ₂ \rightleftharpoons 2 D-3-phosphoglycerate	
	4.1.1.41	methylmalonyl-CoA decarboxylase	2-methyl-3-oxo-propanoyl-CoA \rightleftharpoons propanoyl-CoA+CO ₂	ρ-hydroxy- mercuribenzoate
	4.1.1.47	tartronate-semialdehyde carboxylase	2 glyoxylate ⇔ tartronate-semialdehyde+CO ₂	thiamine pyrphosphate
	4.1.1.49	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase	oxaloacetate+ATP \rightleftharpoons phosphoenolpyruvate+ADP+CO ₂	
	4.1.1.50	adenosylmethionine	S-adenosyl-L-methionine \rightleftharpoons (5-deoxy-5-adenosyl)(3-aminopropyl)	pyruvate
		decarboxylase	methylsulfonium salt+CO ₂	

14010 5 (001								
Substrate	EC number	Enzyme	Reaction	Cofactor				
	4.1.1.55	4,5-dihydroxyphtalate decarboxylase	4,5-dihydroxyphtalate \Rightarrow 3,4-dihydroxybenzoate+CO ₂					
	4.1.1.57	methionine carboxylase	L-methionine \Rightarrow 3-methylthiopropanamide+CO ₂	pyridoxal phosphate				
	4.1.1.59	gallate carboxylase	3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoate \Rightarrow pyrogallol+CO ₂					
	4.1.1.61	4-hydrobenzoate decarboxylase	4-hydroxybenzoate \Rightarrow phenol+CO ₂					
	4.1.1.63	protocatechuate decarboxylase	3,4-dihydrobenzoate \rightleftharpoons catechol+CO ₂					
	4.1.1.64	2,2-dialkylglycine decarboxylase	2,2-dialkylglycine+pyruvate \rightleftharpoons dialkyl ketone+L-alanine+CO ₂	pyridoxal phosphate				
	4.1.1.65	phosphatidylserine decarboxylase	phosphatidyl-L-serine = phosphatidylethanolamine+CO ₂					
	4.1.1.70	glutaconyl-CoA carboxylase	pent-2-enoyl-CoA ⇒ but-2-enoyl-CoA+CO ₂	biotin				
	4.1.1.71	2-oxoglutarate decarboxylase	2-oxoglutarate ⇒ succinate semialdehyde+CO ₂	thiamine diphosphate				
	4.1.1.72	branched chain 2-oxoacid decarboxylase	$(3S)$ -3-methyl-2-oxopentanoate \rightleftharpoons 2-methylbutanol+CO ₂	thiamine diphosphate				
	4.1.1.73	tartrate decarboxylase	(R,R) -tartrate \rightleftharpoons D-glycerate+CO ₂	NAD^+				
	6.3.4.14	biotin carboxylase	biotin-carboxyl-carrier protein+ATP+CO₂ ⇔ carboxyl- biotin-carboxyl-carrier protein+ADP+P _i	D-biotin				

decarboxylase, oxaloacetate decarboxylase and glutaconyl-CoA decarboxylase form a unique family of decarboxylases [171–173] that utilize the free energy of the decarboxvlation reaction as the driving force for active transport of Na⁺ ions across the membrane. The decarboxylation of dicarboxylic acids (oxalate, malonate, glutarate and malate) can serve as the sole energy source for the growth of fermenting bacteria [173]. The free energy change for the decarboxylation reaction is quite small ($\Delta G^{\circ \prime} = -20$ kJ mol^{-1}), equivalent to approximately one-third the amount of energy required for ATP synthesis from ADP and phosphate under physiological conditions [173]. Thus, the energy from decarboxylation cannot be conserved by substrate-level phosphorylation. In the fermentation of malonate, succinate and glutarate, this energy is converted into an electrochemical gradient of sodium ions across the membrane by membrane-bound decarboxylase sodium ion pumps. Alternatively, in the fermentation of oxaloacetate and malate, the combined action of a soluble decarboxylase with a dicarboxylate/monocarboxylate antiporter establishes an electrochemical proton gradient. Na+- or H+coupled F₁F₀ ATP synthases then utilize the electrochemical Na⁺ or H⁺ gradients for ATP synthesis. This new type of energy conservation, known as decarboxylation phosphorylation, is responsible for ATP synthesis in several anaerobic bacteria [173]. Carbonic anhydrase can be envisaged to remove the CO₂ product of the decarboxylation reactions by conversion to HCO_3^- to drive the decarboxylation reaction forward. This principle could also be important for R. rubrum which obtains energy for growth by oxidizing carbon monoxide and reducing protons $[CO+H_2O \rightleftharpoons CO_2+H_2, \Delta G^{\circ'} = -20 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}]$ [174].

A significant amount of CO_2 fixation occurs in a diversity of non-photosynthetic prokaryotes where carbonic anhydrase would be expected to play important roles in the transport and concentration of CO_2 inside the cell. The enzymes that fix inorganic carbon utilize either CO_2 or

 HCO_3^- ; thus, carbonic anhydrase may be necessary to interconvert these species to ensure an adequate concentration in the vicinity of the enzyme. The autotrophic methanoarchaeon M. thermoautotrophicum is an example of a non-photosynthetic species that fixes CO₂ and contains carbonic anhydrase. The synthesis of oxaloacetate is an important reaction in the CO₂-fixation pathways for methanoarchaea. Oxaloacetate is the starting point of an incomplete reductive tricarboxylic acid cycle that terminates at α -ketoglutarate and provides precursors for cell material and coenzyme biosynthesis [175]. M. thermoautotrophicum possesses two enzymes, pyruvate carboxylase and phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) carboxylase, for the synthesis of oxaloacetate [176]. HCO_3^- has been shown to be the substrate for both of these enzymes. A precedent exists for carbonic anhydrase providing HCO_3^- to both pyruvate carboxylase and PEP carboxylase in eukaryotic systems. Human CA V is a mitochondrial enzyme that provides HCO_3^- for pyruvate carboxylase in the liver and kidney [11]. More recently, CA V has also been shown to provide HCO_3^- for pyruvate carboxylase in pancreatic islets [177]. Additionally, carbonic anhydrase plays a crucial role in the photosynthesis of C₄ plants by acting as the first enzyme in a pathway catalyzing the fixation of CO₂ into C₄ acids [178]. The enzyme provides HCO₃⁻ to PEP carboxylase, the initial carboxylation reaction of C₄ photosynthesis. To provide substrate for this carboxylation reaction, carbonic anhydrase rapidly converts CO₂ entering the mesophyll cells from the atmosphere to HCO_3^- .

Mammalian cells utilize cellular CO₂ as a tool to perform various physiological functions by the interconversion of an uncharged species (CO₂) to a charged species (HCO₃⁻) catalyzed by carbonic anhydrase. For example, chloride transport is mediated by an antiport mechanism in which the chloride anion is exchanged with HCO₃⁻ [12]. CO₂ is ubiquitous in prokaryotic metabolism suggesting they too are likely to take advantage of this tool for various physiological functions such as solute transport. Database searches have identified three sequences (*S. coelicolor* SC2, *M. bovis* MB3 and *M. tuberculosis* MT3) that appear to encode bifunctional proteins (Fig. 8). These sequences encode putative transmembrane proteins whose N-terminal halves show similarity to low-affinity sulfate transporters and C-terminal regions show similarity to β class carbonic anhydrases. Thus, carbonic anhydrase may play a role in the transport of a compound using either a symport or antiport mechanism.

In addition to the interconversion of CO_2 and HCO_3^- , carbonic anhydrase has been shown to catalyze several other reactions, such as aldehyde hydration [179] and the hydrolysis of carboxylic esters [180] and various halogen derivatives [181,182]. Esters of phenols [179,180,183] and sulfonic and phosphoric acids [184,185] have been reported to act as substrates for this enzyme. Unfortunately, these activities were identified in studies with the α class human isozymes and it is not known whether the β or γ class enzymes can also catalyze these reactions. With the large reservoir of prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases, additional catalytic functions would not be unexpected.

8. Conclusions and outlook

Why is it important to study prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases when so much is already known about the plant and mammalian enzymes? Past reports of carbonic anhydrase in microbes from the Archaea and Bacteria domains have been infrequent implying a narrow function for this enzyme in prokaryotes. The enzyme has been purified from only five prokaryotic species and previous efforts to detect carbonic anhydrase activity in certain prokaryotes left the impression that carbonic anhydrases are not important to prokaryotic physiology. It is now known that carbonic anhydrases from prokaryotes are generally of very low abundance and strictly regulated, often making their presence difficult to detect. The recent discovery of the γ class, the availability of antisera to prokaryotic enzymes representing each of the classes and the availability of genome sequences of many prokaryotes has now allowed for a more comprehensive search. These analyses have demonstrated that carbonic anhydrases are indeed widespread and nearly ubiquitous among metabolically and phylogenetically diverse prokaryotes from both the Bacteria and Archaea domains, suggesting that this enzyme plays a major role in prokaryotic physiology.

Genes encoding carbonic anhydrases from all three classes have been identified in the prokaryotes with the β and γ classes predominating (Figs. 6, 8 and 9). Since no significant sequence similarities are observed between representatives of the three classes, carbonic anhydrase provides an excellent example of convergent evolution of catalytic function. Why three different classes have evolved and what advantage one class may provide over another is

unknown. Interestingly, many prokaryotes contain putative carbonic anhydrase genes from more than one class (Table 2) and some even contain genes from all three known classes (Table 2). In addition, some prokaryotes contain multiple genes encoding putative carbonic anhydrases from the same class (see Section 4.2). Amazingly, *P. aeruginosa* contains six sequences that could encode carbonic anhydrase, three from the β class and three from the γ class. The multiplicity of carbonic anhydrases in many prokaryotes underscores their importance in prokaryotic physiology and suggests novel roles for the enzyme.

Are there any undiscovered classes of carbonic anhydrase? Analysis of the completed genome sequences of Borrelia burgdorferi, Chlamydia trachomatis, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Mycoplasma genitalium, Thermatoga maritima, and Treponema pallidum from the Bacteria domain and Archaeoglobus fulgidus from the Archaea domain indicated an absence of open reading frames with deduced sequences having significant identity to α , β or γ class carbonic anhydrases [14]. Whether these organisms possess a different class of carbonic anhydrase or do not require this enzymatic activity remains to be answered. Since carbonic anhydrases seem to be ancient enzymes and there are already three known classes that were independently invented, it would not be surprising to find even more classes. One method to identify genes encoding carbonic anhydrase from these prokaryotes would be by genetic complementation of an E. coli cynT mutant [88].

In addition to biochemical and physiological studies, carbonic anhydrases have been exploited for biotechnological purposes such as the design of metal ion sensors and de novo catalytic sites. For example, carbonic anhydrase transducers have been created that measure picomolar concentrations of Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II), Co(II) and Ni(II) in complex media as determined by the changes in the fluorescence lifetime and intensity of site-specifically labeled fluorescent variants of a human CA II apoenzyme [186,187]. Other technological uses for carbonic anhydrase such as in CO₂ scrubbers can also be imagined.

Although there are many questions to be answered about the enzymology and structure of prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases, one major question still remains: what are the roles of carbonic anhydrases in prokaryotic physiology? Most of the information known about the function of this enzyme in prokaryotes relates to its role in the fixation of CO_2 by cyanobacteria; however, the research reviewed here strongly supports that prokaryotes from diverse metabolic and phylogenetic origins contain multiple carbonic anhydrase genes. Undoubtedly, future research will uncover diverse novel functions for this ubiquitous prokaryotic enzyme.

Acknowledgements

The work in this laboratory was supported by NIH-

GM44661 and NASA-Ames Cooperative Agreement NCC2-1057. The authors would like to thank Dr. Cheryl Ingram-Smith for her suggestions and for her critical reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Hewett-Emmett, D. and Tashian, R.E. (1996) Functional diversity, conservation and convergence in the evolution of the α -, β and γ -carbonic anhydrase gene families. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 5, 50–77.
- [2] Silverman, D.N. (1982) Carbonic anhydrase: oxygen-18 exchange catalyzed by an enzyme with rate-contributing proton-transfer steps. Methods Enzymol. 87, 732–752.
- [3] Silverman, D.N. and Vincent, S.H. (1983) Proton transfer in the catalytic mechanism of carbonic anhydrase. CRC Crit. Rev. Biochem. 14, 207–255.
- [4] Silverman, D.N. and Lindskog, S. (1988) The catalytic mechanism of carbonic anhydrase: implications of a rate-limiting proteolysis of water. Acc. Chem. Res. 21, 30–36.
- [5] Christianson, D.W. and Fierke, C.A. (1996) Carbonic anhydrase: evolution of the zinc binding site by nature and by design. Acc. Chem. Res. 29, 331–339.
- [6] Lindskog, S. (1997) Structure and mechanism of carbonic anhydrase. Pharmacol. Ther. 74, 1–20.
- [7] Reed, M.L. and Graham, D. (1981) Carbonic anhydrase in plants: distribution, properties, and possible physiological roles. In: Progress in Phytochemistry (Reinhold, L., Harborne, J.B. and Swain, T., Eds.), pp. 47–94. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- [8] Graham, D., Reed, M.L., Patterson, B.D., Hockley, D.G. and Dwyer, M.R. (1984) Chemical properties, distribution, and physiology of plant and algal carbonic anhydrases. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 429, 222–237.
- [9] Tashian, R.E. (1989) The carbonic anhydrases: widening perspectives on their evolution, expression and function. BioEssays 10, 186–192.
- [10] Badger, M.R. and Price, G.D. (1994) The role of carbonic anhydrase in photosynthesis. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 45, 369–392.
- [11] Sly, W.S. and Hu, P.Y. (1995) Human carbonic anhydrases and carbonic anhydrase deficiencies. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 64, 375–401.
- [12] Henry, R.P. (1996) Multiple roles of carbonic anhydrase in cellular transport and metabolism. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 58, 523–538.
- [13] Nogradi, A. (1998) The role of carbonic anhydrases in tumors. Am. J. Pathol. 153, 1–4.
- [14] Smith, K.S., Jakubzick, C., Whittam, T.S. and Ferry, J.G. (1999) Carbonic anhydrase is an ancient enzyme widespread in prokaryotes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 15184–15189.
- [15] Alber, B.E. and Ferry, J.G. (1994) A carbonic anhydrase from the archaeon *Methanosarcina thermophila*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 6909–6913.
- [16] Alber, B.E. and Ferry, J.G. (1996) Characterization of heterologously produced carbonic anhydrase from *Methanosarcina thermophila*. J. Bacteriol. 178, 3270–3274.
- [17] Meldrum, N.N. and Roughton, F.J.W. (1933) Carbonic anhydrase: its preparation and propeties. Nature 80, 113–142.
- [18] Stadie, W.C. and O'Brien, H. (1933) The catalysis of the hydration of carbon dioxide and dehydration of carbonic acid by the enzyme from red blood cells. J. Biochem. 103, 521–529.
- [19] Keilin, D. and Mann, T. (1939) Carbonic anhydrase. Nature 144, 442–443.
- [20] Keilin, D. and Mann, T. (1940) Carbonic anhydrase. Purification and nature of the enzyme. Biochem. J. 34, 1163–1176.
- [21] Keilin, D. and Mann, T. (1944) Activity of purified carbonic anhydrase. Nature 153, 107–108.

- [22] Bradfield, J.R.G. (1947) Plant carbonic anhydrase. Nature 159, 467– 468.
- [23] Neish, A.C. (1939) Studies on chloroplasts. Their chemical composition and the distribution of certain metabolites between the chloroplasts and the remainder of the leaf. Biochem. J. 33, 300–308.
- [24] Veitch, F.P. and Blankenship, L.C. (1963) Carbonic anhydrase activity in bacteria. Nature 197, 76–77.
- [25] Adler, L., Brundell, J., Falkbring, S.O. and Nyman, P.O. (1972) Carbonic anhydrase from *Neisseria sicca* strain 6021 I. Bacterial growth and purification of the enzyme. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 284, 298–310.
- [26] Brundell, J., Falkbring, S.O. and Nyman, P.O. (1972) Carbonic anhydrase from *Neisseria sicca* strain 6021 II. Properties of the purified enzyme. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 284, 311–323.
- [27] Karrasch, M., Bott, M. and Thauer, R.K. (1989) Carbonic anhydrase activity in acetate grown *Methanosarcina barkeri*. Arch. Microbiol. 151, 137–142.
- [28] Andersson, B., Nyman, P.O. and Strid, L. (1972) Amino acid sequence of human erythrocyte CA B. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 48, 670–677.
- [29] Lin, K.-T.D. and Deutsch, H.F. (1973) Human carbonic anhydrase. XI. The complete primary structure of carbonic anhydrase B. J. Biol. Chem. 248, 1885–1893.
- [30] Henderson, L.E., Henriksson, D. and Nyman, P.O. (1973) Amino acid sequence of human erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase C. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 52, 1388–1394.
- [31] Lin, K.-T.D. and Deutsch, H.F. (1974) Human carbonic anhydrase. XII. The complete primary structure of carbonic anhydrase C. J. Biol. Chem. 249, 2329–2337.
- [32] Tashian, R.E. and Carter, N.D. (1976) Biochemical genetics of carbonic anhydrase. Adv. Hum. Genet. 7, 1–56.
- [33] Tashian, R.E., Hewett-Emmett, D., Stroup, S.K., Goodman, M. and Yu, Y.-S.L. (1980) Evolution of structure and function in the carbonic anhydrase isozymes in mammals. In: Biophysics and Physiology of Carbon Dioxide (Bauer, G., Gross, G. and Bartels, H., Eds.), pp. 165–176. Springer, Berlin.
- [34] Tashian, R.E., Venta, P.J., Nicewander, P.H. and Hewett-Emmett, D. (1990) Evolution, structure, and expression of the carbonic anhydrase multigene family. Prog. Clin. Biol. Res. 344, 159–175.
- [35] Tashian, R.E. (1992) Genetics of the mammalian carbonic anhydrases. Adv. Genet. 30, 321–356.
- [36] Hewett-Emmett, D. and Tashian, R.E. (1991) Structure and evolutionary origins of the carbonic anhydrase multigene family. In: The Carbonic Anhydrases: Cellular Physiology and Molecular Genetics (Dodgson, S.J., Tashian, R.E., Gros, G. and Carter, N.D., Eds.), pp. 15–32. Plenum Press, New York.
- [37] Mori, K., Ogawa, Y., Ebihara, K., Tamura, N., Tashiro, K., Kuwahara, T., Mukoyama, M., Sugawara, A., Ozaki, S., Tanaka, I. and Nakao, K. (1999) Isolation and characterization of CA XIV, a novel membrane-bound carbonic anhydrase from mouse kidney. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 15701–15705.
- [38] Kannan, K.K., Notstrand, B., Fridborg, K., Lovgren, S., Ohlsson, A. and Petef, M. (1975) Crystal structure of human erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase B. Three-dimensional structure at a nominal 2.2-Å resolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 72, 51–55.
- [39] Liljas, A., Kannan, K.K., Bergsten, P.C., Waara, I., Fridborg, K., Strandberg, B., Carlbom, U., Jarup, L., Lovgren, S. and Petef, M. (1972) Crystal structure of human carbonic anhydrase C. Nature New Biol. 235, 131–137.
- [40] Eriksson, A.E., Jones, T.A. and Liljas, A. (1988) Refined structure of human carbonic anhydrase II at 2.0 angstrom resolution. Protein Struct. Funct. Genet. 4, 274–282.
- [41] Hakansson, K., Carlsson, M., Svensson, L.A. and Liljas, A. (1992) The structure of native and apo carbonic anhydrase-II and some of its anion-ligand complexes. J. Mol. Biol. 227, 1192–1204.
- [42] Eriksson, A.E. and Liljas, A. (1993) Refined structure of bovine

carbonic anhydrase-III at 2.0 angstrom resolution. Protein Struct. Funct. Genet. 16, 29-42.

- [43] Stams, T., Nair, S.K., Okuyama, T., Waheed, A., Sly, W.S. and Christianson, D.W. (1996) Crystal structure of the secretory form of membrane-associated human carbonic anhydrase IV at 2.8-Å resolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93, 13589–13594.
- [44] Boriack-Sjodin, P.A., Heck, R.W., Laipis, P.J., Silverman, D.N. and Christianson, D.W. (1995) Structure determination of murine mitochondrial carbonic anhydrase V at 2.45-Å resolution: implications for catalytic proton transfer and inhibitor design. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92, 10949–10953.
- [45] Hewett-Emmett, D., Hopkins, P.J., Tashian, R.E. and Czelusniak, J. (1984) Origins and molecular evolution of the carbonic anhydrase isozymes. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 429, 338–358.
- [46] Fukuzawa, H., Fujiwara, S., Yamamoto, Y., Dionisio-Sese, M.L. and Miyachi, S. (1990) cDNA cloning, sequence, and expression of carbonic anhydrase in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* – regulation by environmental CO₂ concentration. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 4383– 4387.
- [47] Fukuzawa, H., Fujiwara, S., Tachiki, A. and Miyachi, S. (1990) Nucleotide sequences of two genes CAH1 and CAH2 which encode carbonic anhydrase polypeptides in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 6441–6442.
- [48] Johansson, I.M. and Forsman, C. (1993) Kinetic studies of pea carbonic anhydrase. Eur. J. Biochem. 218, 439–446.
- [49] Bjorkbacka, H., Johansson, I.M., Skarfstad, E. and Forsman, C. (1997) The sulfhydryl groups of Cys 269 and Cys 272 are critical for the oligomeric state of chloroplast carbonic anhydrase from *Pisum sativum*. Biochemistry 36, 4287–4294.
- [50] Guilloton, M.B., Korte, J.J., Lamblin, A.F., Fuchs, J.A. and Anderson, P.M. (1992) Carbonic anhydrase in *Escherichia coli*. A product of the *cyn* operon. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 3731–3734.
- [51] Fukuzawa, H., Suzuki, E., Komukai, Y. and Miyachi, S. (1992) A gene homologous to chloroplast carbonic anhydrase (*icfA*) is essential to photosynthetic carbon dioxide fixation by *Synechococcus* PCC7942. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 4437–4441.
- [52] Kisker, C., Schindelin, H., Alber, B.E., Ferry, J.G. and Rees, D.C. (1996) A left-hand beta-helix revealed by the crystal structure of a carbonic anhydrase from the archaeon *Methanosarcina thermophila*. EMBO J. 15, 2323–2330.
- [53] Chirica, L.C., Elleby, B., Jonsson, B.H. and Lindskog, S. (1997) The complete sequence, expression in *Escherichia coli*, purification and some properties of carbonic anhydrase from *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. Eur. J. Biochem. 244, 755–760.
- [54] Nolling, J. and Reeve, J.N. (1997) Growth- and substrate-dependent transcription of the formate dehydrogenase (*fdhCAB*) operon in *Methanobacterium thermoformicicum* Z-245. J. Bacteriol. 179, 899– 908.
- [55] Smith, K.S. and Ferry, J.G. (1999) A plant type (β class) carbonic anhydrase from the thermophilic methanoarchaeon *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*. J. Bacteriol. 181, 6247–6253.
- [56] Johansson, I.M. and Forsman, C. (1994) Solvent hydrogen isotope effects and anion inhibition of CO₂ hydration catalysed by carbonic anhydrase from *Pisum sativum*. Eur. J. Biochem. 224, 901–907.
- [57] Rowlett, R.S., Chance, M.R., Wirt, M.D., Sidelinger, D.E., Royal, J.R., Woodroffe, M., Wang, Y.F., Saha, R.P. and Lam, M.G. (1994) Kinetic and structural characterization of spinach carbonic anhydrase. Biochemistry 33, 13967–13976.
- [58] Alber, B.E., Colangelo, C.M., Dong, J., Stalhandske, C.M.V., Baird, T.T., Tu, C., Fierke, C.A., Silverman, D.N., Scott, R.A. and Ferry, J.G. (1999) Kinetic and spectroscopic characterization of the gamma carbonic anhydrase from the methanoarchaeon *Methanosarcina thermophila*. Biochemistry 38, 13119–13128.
- [59] Smith, K.S., Cosper, N.J., Stalhandske, C.M.V., Scott, R.A. and Ferry, J.G., Structural and kinetic characterization of an archaeal beta class carbonic anhydrase. Submitted for publication.
- [60] Romanova, A.K., Rusinova, P.G. and Kornitskaya, V.M. (1972) On

the participation of carbonic anhydrase in the assimilation of carbon dioxide in the chemosynthesis of *Thiobacillus thiooxidans* 58R. Dokl. Acad. Sci. USSR 203, 1209–1212.

- [61] Ingle, R.K. and Colman, B. (1975) Carbonic anhydrase levels in bluegreen algae. Can. J. Bot. 53, 2385–2387.
- [62] Ingle, R.K. and Colman, B. (1976) The relationship between carbonic anhydrase activity and glycolate excretion in the blue-green alga *Coccochloris peniocystis*. Planta 128, 217–223.
- [63] Ivanovskii, R.N. and Rodova, N.A. (1977) Carbonanhydrase activity of phototrophic bacteria. Mikrobiologiya 46, 409–413.
- [64] Jahnke, L.C., Lyman, C. and Hooper, A.B. (1984) Carbonic anhydrase, carbon dioxide levels and growth of *Nitrosomonas*. Arch. Microbiol. 140, 291–293.
- [65] Braus-Stromeyer, S.A., Schnappauf, G., Braus, G.H., Gossner, A.S. and Drake, H.L. (1997) Carbonic anhydrase in *Acetobacterium woodii* and other acetogenic bacteria. J. Bacteriol. 179, 7197–7200.
- [66] Nafi, B.M., Miles, R.J., Butler, L.O., Carter, N.D., Kelly, C. and Jeffery, S. (1990) Expression of carbonic anhydrase in neisseriae and other heterotrophic bacteria. J. Med. Microbiol. 32, 1–7.
- [67] Soltes-Rak, E., Mulligan, M.E. and Coleman, J.R. (1997) Identification and characterization of a gene encoding a vertebrate-type carbonic anhydrase in cyanobacteria. J. Bacteriol. 179, 769–774.
- [68] Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W. and Lipman, D.J. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410.
- [69] Smith, D.R., Doucette-Stamm, L.A., Deloughery, C., Lee, H., Dubois, J., Aldredge, T., Bashirzadeh, R., Blakely, D., Cook, R., Gilbert, K., Harrison, D., Hoang, L., Keagle, P., Lumm, W., Pothier, B., Qiu, D., Spadafora, R., Vicaire, R., Wang, Y., Wierzbowski, J., Gibson, R., Jiwani, N., Caruso, A., Bush, D., Safer, H., Patwell, D., Prabhakar, S., McDougall, S., Shimer, G., Goyal, A., Pietrokovski, S., Church, G.M., Daniels, C.J., Mao, J.-I., Rice, P., Nolling, J. and Reeve, J.N. (1997) Complete genome sequence of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* deltaH: functional analysis and comparative genomics. J. Bacteriol. 179, 7135–7155.
- [70] Gill, S.R., Fedorka-Cray, P.J., Tweten, R.K. and Sleeper, B.P. (1984) Purification and properties of the carbonic anhydrase of *Rhodospirillum rubrum*. Arch. Microbiol. 138, 113–118.
- [71] Yagawa, Y., Shiraiwa, Y. and Miyachi, S. (1984) Carbonic anhydrase from the blue-green alga (cyanobacterium) *Anabaena variabilis*. Plant Cell Physiol. 25, 775–783.
- [72] Sanders, E. and Maren, T.H. (1967) Inhibition of carbonic anhydrase in Neisseria: effects on enzyme activity and growth. Mol. Pharmacol. 3, 204–215.
- [73] MacLeod, M.N. and DeVoe, I.W. (1981) Localization of carbonic anhydrase in the cytoplasmic membrane of *Neisseria sicca* (strain 19). Can. J. Microbiol. 27, 87–92.
- [74] Black, C.G., Fyfe, J.A.M. and Davies, J.K. (1995) A promoter associated with the neisserial repeat can be used to transcribe the *uvrB* gene from *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. J. Bacteriol. 177, 1952–1958.
- [75] Eriksson, A.E., Kylsten, P.M., Jones, T.A. and Liljas, A. (1988) Crystallographic studies of inhibitor binding sites in human carbonic anhydrase II: a pentacoordinated binding of the SCNG ion to the zinc at high pH. Protein Struct. Funct. Genet. 4, 283–293.
- [76] Forsman, C., Behravan, G., Jonsson, B.H., Liang, Z.W., Lindskog, S., Ren, X.L., Sandstrom, J. and Wallgren, K. (1988) Histidine 64 is not required for high CO₂ hydration activity of human carbonic anhydrase II. FEBS Lett. 229, 360–362.
- [77] Tu, C.K., Silverman, D.N., Forsman, C., Jonsson, B.H. and Lindskog, S. (1989) Role of histidine 64 in the catalytic mechanism of human carbonic anhydrase II studied with a site-specific mutant. Biochemistry 28, 7913–7918.
- [78] Huang, S., Xue, Y., Sauer-Eriksson, E., Chirica, L., Lindskog, S. and Jonsson, B.H. (1998) Crystal structure of carbonic anhydrase from *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and its complex with the inhibitor acetazolamide. J. Mol. Biol. 283, 301–310.
- [79] Xue, Y., Liljas, A., Jonsson, B.H. and Lindskog, S. (1993) Structural analysis of the zinc hydroxide-Thr-199-Glu-106 hydrogen-bond net-

work in human carbonic anhydrase II. Protein Struct. Funct. Genet. 17, 93–106.

- [80] Merz, K.M. (1990) Insights into the function of the zinc hydroxide-Thr199-Glu106 hydrogen bonding network in carbonic anhydrases. J. Mol. Biol. 214, 799–802.
- [81] Lindahl, M., Svensson, L.A. and Liljas, A. (1993) Metal poison inhibition of carbonic anhydrase. Protein Struct. Funct. Genet. 15, 177–182.
- [82] Okuyama, T., Waheed, A., Kusumoto, W., Zhu, X.L. and Sly, W.S. (1995) Carbonic anhydrase IV: role of removal of C-terminal domain in glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchoring and realization of enzyme activity. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 320, 315–322.
- [83] Murakami, H. and Sly, W.S. (1987) Purification and characterization of human salivary carbonic anhydrase. J. Biol. Chem. 262, 1382–1388.
- [84] Anderson, P.M. (1980) Purification and properties of the inducible enzyme cyanase. Biochemistry 19, 2882–2888.
- [85] Anderson, P.M. and Little, R.M. (1986) Kinetic properties of cyanase. Biochemistry 25, 1621–1626.
- [86] Anderson, P.M., Sung, Y.C. and Fuchs, J.A. (1990) The cyanase operon and cyanate metabolism. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 7, 247–252.
- [87] Sung, Y.C. and Fuchs, J.A. (1988) Characterization of the cyn operon in *Escherichia coli* K12. J. Biol. Chem. 263, 14769–14775.
- [88] Kozliak, E.I., Guilloton, M.B., Gerami-Nejad, M., Fuchs, J.A. and Anderson, P.M. (1994) Expression of proteins encoded by the *Escherichia coli cyn* operon: carbon dioxide-enhanced degradation of carbonic anhydrase. J. Bacteriol. 176, 5711–5717.
- [89] Shoaf, W.T. and Jones, M.E.L. (1970) Carbonic anhydrase of microorganisms. I. An enzyme from baker's yeast which catalyzes the formation of carbamate from ammonium bicarbonate solution. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 139, 130–142.
- [90] Guilloton, M.B., Lamblin, A.F., Kozliak, E.I., Gerami-Nejad, M., Tu, C., Silverman, D., Anderson, P.M. and Fuchs, J.A. (1993) A physiological role for cyanate-induced carbonic anhydrase in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 175, 1443–1451.
- [91] Kozliak, E.I., Fuchs, J.A., Guilloton, M.B. and Anderson, P.M. (1995) Role of bicarbonate/CO₂ in the inhibition of *Escherichia coli* growth by cyanate. J. Bacteriol. 177, 3213–3219.
- [92] Neidhardt, F.C., Bloch, P.L. and Smith, D.F. (1974) Culture medium for enterobacteria. J. Bacteriol. 119, 736–747.
- [93] Repaske, R. and Clayton, M.A. (1978) Control of *Escherichia coli* growth by CO₂. J. Bacteriol. 117, 652–659.
- [94] Charles, H.P. and Roberts, G.A. (1968) Carbon dioxide as a growth factor for mutants of *Escherichia coli*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 51, 211– 224.
- [95] Valdivia, R.H. and Falkow, S. (1997) Fluorescence-based isolation of bacterial genes expressed within host cells. Science 277, 2007– 2011.
- [96] Aizawa, K. and Miyachi, S. (1986) Carbonic anhydrase and CO₂ concentrating mechanisms in microalgae and cyanobacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 39, 215–233.
- [97] Coleman, J.R. (1991) The molecular and biochemical analyses of CO₂-concentrating mechanisms in cyanobacteria and microalgae. Plant Cell Environ. 14, 861–867.
- [98] Kaplan, A., Schwarz, R., Lieman-Hurwitz, J. and Reinhold, L. (1991) Physiological and molecular aspects of the inorganic carbon-concentrating mechanism in cyanobacteria. Plant Physiol. 97, 851–856.
- [99] Price, G.D., Sultemeyer, D., Klughammer, B., Ludwig, M. and Badger, M.R. (1998) The functioning of the CO₂ concentrating mechanism in several cyanobacterial strains: a review of general physiological characteristics, genes, proteins and recent advances. Can. J. Bot. 76, 973–1002.
- [100] Kaplan, A. and Reinhold, L. (1999) CO₂ concentrating mechanism in photosynthetic microorganisms. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 50, 539–570.
- [101] Badger, M.R. (1980) Kinetic properties of ribulose 1,5-biphosphate

carboxylase/oxygenase from *Anabaena variabilis*. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 231, 233–242.

- [102] Sultemeyer, D., Schmidt, C. and Fock, H.P. (1993) Carbonic anhydrase in higher plants and aquatic microorganisms. Physiol. Plant. 88, 179–190.
- [103] Bedu, S. and Joset, F. (1991) Studies on the carbonic anhydrase activity in *Synechocystis* PCC6803 wild type and an acetazolamide-resistant mutant. Can. J. Bot. 69, 1103–1108.
- [104] Bedu, S., Laurent, B. and Joset, F. (1992) Membraneous and soluble carbonic anhydrase activity in a cyanoabacterium. In: Research in Photosynthesis (Murata, N., Ed.), pp. 819–822. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht.
- [105] Price, G.D., Coleman, J.R. and Badger, M.R. (1992) Association of carbonic anhydrase activity with carboxysomes isolated from the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC7942. Plant Physiol. 100, 784– 793.
- [106] Miller, A.G., Espie, G.S. and Canvin, D.T. (1990) Physiological aspects of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ transport by cyanobacteria: a review. Can. J. Bot. 68, 1291–1302.
- [107] Miller, A.G., Espie, G.S. and Canvin, D.T. (1991) Active CO₂ transport in cyanobacteria. Can. J. Bot. 69, 925–935.
- [108] Espie, G.S., Miller, A.G. and Canvin, D.T. (1991) High affinity transport of CO₂ in the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* UTEX 625. Plant Physiol. 97, 943–953.
- [109] Espie, G.S., Miller, A.G., Kandasami, R.A. and Canvin, D.T. (1991) Active HCO₃⁻ transport in cyanobacteria. Can. J. Bot. 69, 936–944.
- [110] Salon, C., Mir, N.A. and Canvin, D.T. (1996) Influx and efflux of inorganic carbon in *Synechococcus* UTEX 625. Plant Cell Environ. 19, 247–259.
- [111] Price, G.D. and Badger, M.R. (1989) Ethoxyzolamide inhibition of CO₂ uptake in the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC7942 without apparent inhibition of internal carbonic anhydrase activity. Plant Physiol. 89, 37–43.
- [112] Price, G.D. and Badger, M.R. (1989) Ethoxyzolamide inhibition of CO₂-dependent photosynthesis in the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC7942. Plant Physiol. 89, 44–50.
- [113] Fridlyand, L., Kaplan, A. and Reinhold, L. (1996) Quantitative evaluation of the role of a putative CO₂-scavenging entity in the cyanobacterial CO₂-concentrating mechanism. Biosystems 44, 229– 238.
- [114] Johnson, S.L. and Morrison, D.L. (1972) Kinetics and mechanism of decarboxylation of *N*-arylcarbamates. Evidence for kinetically important zwitterionic carbonic acid species of short lifetime. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 1324–1334.
- [115] Fujita, E., Szalda, D.J., Creutz, C. and Sutin, N. (1988) Carbon dioxide activation: thermodynamics of CO₂ binding and the involvement of two cobalt centers in the reduction of CO₂ by a cobalt(I) macrocycle. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 4870–4871.
- [116] Gambaratto, S., Arena, F., Floriani, C. and Zanazzi, P.F. (1982) Carbon dioxide fixation: bifunctional complexes containing acidic and basic sites working as reversible carriers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 5082–5092.
- [117] Li, Q. and Canvin, D.T. (1998) Energy sources for HCO₃⁻ and CO₂ transport in air-grown cells of *Synechococcus* UTEX 625. Plant Physiol. 116, 1125–1132.
- [118] Espie, G.S. and Kandasamy, R.A. (1994) Monensin inhibition of Na⁺-dependent HCO₃⁻ transport distinguishes it from Na⁺-independent HCO₃⁻ transport and provides evidence for Na⁺/HCO₃⁻ symport in the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* UTEX 625. Plant Physiol. 104, 1419–1428.
- [119] Bonfil, D.J., Ronen-Tarazi, M., Sultemeyer, D., Lieman-Hurwita, J., Schatz, D. and Kaplan, A. (1998) A putative HCO₃⁻ transporter in the cynaobacterium *Syenchococcus* sp. strain PCC 7942. FEBS Lett. 430, 236–240.
- [120] Okamura, M., Price, D., Badger, M., Ogawa, T. and Omata, T. (1997) The *cmpABCD* genes of the cynaobacterium *Synechococcus*

sp. PCC7942 encode a HCO_3^- transporter. Plant Cell. Physiol. 38 (supplement), 30.

- [121] Higgins, C.F. (1992) ABC transporters: from microorganisms to man. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. 8, 67–113.
- [122] Sultmeyer, D., Klughammer, B., Badger, M.R. and Price, G.D. (1998) Fast induction of high affinity HCO₃⁻ transport in cynaobacteria. Plant Physiol. 116, 183–192.
- [123] Sultemeyer, D., Klughammer, B., Badger, M.R. and Price, G.D. (1998) Protein phosphorylation and its possible involvement in the induction of the high-affinity CO₂ concentrating mechanism in cyanobacteria. Can. J. Bot. 76, 954–961.
- [124] Price, G.D. and Badger, M.R. (1989) Expression of human carbonic anhydrase in the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC7942 creates a high CO₂-requiring phenotype. Evidence for a central role for carboxysomes in the CO₂ concentrating mechanism. Plant Physiol. 91, 505–513.
- [125] Price, G.D. and Badger, M.R. (1991) Evidence for the role of carboxysomes in the cyanobacterial CO₂-concentrating mechanism. Can. J. Bot. 69, 963–973.
- [126] Shively, J.M. and English, R.S. (1991) The carboxysome, a prokaryotic organelle: a minireview. Can. J. Bot. 69, 957–962.
- [127] So, A.K.C., Van Spall, H.G.C., Coleman, J.R. and Espie, G.S. (1998) Catalytic exchange of ¹⁸O from ¹³C¹⁸O-labelled CO₂ by wild type cells and *ecaA*, *ecaB*, and *ccaA* mutants of the cyanobacteria *Synechococcus* PCC7942 and *Synechocystis* PCC6803. Can. J. Bot. 76, 1153–1160.
- [128] Badger, M.R. and Gallagher, A. (1987) Adaptation of photosynthetic CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ accumulation by thr cynaobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC6301 to growth at different inorganic carbon concnetrations. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 14, 189–201.
- [129] Mayo, W.P., Williams, T.G., Birch, D.G. and Turpin, D.H. (1986) Photosynthetic adaptation by *Synechococcus leopoliensis* in response to exogenous dissolved inorganic carbon. Plant Physiol. 80, 1038–1040.
- [130] Price, G.D. and Badger, M.R. (1989) Isolation and characterization of high CO₂-requiring-mutants of the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC7942. Two phenotypes that accumulate inorganic carbon but are apparently unable to generate CO₂ within the carboxysomes. Plant Physiol. 91, 514–525.
- [131] Badger, M.R., Price, G.D. and Yu, J.W. (1991) Selection and analysis of mutants of the CO₂-concentrating mechanism in cyanobacteria. Can. J. Bot. 69, 974–983.
- [132] So, A.K. and Espie, G.S. (1998) Cloning, characterization and expression of carbonic anhydrase from the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC6803. Plant Mol. Biol. 37, 205–215.
- [133] Stemler, A.J. (1997) The case for chloroplast thylakoid carbonic anhydrase. Physiol. Plant. 99, 348–353.
- [134] Price, G.D., Howitt, S.M., Harrison, K. and Badger, M.R. (1993) Analysis of a genomic DNA region from the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. strain PCC7942 involved in carboxysome assembly and function. J. Bacteriol. 175, 2871–2879.
- [135] Li, L.-A., Gibson, J.L. and Tabita, F.R. (1993) The Rubisco activase (*rca*) gene is located downstream from *rbcS* in *Anabaena* sp. strain CA and is detected in other *Anabaena/Nostoc* strains. Plant Mol. Biol. 21, 753–764.
- [136] Bracey, M.H., Christiansen, J., Tovar, P., Cramer, S.P. and Bartlett, S.G. (1994) Spinach carbonic anhydrase: investigation of the zincbinding ligands by site-directed mutagenesis, elemental analysis, and EXAFS. Biochemistry 33, 13126–13131.
- [137] Hiltonen, T., Bjorkbacka, H., Forsman, C., Clarke, A.K. and Samuelsson, G. (1998) Intracellular beta-carbonic anhydrase of the unicellular green alga *Coccomyxa*. Cloning of the cDNA and characterization of the functional enzyme overexpressed in *Escherichia coli*. Plant Physiol. 117, 1341–1349.
- [138] Vorholt, J.A. and Thauer, R.K. (1997) The active species of 'CO₂' utilized by formylmethanofuran dehydrogenase from methanogenic Archaea. Eur. J. Biochem. 248, 919–924.
- [139] Nielsen, H., Engelbrecht, J., Brunak, S. and von Heijne, G. (1997)

Identification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic signal peptides and prediction of their cleavage sites. Protein Eng. 10, 1–6.

- [140] von Heijne, G. (1985) Signal sequences. The limits of variation. J. Mol. Biol. 184, 99–105.
- [141] von Heijne, G. (1986) A new method for predicting signal sequence cleavage sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 14, 4683–4690.
- [142] Khalifah, R.G. (1971) The carbon hydroxide hydration activity of carbonic anhydrase. I. Stop-flow kinetic studies on the native human isozymes B and C. J. Biol. Chem. 246, 2561–2573.
- [143] Steiner, H., Jonsson, B.H. and Lindskog, S. (1976) The catalytic mechanism of human carbonic anhydrase C: inhibition of CO₂ hydration and ester hydrolysis by HCO₃⁻. FEBS Lett. 62, 16–20.
- [144] Bertini, I., Canti, G., Luchinat, C. and Scozzafava, A. (1978) Characterization of cobalt(II) bovine carbonic anhydrase and of its derivatives. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 4873–4877.
- [145] Ren, X., Sandstrom, A. and Lindskog, S. (1988) Kinetics, anion binding and mechanism of Co(II)-substituted bovine muscle carbonic anhydrase. Eur. J. Biochem. 173, 73–78.
- [146] Hakansson, K. and Wehnert, A. (1992) Structure of cobalt carbonic anhydrase complexed with bicarbonate. J. Mol. Biol. 228, 1212– 1218.
- [147] Raetz, C.R.H. and Roderick, S.L. (1995) A left-handed parallel β helix in the structure of UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine acyltransferase. Science 270, 997–1000.
- [148] Beaman, T.W., Binder, D.A., Blanchard, J.S. and Roderick, S.L. (1997) Three-dimensional structure of tetrahydrodipicolinate *N*-succinyltransferase. Biochemistry 36, 489–494.
- [149] Beaman, T.W., Sugantino, M. and Roderick, S.L. (1998) Structure of the hexapeptide xenobiotic acetyltransferase from *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Biochemistry 37, 6689–6696.
- [150] Vaara, M. (1992) Eight bacterial proteins, including UDP-N-acetylglucosamine acyltransferase (LpxA) and three other transferases of *Escherichia coli*, consist of a six-residue periodicity theme. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 97, 249–254.
- [151] Vuorio, R., Harkonen, T., Tolvanen, M. and Vaara, M. (1994) The novel hexapeptide motif found in the acetyltransferases LpxA and LpxD of lipid A biosynthesis is conserved in various bacteria. FEBS Lett. 337, 289–292.
- [152] Tripp, B.C. and Ferry, J.G., A structure-function study of a proton transport pathway in the gamma class carbonic anhydrase from *Methanosarcina thermophila*. Submitted for publication.
- [153] Iverson, T.M., Alber, B.E., Kisker, C., Ferry, J.G. and Rees, D.C., A closer look at the active site of γ-carbonic anhydrases: high resolution crystallographic studies of the carbonic anhydrase from *Methanosarcina thermophila*. Submitted for publication.
- [154] Krebs, J.F., Fierke, C.A., Alexander, R.S. and Christianson, D.W. (1991) Conformational mobility of His-64 in the Thr-200-Ser mutant of human carbonic anhydrase II. Biochemistry 30, 9153–9160.
- [155] Nair, S.K. and Christianson, D.W. (1991) Structural properties of human carbonic anhydrase II at pH 9.5. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 181, 579–584.
- [156] Nair, S.K. and Christianson, D.W. (1991) Unexpected pH-dependent conformation of His-64, the proton shuttle of carbonic anhydrase II. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 9455–9458.
- [157] Ferry, J.G. (1997) Enzymology of the fermentation of acetate to methane by *Methanosarcina thermophila*. Biofactors 6, 25–35.
- [158] Kumar, S., Tamura, K. and Nei, M. (1994) MEGA: molecular evolutionary genetic analysis. Comput. Appl. Biosci. 10, 189–191.
- [159] Sjoblom, B., Elleby, B., Wallgren, K., Jonsson, B.H. and Lindskog, S. (1996) Two point mutations convert a catalytically inactive carbonic anhydrase-related protein (CARP) to an active enzyme. FEBS Lett. 398, 322–325.
- [160] Kamo, T., Shimogawara, K., Fukuzawa, H., Muto, S. and Miyachi, S. (1990) Subunit constitution of carbonic anhydrase from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Eur. J. Biochem. 192, 557–562.
- [161] Engstrand, C., Forsman, C., Liang, Z. and Lindskog, S. (1992) Proton transfer roles of lysine 64 and glutamic acid 64 replacing

histidine 64 in the active site of human carbonic anhydrase II. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1122, 321–326.

- [162] Okuyama, T., Sato, S., Zhu, X.L., Waheed, A. and Sly, W.S. (1992) Human carbonic anhydrase IV-cDNA cloning, sequence comparison, and expression in COS cell membranes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 1315–1319.
- [163] Eriksson, M., Karlsson, J., Ramazanov, Z., Gardestrom, P. and Samuelsson, G. (1996) Discovery of an algal mitochondrial carbonic anhydrase: molecular cloning and characterization of a low-CO₂induced polypeptide in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93, 12031–12034.
- [164] Hiltonen, T., Karlsson, J., Palmqvist, K., Clarke, A.K. and Samuelsson, G. (1995) Purification and characterisation of an intracellular carbonic anhydrase from the unicellular green alga *Coccomyxa*. Planta 195, 345–351.
- [165] Eichler, K., Bourgis, F., Buchet, A., Kleber, H.-P. and Mandrand-Berthelot, M.-A. (1994) Molecular characterization of the *cai* operon necessary for carnitine metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 13, 775–786.
- [166] Jung, H., Jung, K. and Kleber, H.-P. (1989) Purification and properties of carnitine dehydratase from *Escherichia coli*: a new enzyme of carnitine metabolism. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1003, 270–276.
- [167] Kleber, H.-P. (1997) Bacterial carnitine metabolism. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 147, 1–9.
- [168] Olivera, E.R., Minambres, B., Garcia, B., Muniz, C., Moreno, M.A., Ferrandez, A., Diaz, E., Garcia, J.L. and Luengo, J.M. (1998) Molecular characterization of the phenylacetic acid catabolic pathway in *Pseudomonas putida* U: the phenylacetyl-CoA catabolon. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 6419–6424.
- [169] Martin, W. and Muller, M. (1998) The hydrogen hypothesis for the first eukaryote. Nature 392, 37–41.
- [170] Moreira, D. and Lopez-Garcia, P. (1998) Symbiosis between methanogenic archaea and δ-proteobacteria as the origin of eukaryotes: the syntropic hypothesis. J. Mol. Evol. 47, 517–530.
- [171] Dimroth, P. (1987) Sodium ion transport decarboxylases and other aspects of sodium ion cycling in bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 51, 320– 340.
- [172] Dimroth, P. (1990) Mechanisms of sodium transport in bacteria. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 326, 465–477.
- [173] Dimroth, P. and Schink, B. (1998) Energy conservation in the decarboxylation of dicarboxylic acids by fermenting bacteria. Arch. Microbiol. 170, 69–77.
- [174] Uffen, R.L. (1976) Anaerobic growth of a *Rhodopseudomonas* species in the dark with carbon monoxide as sole carbon and energy substrate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 73, 3298–3302.
- [175] Simpson, P.G. and Whitman, W.B. (1993) Anabolic pathways in methanogens. In: Methanogenesis: Ecology, Physiology, Biochemistry, and Genetics (Ferry, J.G., Ed.), pp. 445–472. Chapman and Hall, London.
- [176] Mukhopadhyay, B., Stoddard, S.F. and Wolfe, R.S. (1998) Purification, regulation, and molecular and biochemical characterization

of pyruvate carboxylase from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* strain deltaH. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 5155–5166.

- [177] Parkkila, A.-K., Scarim, A.L., Parkkila, S., Waheed, A., Corbett, J.A. and Sly, W.S. (1998) Expression of carbonic anhydrase V in pancreatic beta cells suggests role for mitochondrial carbonic anhydrase in insulin secretion. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 24620–24623.
- [178] Hatch, M.D. and Burnell, J.N. (1990) Carbonic anhydrase activity in leaves and its role in the first step of C_4 photosynthesis. Plant Physiol. 93, 380–383.
- [179] Pocker, Y. and Meany, J.E. (1965) The catalytic versatility of carbonic anhydrase from erythrocytes: the enzyme-catalyzed hydration of acetaldehyde. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 1809–1811.
- [180] Pocker, Y. and Stone, J.T. (1965) The catalytic versatility of erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase: the enzyme-catalyzed hydrolysis of ρ-nitrophenyl acetate. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 5497–5498.
- [181] Henkart, P., Guidotti, G. and Edsall, J.T. (1968) Catalysis of the hydrolysis of 1-fluro-2,4-dinitrobenzene by carbonic anhydrase. J. Biol. Chem. 243, 2447–2449.
- [182] Whitney, P.L., Folsch, G., Nyman, P.O. and Malmstrom, B.G. (1967) Inhibition of human erythrocyte carbonic anhydrase B by chloroacetyl sulfonamides with labeling of the active site. J. Biol. Chem. 242, 4206–4211.
- [183] Tu, C.K., Thomas, H.G., Wynns, G.C. and Silverman, D.N. (1986) Hydrolysis of 4-nitrophenyl acetate catalyzed by carbonic anhydrase III from bovine skeletal muscle. J. Biol. Chem. 261, 10100–10103.
- [184] Kaiser, E.T. and Lo, K.W. (1969) The carbonic anhydrase catalyzed hydrolysis of 2-hydroxy-5-nitro-ω-toluenesulfonic acid sultone. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 4912–4918.
- [185] Pullan, L.M. and Noltmann, E.A. (1985) Specific arginine modification at the phosphatase site of muscle carbonic anhydrase. Biochemistry 24, 635–640.
- [186] Thompson, R.B., Maliwal, B.P., Feliccia, V.L., Fierke, C.A. and McCall, K. (1998) Determination of picomolar concentrations of metal ions using fluorescence anisotropy: biosensing with a 'reagentless' enzyme transducer. Anal. Chem. 70, 4717–4723.
- [187] Thompson, R.B., Maliwal, B.P. and Fierke, C.A. (1999) Selectivity and sensitivity of fluorescence lifetime-based metal ion biosensing using a carbonic anhydrase transducer. Anal. Biochem. 267, 185– 195.
- [188] Steiner, H., Jonsson, B.H. and Lindskog, S. (1975) The catalytic mechanism of carbonic anhydrase. Hydrogen-isotope effects on the kinetic parameters of the human C isoenzyme. Eur. J. Biochem. 59, 253–259.
- [189] Bjorkbacka, H., Johansson, I.M. and Forsman, C. (1999) Possible roles for His 208 in the active-site region of chloroplast carbonic anhydrase from *Pisum sativum*. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 361, 17– 24.
- [190] Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. and Higgins, D.J. (1997) The Clustal X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 4876–4882.